

abc

作者为 User User

提交日期: 2024年11月05日 02:16上午 (UTC+0100)

提交作业代码: 2508691514

文档名称: manuscript_revise.docx (13.27M)

文字总数: 3752

字符总数: 21979

Short-term wind power prediction based on IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM

Abstract: This study introduces an innovative model, namely as IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM, which leverages the Improved Butterfly Optimization Algorithm (IBOA), Adaptive Boosting (AdaBoost), and Relevance Vector Machine (RVM). This model is used to solve the problem of low precision of wind power prediction. Initially, normalization is applied to reduce the influence of varying data dimensions. Subsequently, input variables are determined through Pearson correlation method. Lastly, the efficacy of the introduced model is assessed across four distinct seasonal monthly data sets. The observed outcomes indicate that the proposed model outperforms other models in terms of evaluation metrics, with the average $RMSE$, MAE , and $MAPE$ values across the four datasets being 0.954, 10.403, 7.032, and 0.645, respectively, it shows that the proposed method has potential in the field of wind power prediction.

Key words: Wind power forecasting; BOA; AdaBoost; RVM

1 Introduction

The surge in worldwide economic growth coupled with a steady increase in population figures has led to a growing need for energy, historically satisfied by the consumption of fossil fuels [1]. However, the widespread utilization of conventional energy sources in recent years has engendered increasingly severe issues such as environmental degradation and climate change [2]. Consequently, promoting the development of clean energy has become a global consensus. Clean energy refers to forms of energy production and utilization that generate minimal to no pollutants, with wind energy being a notable example. Owing to its renewable nature, environmental friendliness, and abundant availability, the advancement of wind power has garnered significant attention worldwide [3].

Numerous researchers have explored various methodologies to strengthen the exactness of short-term wind power prediction, including physical, statistical and artificial intelligence methods [4]. While physical prediction methods necessitate solving complex partial differential equations, rendering them computationally intensive [5], statistical methods entail simpler modeling through statistical regression fitting of historical data but exhibit significant prediction errors when confronted with nonlinear and non-stationary wind power series [6]. Artificial intelligence, particularly deep learning methods rooted in machine learning, has arisen as a promising avenue [7]. Techniques like Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) [8, 9] and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) [10, 11] within deep learning have gained extensive usage in the field of short-term wind energy forecasting.

In the field of machine learning, bias-variance tradeoff is a significant concept to explain generalization effectiveness of an algorithm [12]. And emergence of ensemble learning makes it possible to guarantee good generalization performance on complex monitoring data of wind power. As one of the popular ensemble learning algorithms, AdaBoost algorithm stands out for its capacity to mitigate bias and variance by

combining multiple weak learners, thereby improving the model's capacity for generalization. AdaBoost method has been commonly applied in various fields and has shown excellent capabilities in classification and regression problems [13]. An et al. [14] introduced a wind power forecasting model (AdaBoost-PSO-ELM), and verified it through the data of wind turbines in Turkey. The findings from the experiment indicate that AdaBoost-PSO-ELM achieves a superior accuracy rate. Ren et al. [15] introduced an improved genetic algorithm-assisted AdaBoost double-layer learner (GA-ADA-RF) for predicting oil temperature of tunnel boring machine, and the experiment reveals that the GA-ADA-RF has better predictive capability.

While the aforementioned evidence highlights the efficacy of the AdaBoost algorithm, it also underscores its inherent limitations, notably susceptibility to overfitting and its constrained capability to address nonlinear challenges [16]. Hence, this study introduces the Relevance Vector Machine (RVM) as a solution to mitigate these drawbacks. RVM, a variant of SVM, exhibits inherent sparsity, thereby mitigating overfitting during training [17]. Furthermore, RVM's utilization of kernel functions enables effective handling of nonlinear problems, thereby compensating for AdaBoost's deficiencies.

Given the AdaBoost algorithm's capacity to diminish both variance and bias while enhancing model generalization, coupled with the intrinsic strengths of RVM that can compensate for AdaBoost's limitations, this research integrates RVM as a weak learner within the AdaBoost framework to advance model efficacy. Moreover, the selection of hyperparameters holds paramount importance in influencing the performance of machine learning algorithms, with an optimal combination significantly enhancing model performance. Swarm intelligence optimization algorithms, simulating population hunting behaviors in nature, demonstrate remarkable prowess in optimizing hyperparameters and are frequently employed for this purpose [18-21]. However, swarm intelligence algorithms frequently suffer from the drawbacks of a skewed distribution in the initial population and a tendency to converge to local optima rather than global solutions [22-24]. Therefore, this study proposes an improved butterfly optimization algorithm to determine the best combination of hyperparameters for prediction model.

2 Related algorithms

2.1 Adaptive Boosting (AdaBoost)

AdaBoost, a renowned ensemble algorithm [25]. During each iteration, AdaBoost adjusts the weight of individual samples, assigning higher weights to previously misclassified samples to emphasize their importance in subsequent iterations. Consequently, the new learner focuses more on these challenging instances. Ultimately, AdaBoost combines these learners through weighted voting to yield predicted sample values, thereby enhancing the overall learner's performance.

2.2 Relevance Vector Machine (RVM)

RVM, a machine learning algorithm rooted in Bayesian theory [26], serves as a sparse probability model utilized for both classification and regression analyses. Notably, the sparsity of the RVM algorithm is a key characteristic: during training, most weights tend towards infinity, effectively nullifying the contribution of corresponding

features to the model. Consequently, RVM automatically identifies and emphasizes the most crucial features for the prediction task while disregarding irrelevant ones.

2.3 Butterfly Optimization Algorithm (BOA)

Butterfly optimization algorithm (BOA) [27] is a meta-heuristic algorithm for global optimization inspired by natural heuristics, initially introduced by Arora and Singh in 2019. This algorithm emulates the cooperative movement of butterflies towards a food source, a behavior observed in nature. Butterflies navigate by receiving, sensing, and analyzing odors in the air to locate potential food sources or mates.

3 The proposed algorithm (IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM)

3.1 Improved Butterfly Optimization Algorithm (IBOA)

Chaotic mapping, characterized by attributes such as good ergodicity, non-repeatability, unpredictability, and non-periodicity, is leveraged to enrich population diversity and enhance algorithm performance [28, 29]. In the original butterfly optimization algorithm, butterfly diversity suffers due to random initialization of the population. Therefore, this study introduces Tent chaotic mapping to uniformly distribute the butterfly population and broaden its search range. It is defined as:

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n) = \begin{cases} x_n / a, & x_n \in [0, a) \\ (1 - x_n) / (1 - a), & x_n \in [a, 1) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Where $a \in (0, 1)$.

The search step length of a single butterfly is not set in original BOA algorithm. During the operation of the algorithm, due to the high degree of freedom of individuals, but the search step length is not limited, resulting in fast search speed in the early stage of the search, low search accuracy in the late stage, and easy to fall into the local optimum or far from the global optimum. In order to avoid the restriction of butterfly individual search step size due to this situation, this study proposes a weight coefficient that adaptively adjusts according to individual fitness value, and the formula is as follows:

$$\omega_i = \frac{F_b - F_w}{F_i - F_w} \quad (2)$$

Where, F_i is the current individual fitness value, F_b and F_w are the current global optimal and worst fitness values, respectively.

If the fitness value of the current individual is nearly equivalent to the worst global fitness, the higher the weight coefficient assigned to that individual, the greater the step size they will take in their movement, aimed at avoiding entrapment in a local optimum. If the current individual fitness value is much different from the global worst value, that is, it is nearer to the global optimal value, then the weight coefficient of the individual is smaller, and the smaller moving step size ensures the high-precision search of the population in the later stage of the algorithm, and avoids the individual skipping the global optimal value, which reduces the performance of the algorithm.

Furthermore, following the ‘‘No free lunch’’ theorem [30, 31], a single algorithm cannot be fully applicable to all problems, so this work introduces sine-cosine algorithm to improve search phase of butterfly optimization algorithm. Combined with the adaptive weight coefficient, the formula of the global search stage and the local search

stage of butterfly optimization algorithm can be updated as:

$$x_i^{t+1} = \begin{cases} \omega_i \times [x_i^t + r_1 \times \sin(r_2) \times |r_3 \times g^* - x_i^t|], & r_4 < 0.5 \\ \omega_i \times [x_i^t + r_1 \times \cos(r_2) \times |r_3 \times g^* - x_i^t|], & r_4 \geq 0.5 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$x_i^{t+1} = \begin{cases} \omega_i \times [x_i^t + r_1 \times \sin(r_2) \times |r_3 \times x_j^t - x_k^t|], & r_4 < 0.5 \\ \omega_i \times [x_i^t + r_1 \times \cos(r_2) \times |r_3 \times x_j^t - x_k^t|], & r_4 \geq 0.5 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Where $r_1 = a \times (1 - \frac{t}{t_{\max}})$, a is a constant and the value is 2, t is the current number of iterations, t_{\max} is the maximum number of iterations, r_2 is the random number between 0 and 2π , r_3 is the random number between 0 and 2, and r_4 is the random number between 0 and 1. The flow chart of IBOA is shown in Figure 1.




Fig.1 IBOA frame diagram.

3.2 Adaptive Boosting Based on Relevance Vector Machine (AdaBoost-RVM)

Traditional AdaBoost uses decision trees as weak learners [32]. However, decision tree models are susceptible to overfitting, diminishing the model's generalization capacity, and they have limited efficacy in addressing nonlinear problems [33]. The inherent sparsity of the RVM model aids in enhancing the model's generalization ability and mitigating the risk of overfitting. Additionally, RVM can be extended to handle nonlinear problems through kernel techniques, enabling it to tackle more intricate datasets [34]. Hence, this study capitalizes on the strengths of both RVM and AdaBoost by utilizing RVM as a weak learner within the AdaBoost framework. Model structure is shown in Figure 2.





Fig.2 AdaBoost-RVM frame diagram.

3.3 The IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM prediction model

To sum up, the flow of the IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM model introduced in this work is shown in Figure 3.



35 Fig.3 IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM prediction flow chart.

Step 1: Acquire the power production data of the wind farm, and normalize the data to prepare for the subsequent research.

Step 2: Calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient of each input and output variable, and select the appropriate input variable. 37

26 Step 3: Weather data and power data are used for short-term wind power prediction, and the hyperparameters of the model are optimized by the improved butterfly optimization algorithm.

Step 4: Output final model prediction results.

4 Experimental simulation and result discussion

4.1 Data description

Dataset comprises measurements taken at a frequency of 15 minutes. The division between the training and test sets adheres to a ratio of 7:3. The input variables

encompass measurements of wind speed, wind direction, temperature, air pressure, and humidity. The validity and reliability of the model are verified by real wind power data. Moreover, to alleviate the impact stemming from the differing scales of the data and augment the precision of the prediction outcomes, this study implements normalization [35] as part of the data preprocessing stage.

$$x'_i = \frac{x_i - x_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\min}} \quad (5)$$

Where, x'_i represents the normalized data, x_i represents the original data, x_{\min} and x_{\max} represent the minimum and maximum values of the original data, respectively.

4.2.1 Input feature selection

The Pearson method is a common way to measure the degree of correlation between two variables [36]. From Figure 4 (refer to Table 1 for details), it is evident that characteristics highly correlated with actual power generation primarily include wind speed and wind direction attributes. Consequently, wind speed and direction characteristics (excluding the 50-meter wind direction of the wind tower) are chosen as input features for the model in this study.



Fig.4 The correlation between various characteristics and actual power generation.

Table 1 Partial parameters and abbreviations in the heat map.

Abbreviations	Parameters
ws10 (m/s)	Wind tower 10m wind speed (m/s)
ws30 (m/s)	Wind tower 30m wind speed (m/s)
ws50 (m/s)	Wind tower 50m wind speed (m/s)
ws70 (m/s)	Wind tower 70m wind speed (m/s)
wsH (m/s)	Hub height Wind speed (m/s)
wd10 (°)	Wind tower 10m Wind direction (°)
wd30 (°)	Wind tower 30m Wind direction (°)

wd50 (°)	Wind tower 50m Wind direction (°)
wd70 (°)	Wind tower 70m Wind direction (°)
wdH (°)	Hub height Wind direction (°)

4.3 Evaluation indicators

This article selects the coefficient of determination (R^2), root mean square error ($RMSE$), mean absolute percentage error ($MAPE$), and mean absolute percentage error (MAE) to evaluate the accuracy of the model prediction results.

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i' - y_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2} \quad (6)$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i' - y_i)^2} \quad (7)$$

$$MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{y_i' - y_i}{y_i} \right| \times 100\% \quad (8)$$

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i' - y_i| \quad (9)$$

Where, n is the total number of samples, y_i' is the predicted wind power value, y_i is the actual wind power value, \bar{y} is the average of the actual wind power values.

4.4 Experimental comparison

4.4.1 Experimental comparison in March

Table 2 displays the results of the four evaluation indicators for the introduced model and other comparative models using the spring March dataset. Furthermore, Model1 to Model6 represent the performance of the following models: IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM, BOA-AdaBoost-RVM, AdaBoost-RF, AdaBoost-CNN, AdaBoost-BiLSTM, and AdaBoost-CNN-BiLSTM, respectively.

Compared with BOA-AdaBoost-RVM, the IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM model exhibits a 1.8% increase in the R^2 value, a 21.9% decrease in $RMSE$, a 24.1% decrease in MAE , and a 17.2% decrease in $MAPE$. Furthermore, employing Random Forest (RF), Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory Neural Network (BiLSTM), etc., as weak learners for AdaBoost results in weaker performance across all four-evaluation metrics compared to AdaBoost-RVM. From Figure 5, it is evident that all six models demonstrate satisfactory fitting results for wind power data in March of spring. Nevertheless, upon closer inspection of the locally enlarged graph, it becomes apparent that the IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM model exhibits the most favorable fitting effect, closely aligning with the actual wind power values.

Table 2 Evaluation indicators of the forecast results of different models in March.

	Mdoel1	Model2	Model3	Model4	Model5	Model6
R^2	0.971	0.953	0.944	0.918	0.950	0.960
RMSE	11.060	14.167	15.366	18.604	14.437	13.076
MAE	7.047	9.300	10.197	13.479	10.341	8.821
MAPE	0.418	0.505	0.426	0.897	0.920	0.525




Fig.5 Prediction curves of different models in March.

4.4.2 Experimental comparison in June

Table 3 shows four evaluation indicators of different models on the summer June data set. The four evaluation indicators of the introduced method outperformed the comparative models, with the R^2 values increasing by 2.0%, 6.7%, 6.9%, 2.3%, and 1.5%, respectively. Additionally, the $RMSE$ values decreased by 17.9%, 37.3%, 38.1%, 19.9%, and 14.6%, while the MAE values decreased by 15.9%, 32.7%, 42.2%, 23.1%, and 13.4%, and the $MAPE$ values decreased by 12.2%, 56.1%, 53.5%, 22.9%, and 14.3%, respectively. Figure 6 provide further support for the proposed improvement strategy and demonstrate the accuracy of the proposed model.

Table 3 Evaluation indicators of the forecast results of different models in June.

	Mdoel1	Model2	Model3	Model4	Model5	Model6
R^2	0.962	0.943	0.902	0.900	0.940	0.948
$RMSE$	7.905	9.625	12.612	12.771	9.878	9.254
MAE	5.503	6.543	8.178	9.515	7.160	6.354
$MAPE$	0.776	0.884	1.768	1.668	1.006	0.906




Fig.6 Prediction curves of different models in June.

4.4.3 Experimental comparison in September

As depicted in Table 4, on the autumn September dataset, the R^2 , $RMSE$, and MAE values of IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM outperform those of the comparison model. Although the proposed method did not achieve optimal results for all four-evaluation metrics, BOA-AdaBoost-RVM obtained the optimal $MAPE$ value. This outcome further substantiates the feasibility of utilizing RVM as a weak learner for AdaBoost in this study. Moreover, upon examining the image in Figure 7, it was observed that the introduced method can better capture the trend of changes in true values.

Table 4 Evaluation indicators of the forecast results of different models in September.

	Model1	Model2	Model3	Model4	Model5	Model6
R^2	0.961	0.926	0.915	0.909	0.932	0.931
RMSE	8.069	11.141	11.972	12.370	10.680	10.749
MAE	4.722	5.974	6.616	7.452	6.413	6.877
MAPE	0.948	0.726	0.827	1.735	1.420	1.512




Fig.7 Prediction curves of different models in September.

4.4.4 Experimental comparison in December

As can be seen from Table 5, among the six models, only the R^2 values of IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM and BOA-AdaBoost-RVM exceed 0.9, with BOA-AdaBoost-RVM reaching 0.92. When compared to AdaBoost-RF, the proposed method demonstrates a 21.5% increase in R^2 value, a 42.6% reduction in RMSE, a 36.7% decrease in MAE, and a 45.9% decrease in MAPE. This further underscores the advanced nature of the method proposed in this study. Figure 8 provides additional evidence supporting this conclusion.

Table 5 Evaluation indicators of the forecast results of different models in December.

	Model1	Model2	Model3	Model4	Model5	Model6
R^2	0.920	0.910	0.757	0.853	0.891	0.819
RMSE	14.576	15.383	25.410	19.763	16.988	21.897
MAE	10.854	11.371	17.140	14.976	12.811	16.259
MAPE	0.438	0.499	0.811	0.585	0.521	0.619




Fig.8 Prediction curves of different models in December.

5 Conclusions

In this study, an innovative model, named IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM, is proposed. The performance of the IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM is verified by four distinct seasonal monthly data sets. Results demonstrate that IBOA-AdaBoost-RVM achieves high forecasting accuracy. The model's prediction results across four different seasons and months consistently yield optimal outcomes, indicative of its robust generalization ability and applicability.

In the future work, data from different geographic locations are considered and compared with more advanced algorithms to further validate the model's excellence. In addition, future studies need to consider applying the model to different forms of renewable energy, such as solar energy, hydrogen energy, and so on.

12

Declarations of Competing Interest

All authors affirm that they have no conflicts of interest related to this paper.

Reference

- [1] He Y, Wang W, Li M, et al. A short-term wind power prediction approach based on an improved dung beetle optimizer algorithm, variational modal decomposition, and deep learning[J]. Computers and Electrical Engineering, 2024, 116: 109182.
- [2] Abou Houran M, Bukhari S M S, Zafar M H, et al. COA-CNN-LSTM: Coati optimization

- algorithm-based hybrid deep learning model for PV/wind power forecasting in smart grid applications[J]. *Applied Energy*, 2023, 349: 121638.
- [3] Tan L, Chen Y, Xia J, et al. Research on the short-term wind power prediction with dual branch multi-source fusion strategy[J]. *Energy*, 2024, 291: 130402.
- [4] Carpinone A, Giorgio M, Langella R, et al. Markov chain modeling for very-short-term wind power forecasting[J]. *Electric power systems research*, 2015, 122: 152-158.
- [5] Ye L, Zhao Y, Zeng C, et al. Short-term wind power prediction based on spatial model[J]. *Renewable Energy*, 2017, 101: 1067-1074.
- [6] Sopena J M G, Pakrashi V, Ghosh B. A benchmarking framework for performance evaluation of statistical wind power forecasting models[J]. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, 2023, 57: 103246.
- [7] Sait S M, Mehta P, Pholdee N, et al. Artificial neural network infused quasi oppositional learning partial reinforcement algorithm for structural design optimization of vehicle suspension components[J]. *Materials Testing*, 2024 (0).
- [8] Sait S M, Mehta P, Yıldız A R, et al. Optimal design of structural engineering components using artificial neural network-assisted crayfish algorithm[J]. *Materials Testing*, 2024 (0).
- [9] Ma Y, Wang Z, Gao J, et al. A novel method for remaining useful life of solid-state lithium-ion battery based on improved CNN and health indicators derivation[J]. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 2024, 220: 111646.
- [10] Mehta P, Sait S M, Yıldız B S, et al. A new enhanced mountain gazelle optimizer and artificial neural network for global optimization of mechanical design problems[J]. *Materials Testing*, 2024, 66(4): 544-552.
- [11] Yuan Y, Yang Q, Wang G, et al. Combined improved tuna swarm optimization with graph convolutional neural network for remaining useful life of engine[J]. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*.
- [12] Doroudi S, Rastegar S A. The bias–variance tradeoff in cognitive science[J]. *Cognitive Science*, 2023, 47(1): e13241.
- [13] Zounemat-Kermani M, Batelaan O, Fadaee M, et al. Ensemble machine learning paradigms in hydrology: A review[J]. *Journal of Hydrology*, 2021, 598: 126266.
- [14] An G, Jiang Z, Cao X, et al. Short-term wind power prediction based on particle swarm optimization-extreme learning machine model combined with AdaBoost algorithm[J]. *IEEE access*, 2021, 9: 94040-94052.
- [15] Ren J, Wang Z, Pang Y, et al. Genetic algorithm-assisted an improved AdaBoost double-layer for oil temperature prediction of TBM[J]. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 2022, 52: 101563.

- [16] Vincent S S M, Duraipandian N. Detection and prevention of sinkhole attacks in MANETS based routing protocol using hybrid AdaBoost-Random Forest algorithm[J]. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2024, 249: 123765.
- [17] Qiu L, Ma W, Feng X, et al. A hybrid PV cluster power prediction model using BLS with GMCC and error correction via RVM considering an improved statistical upscaling technique[J]. *Applied Energy*, 2024, 359: 122719.
- [18] El-Kenawy E S M, Khodadadi N, Mirjalili S, et al. Greylag goose optimization: nature-inspired optimization algorithm[J]. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2024, 238: 122147.
- [19] Yuan Y, Shen Q, Xi W, et al. Multidisciplinary design optimization of dynamic positioning system for semi-submersible platform[J]. *Ocean Engineering*, 2023, 285: 115426.
- [20] Abdollahzadeh B, Khodadadi N, Barshandeh S, et al. Puma optimizer (PO): A novel metaheuristic optimization algorithm and its application in machine learning[J]. *Cluster Computing*, 2024: 1-49.
- [21] Yuan Y, Yang Q, Ren J, et al. Attack-defense strategy assisted osprey optimization algorithm for PEMFC parameters identification[J]. *Renewable Energy*, 2024: 120211.
- [22] El-Kenawy E S M, Rizk F H, Zaki A M, et al. Football Optimization Algorithm (FbOA): A Novel Metaheuristic Inspired by Team Strategy Dynamics[J]. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Metaheuristics* (1): 21-1-38.
- [23] Duzgun E, Acar E, Yildiz A R. A novel chaotic artificial rabbits algorithm for optimization of constrained engineering problems[J]. *Materials Testing*, 2024 (0).
- [24] Chu S C, Wang T T, Yildiz A R, et al. Ship rescue optimization: a new metaheuristic algorithm for solving engineering problems[J]. *Journal of Internet Technology*, 2024, 25(1): 61-78.
- [25] Freund Y, Schapire R E. A decision-theoretic generalization of on-line learning and an application to boosting[J]. *Journal of computer and system sciences*, 1997, 55(1): 119-139.
- [26] Tipping M E. Sparse Bayesian learning and the relevance vector machine[J]. *Journal of machine learning research*, 2001, 1(Jun): 211-244.
- [27] Arora S, Singh S. Butterfly optimization algorithm: a novel approach for global optimization[J]. *Soft computing*, 2019, 23: 715-734.
- [28] Peng T, Fu Y, Wang Y, et al. An intelligent hybrid approach for photovoltaic power forecasting using enhanced chaos game optimization algorithm and Locality sensitive hashing based Informer model[J]. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 2023, 78: 107635.
- [29] Xing A, Chen Y, Suo J, et al. Improving teaching-learning-based optimization algorithm with golden-sine and multi-population for global optimization[J]. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 2024.

- [30] Rashki M, Faes M G R. No-free-lunch theorems for reliability analysis[J]. ASCE-ASME Journal of Risk and Uncertainty in Engineering Systems, Part A: Civil Engineering, 2023, 9(3): 04023019.
- [31] Yuan Y, Shen Q, Wang S, et al. Coronavirus mask protection algorithm: A new bio-inspired optimization algorithm and its applications[J]. Journal of Bionic Engineering, 2023, 20(4): 1747-1765.
- [32] Zhan X, Yu S, Li Y, et al. Reconstructing historical forest spatial patterns based on CA-AdaBoost-ANN model in northern Guangzhou, China[J]. Landscape and Urban Planning, 2024, 242: 104950.
- [33] He Z, Wang J, Jiang M, et al. Random subsequence forests[J]. Information Sciences, 2024, 667: 120478.
- [34] Zhang Y, Wang Z, Kuang H, et al. Prediction of surface settlement in shield-tunneling construction process using PCA-PSO-RVM machine learning[J]. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, 2023, 37(3): 04023012.
- [35] Hu J, Heng J, Tang J, et al. Research and application of a hybrid model based on Meta learning strategy for wind power deterministic and probabilistic forecasting[J]. Energy conversion and management, 2018, 173: 197-209.
- [36] Zhao F, Miao F, Wu Y, et al. Refined landslide susceptibility mapping in township area using ensemble machine learning method under dataset replenishment strategy[J]. Gondwana Research, 2024, 131: 20-37.

18%

相似指数

10%

网际网络来源

17%

出版物

5%

学生文稿

主要来源

- 1 Jiajun Yang, Ming Yang, Pingjing Du, Fangqing Yan, Yixiao Yu. "A Deep Reinforcement Learning Based Energy Storage System Control Method for Wind farm Integrating Prediction and Decision", 2019 IEEE 3rd International Electrical and Energy Conference (CIEEC), 2019 2%
出版物
- 2 Rizk M. Rizk-Allah, Ragab A. El-Sehiemy. "A novel sine cosine approach for single and multiobjective emission/economic load dispatch problem", 2018 International Conference on Innovative Trends in Computer Engineering (ITCE), 2018 1%
出版物
- 3 Yan He, Wei Wang, Meng Li, Qinghai Wang. "A short-term wind power prediction approach based on an improved dung beetle optimizer algorithm, variational modal decomposition, and deep learning", Computers and Electrical Engineering, 2024 1%
出版物

4

www.mdpi.com

网际网络来源

1 %

5

Hongbin Dai, Guangqiu Huang, Jingjing Wang, Huibin Zeng. "VAR-tree model based spatio-temporal characterization and prediction of O3 concentration in China", *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 2023

出版物

1 %

6

Junfei Qiao, Zijian Sun, Xi Meng. "A Comprehensively Improved Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Neural Network for NOx Emissions Prediction in MSWI Process", *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 2023

出版物

1 %

7

Peijin Ju, Xun Gao, Hailong Yu, Qiuyun Wang, Yinping Dou, Jingquan Lin. "Spectral enhancement and quantitative accuracy improvement of trace metal elements in aqueous solutions using electrostatic-assisted laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy", *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 2024

出版物

1 %

8

"Advances in Swarm Intelligence", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2021

出版物

1 %

9

pdfs.semanticscholar.org

网际网络来源

1 %

10 Shun Li, Jun Yang, Fuzhang Wu, Rui Li, Ghamgeen Izat Rashed. "Combined Prediction of Photovoltaic Power Based on Sparrow Search Algorithm Optimized Convolution Long and Short-Term Memory Hybrid Neural Network", Electronics, 2022
出版物

1 %

11 www.cs.uoi.gr
网际网络来源

1 %

12 Giuliana Rosendo de Oliveira Medeiros, Vaneuza Araújo Moreira Funke, Alberto Cardoso Martins Lima, Ana Lúcia Vieira Mion et al. "THE ROLE OF MOLECULAR OR CYTOGENETIC RESPONSE AS A FAVORABLE PROGNOSTIC FACTOR BEFORE HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELL TRANSPLANTATION FOR CHRONIC MYELOID LEUKEMIA", Transplantation and Cellular Therapy, 2024
出版物

<1 %

13 Jianji Ren, Zhenxi Wang, Yong Pang, Yongliang Yuan. "Genetic algorithm-assisted an improved AdaBoost double-layer for oil temperature prediction of TBM", Advanced Engineering Informatics, 2022
出版物

<1 %

14 Kamal Z. Zamli, Md. Abdul Kader, Saiful Azad, Bestoun S. Ahmed. "Hybrid Henry gas solubility optimization algorithm with dynamic cluster-to-algorithm mapping", Neural Computing and Applications, 2021
出版物

<1 %

15 Neelakshi Sarma, Sanasam Ranbir Singh, Diganta Goswami. "Influence of social conversational features on language identification in highly multilingual online conversations", Information Processing & Management, 2019
出版物

<1 %

16 HaoDong Zhang, Mei Wu, SiYuan Chen. "Research on Aero-engine Fault Diagnosis Based on Meta- learning", 2021 International Conference on Mechanical, Aerospace and Automotive Engineering, 2021
出版物

<1 %

17 Submitted to Wayne State University
学生文稿

<1 %

18 www.arxiv-vanity.com
网际网络来源

<1 %

19 Nithin Isaac, Akshay Kumar Saha. "Analysis of Refueling Behavior Models for Hydrogen-Fuel Vehicles: Markov versus Generalized Poisson Modeling", Sustainability, 2023
出版物

<1 %

20 Sankalap Arora, Satvir Singh, Kaan Yetilmmezsoy. "A modified butterfly optimization algorithm for mechanical design optimization problems", Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering, 2018
出版物 <1 %

21 journalofinequalitiesandapplications.springeropen.com
网际网络来源 <1 %

22 Submitted to Bahcesehir University
学生文稿 <1 %

23 Seyedali Mirjalili. "Handbook of Moth-Flame Optimization Algorithm - Variants, Hybrids, Improvements, and Applications", CRC Press, 2022
出版物 <1 %

24 Sizhou Sun, Yu Wang, Ying Meng, Chenxi Wang, Xuehua Zhu. "Multi-step wind speed forecasting model using a compound forecasting architecture and an improved QPSO-based synchronous optimization", Energy Reports, 2022
出版物 <1 %

25 Xingtang Wu, Hairong Dong, Chi K. Tse. "Multi-Objective Timetabling Optimization for a Two-Way Metro Line Under Dynamic <1 %

Passenger Demand", IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 2021

出版物

26

Yi Zhang, Hexu Sun, Yingjun Guo. "Wind Power Prediction Based on PSO-SVR and Grey Combination Model", IEEE Access, 2019

出版物

<1 %

27

www.iasei.org

网际网络来源

<1 %

28

Zhewen Niu, Zeyuan Yu, Wenhua Tang, Qinghua Wu, Marek Reformat. "Wind power forecasting using attention-based gated recurrent unit network", Energy, 2020

出版物

<1 %

29

acris.aalto.fi

网际网络来源

<1 %

30

Ling-ling Li, Ze-Yao Cen, Ming-Lang Tseng, Qiang Shen, Mohd Helmi Ali. "Improving short-term wind power prediction using hybrid improved cuckoo search arithmetic - Support vector regression machine", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2021

出版物

<1 %

31

Wenli Lei, Jinping Han, Xinghao Wu. "Improved Osprey Optimization Algorithm with Multi-Strategy Fusion", Biomimetics, 2024

出版物

<1 %

32

Cheng Chen, Yuhan Hu, Marimuthu Karuppiah, Priyan Malarvizhi Kumar. "Artificial intelligence on economic evaluation of energy efficiency and renewable energy technologies", Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments, 2021

出版物

<1 %

33

Guohui Li, Wannan Chang, Hong Yang. "A Novel Combined Prediction Model for Monthly Mean Precipitation With Error Correction Strategy", IEEE Access, 2020

出版物

<1 %

34

Guoqing An, Ziyao Jiang, Xin Cao, Yufei Liang, Yuyang Zhao, Zheng Li, Weichao Dong, Hexu Sun. "Short-term Wind Power Prediction Based On Particle Swarm Optimization-Extreme Learning Machine Model Combined with Adaboost Algorithm", IEEE Access, 2021

出版物

<1 %

35

René Jursa. "Variable selection for wind power prediction using particle swarm optimization", Proceedings of the 9th annual conference on Genetic and evolutionary computation - GECCO 07 GECCO 07, 2007

出版物

<1 %

36

Wu Zheng, Zhonghe Shui, Zhengzhong Xu, Xu Gao, Shaolin Zhang. "Multi-objective optimization of concrete mix design based on

<1 %

37

Xiaomei Wu, Songjun Jiang, Chun Sing Lai,
Zhuoli Zhao, Loi Lei Lai. "Short-Term Wind
Power Prediction Based on Data
Decomposition and Combined Deep Neural
Network", Energies, 2022

出版物

<1 %

不含引文

关闭

不含相符结果

关闭

排除参考书目

开