

Non-Destructive Testing_manuscript.pdf

Date [.]	2023-06-08	19.23 LITC	
Date.	2023-00-00	19.25 010	

★ All sources 11 ● Internet sources 11
 ✓ [0] ● escholarship.org/content/qt24v817mp/qt24v817mp_noSplash_72b56470f9234065e1a3b3396ff148bf.pdf
 ④ a3% 35 matches
 ● cee.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/Dissertation_McKay2009.pdf
 2.3% 11 matches
 ① 1 documents with identical matches
 → a ● www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:991427/FULLTEXT01.pdf

V	[3]	1.2% 6 matches
V	[4]	• www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/19/5/1246 0.7% 5 matches
V	[5]	 www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/14/7/1158 0.3% 4 matches
V	[6]	 inis.iaea.org/collection/NCLCollectionStore/_Public/27/054/27054308.pdf 0.0% 3 matches
V	[7]	♥ socialsci.libretexts.org/Courses/Arapahoe_Community_College/ESA_420:_Research_and_Design_for_Emergency_Services/07:_Survey_Re: 0.3% 1 matches
√	[8]	 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4032682/ 0.0% 3 matches
7	[9]	 www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0968016006001700 0.0% 2 matches
V	[10]	Patents.google.com/patent/US4499951 0.0% 1 matches
•	[11]	www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0959652620350162 0.0% 1 matches

10 pages, 2621 words

PlagLevel: 4.9% selected / 8.5% overall

42 matches from 12 sources, of which 12 are online sources.

Settings

Data policy: Compare with web sources

Sensitivity: High

Bibliography: Bibliography excluded

Citation detection: Highlighting only

Whitelist: --

Application of Non-destructive Geophysical Methods for Testing Concrete

Structures

Abstract

Non-destructive testing of reinforced concrete structures is of utmost importance in construction and civil engineering, where many factors can cause internal and external deterioration of reinforced concrete structures: the environment, nuclear radiation, and structural defects, among others. Geophysical non-destructive testing methods such as ultrasonic and radar have been increasingly used in civil engineering in recent years. Improvements in the ultrasonic testing of concrete have produced detailed images of the interiors of even the most complex structures and allowed for the earliest possible detection of deterioration. In this study, three geophysical methods were applied to inspect concrete structures: parallel seismic, sonic echo, and ultra-seismic. These three testing methods are applied to concrete foundations of two depths, namely 5, and 7 m; the deep foundation has a crack at a depth of 4.5 m. The collected data are processed using a low-pass filter to remove the higher frequencies. These results of parallel seismic tests accurately predict foundation depths of 5 and 7 m and P-wave velocities of 2551 and 1097 m/s, respectively. Sonic echo tests yield depth predictions of 5.07 and 7.01 m for the tested foundations and 4.44 m for the depth of the crack. Meanwhile, ultra-seismic tests give depth predictions of 7.1 and 4.6 m for the foundation and crack, respectively, while clarifying the P-wave velocities of the corresponding reflections: 3447 m/s exiting the 7-m foundation and 2668 m/s exiting the embedded crack. Depth estimates based on each method show strong agreement with true depths. In conclusion, these three geophysical methods have great

potential to provide quantitative data to drive quality assurance and remediation for concrete structures.

1. Introduction

Heterogeneity in concrete is a major problem, whether in the form of fractures, cracks, aggregates, or other degradation features. Long-term exposure to severe weather conditions necessitates an assessment of the structural soundness and dependability of concrete. The type and strength of the degradation mechanism acting on the concrete structure determine the durability of the concrete structure, as do the structure's resistance to degradation physical factors such as rheological processes, corrosion, crystallization, leaching, overload, fatigue, temperature, and humidity variation (Tosti et al., 2020; Catapano et al., 2020). Underlying factors include chemical mechanisms (carbonization, corrosion, corrosive environmental influence, material component reactions) and biological mechanisms (activities of plants, microbes, and animals in concrete structures) (Kim et al., 2003; Holä et al., 2015; Tosti and Ferrante, 2019). In practice, the combination of diverse mechanisms usually manifests as a complex degradation process that harms the structure and eventually defines its service life (Solla et al., 2019).

Corrosion of reinforcing steel is one of the key problems impacting the service life of reinforced concrete (RC). Such corrosion is caused by the damaging oxidation of the steel when exposed to harsh conditions, particularly chloride ions and/or carbon dioxide (Hasan and Yazdani, 2016, Teši et al., 2021). Due to the pressures exerted by the expansive oxide and the reduction or exhaustion of the adhesion between the reinforcement and the concrete, the consequences of the destructive action of oxidation present as a decrease in

the steel cross-section, cracks in the concrete, and even lamination of the concrete (Prego et al., 2016). Internal flaws include voids and corrosion, while external defects include honeycombing, delamination, and rough surfaces. Numerous catastrophic outcomes can result from concrete defects, such as decreased load capacity and ductility, crack propagation, structural member failure, and collapse (Richart et al., 1928).

For these reasons, structural quality assessment is crucial. One of the most prevalent approaches to inspecting RC structures is non-destructive testing (NDT; Álvarez et al., 2023). In recent years, NDT methods have been used in a variety of engineering and geoscience fields (Almalki, 2015). Advancements in the application of electrical, electromagnetic, optical, and acoustic NDT methods have led to the establishment of their standalone use in many sectors. Many of these methodologies have now been thoroughly studied and evaluated (Wong et al., 2019). New theoretical advancements, improvements to hardware and software components, and the discovery of new surveying and data processing methods and interpretations have been the primary foci of research. Therefore, the quality of NDT results is now very high, and data can be captured quite precisely with current technology. The next scientific challenge on the horizon is to integrate sensing methodologies to significantly improve the capabilities of existing NDT technology in the face of new and complex scenarios. The need for more efficient methods to probe unusual situations is driving this development.

In the case of Italy, for example, every structure must conform to the Structure Act's basic standards for structures and other requirements, such as those pertaining to its intended use, throughout its lifetime (Vecchio and Bucci, 1999). Unfortunately, many concrete structures begin to show serious signs of degradation after only 20 to 30 years due

to the combined action of mechanical and environmental influences. Such degradation occurs predominantly as a result of corrosion, and corrosion-related maintenance expenditures thus account for more than 3% of worldwide gross domestic product (GDP) annually.

In areas prone to earthquakes, rock falls, and soil deformation, a thorough geometric evaluation of the foundation can help ensure the safety of the structure. ^[0]^P However, older structures' architectural blueprints may not be readily available, casting doubt on claims regarding the depth of the foundation, the geometry of the structure, or the structure's ability to withstand natural disasters. As a result, a reliable strategy must be implemented for evaluating the geometries of existing foundations. Ultrasonic measurements are used in fields as diverse as digital rock physics, well inspection, military and industrial equipment, and medicine (Meyers et al. 1960; Fry et al. 1962), among others. Recent years have seen significant advances in a number of ultrasonic techniques, making them increasingly essential for many problems in civil engineering involving the assessment of the condition of reinforced concrete (RC) structures. Some examples of this technology's many uses are flow detection (Bohs and Trahey, 1991), mapping internal objects or flaws (Schickert, 2005), and length assessment of concrete shafts following devastating earthquakes (Richard et al., 1998).

The effectiveness of several ultrasonic techniques for estimating the lengths of undamaged or defective piles has been the subject of extensive field experiments. Drilled shafts were evaluated by Finno and Gassman (1998) using the impulse response technique. They showed that three factors all affect the method's accuracy: the ratio of the shaft's length to its depth, the ratio of the shear wave velocity of the surrounding soil to the

propagation velocity of the concrete, and the soil stratigraphy. The reflection response of embedded flaws under the impact echo method was demonstrated in finite element research by Lin et al. (1997). They brought attention to the impact echo approach's capacity to identify the presence of cracks, voids, and layers of low-quality concrete in concrete shafts. Lo et al. (2009) detailed an experimental technique for applying the parallel seismic (PS) method in estimating the depth of a foundation of unknown depth. The PS approach can non-destructively provide information regarding pile geometry and depth at a low cost (Olson et al., 1996; Ni et al., 2011). For the determination of unknown bridge foundation depths, Olson (2003) showed that the PS and ultra-seismic (US) methods were generally applicable to both borehole and surface methods.

The current study aims to use PS, US, and sonic echo (SE) NDT methods in order to; i) identify internal concrete fractures/cracks and ii) determine the depths of the tested foundations.

2. Material and methods

The fundamentals of the three methods are outlined below in the following order: first PS, then US, and finally SE.

2.1 Parallel seismic method

Borehole seismic methods such as the PS technique are utilized to determine the depths of foundations of unknown depths. Researchers at the Center for Experimental Research and Studies of Building and Construction developed the PS method in the mid-1970s (Lo et al., 2009). Several publications, including Olson (2003) and Olson et al. (2006), have provided exhaustive descriptions of this testing technique. The PS method necessitates the

installation of a 2.32-inch slanted cased borehole (i.e., ASTM D 4428/D 4428M) adjacent to the foundation in order to conduct the necessary tests. The borehole is subsequently filled with water (or left empty when employing a 3-component (3C) geophone) in order to detect the radiated waves with a hydrophone. The sum of the actual foundation depth and the drilling depth must be 5 m. The stress waves are generated by an external force delivered by an instrumented hammer to the top or any accessible area of the foundation; these waves then travel down the foundation and are picked up by a hydrophone in the borehole. Hydrophones are placed at regular intervals along the borehole in order to collect data at various depths. Repeating the source (here, an impact hammer) at multiple measurement sites and stacking the resulting data can increase the signal-to-noise ratio. The collected information is used to create a signal-versus-depth diagram (Figure 1). In most cases, the depth of a structure's foundation can be estimated based on the intersection point, on a travel-time plot, of the foundation velocity line and the soil velocity line (Liao et al., 2006).

2.2 Ultra-seismic method

The data generation and acquisition processes of the US method require a relatively tall exposure of the foundation: 1.5-2 m. US measurements are taken using a 3-component accelerometer and an impulse hammer. The data can be collected from the accelerometer by inserting it on any side of the base. As can be seen in Figure 2, the impact hammer is always sited at the topmost part of the column, and the time–depth profile is constructed by repeating the test at various accelerometer positions along the accessible vertical profile. The existence of internal defects is determined by demonstrating an intersection point between the first arrivals (also known as wave velocity within the foundation) and reflected

energy from the bottom or any portion of the foundation. Conventional methods for analyzing geophysical borehole data are adapted for use with the US approach (Jalinoos and Olson, 1996). Processing steps include an automatic gain control, a band-pass filter operating from 0 to 0.5 and from 3 to 4 kHz, and the elimination of DC shift.

^[0] 2.3 Sonic echo method

SE, one of the earliest approaches to use reflected stress waves to practically estimate the depths of foundations, identifies stress wave parameters, namely wave velocity, and reflection duration. The impact hammer's strike on the top of the foundation generates compressional waves (Figure 3), which travel the length of the foundation and reflect back from any discontinuity. Such discontinuities may represent the bottom of the foundation or locations with anomalous acoustic impedance. A velocity transducer (geophone) attached to the foundation head measures vibrations (Figure 3). Then the following equation is used to estimate the foundation depth D based on the speed of compressional waves V_p traveling through the foundation and the time difference (t) between the first arrival waves and the reflected waves from the discontinuity.

$$D = V_p \times \frac{t_p}{2} \tag{1}$$

For concrete structures, V_p can range from 3,500 to 4,500 m/s depending on factors including age, quality, and composition (Popovics, 1994). In practice, sound propagates through high-quality concrete at ~4000 m/s, but the propagation velocity in low-quality concrete is only ~2000–3000 m/s. In this way, engineering geophysical approaches allow for the straightforward detection of defects in concrete.

3. Results and discussion

The NDT test site at King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology was used for the experimental study of non-destructive geophysical methods. For cross-hole seismic testing, the site features a poly (vinyl chloride) inclined cased borehole built in accordance with ASTM requirements (D 4428/D 4428M) (Figures 4 and 5). Two concrete foundations with effective depths of 5 and 7 m were poured to finish the site. A crack volume of 25 × 25×5 cm, representing 30% of the total volume of the foundation, was prepared at a depth of 4.5 m within the deeper of the two foundations.

^[0] We conducted a field test to determine the viability of the PS approach by verifying the depth of the shallower of the two foundations. Seismographic data from a 5-m-deep PS test are displayed in Figure 6. A 3C geophone shown in Figure 6 is installed in a borehole with uniform geophone spacing of 0.5 m from ground level to a depth of 8 m below ground level. The foundation depth was interpreted using the straight-line fitting methods previously discussed. The fitting approach predicted velocities of 2551 and 1097 m/s and a foundation depth of 5.02 m, which closely matched the actual depth of the foundation.

The features of the wave field received from the bottom of the foundation and the embedded fracture were analyzed using the US method. Figure 2 depicts the foundation from the accessible side, while Figure 7 displays a multi-channel recording of the downward and upward wave fields.

A 3C accelerometer was utilized to collect the information along a 15-cm vertical profile. Signals from the vertical component are shown in Figure 7, with each trace representing a unique foundation measurement. The reflections from the bottom of the foundation and the embedded fracture, traveling at 3447 and 2668 m/s, respectively, were able to be distinguished and identified as coming from different locations due to the

different amount of time it took for each reflection to arrive. Straight-line fitting methods for down-going waves (direct arrivals) and up-going waves (reflections from the foundation bottom and the embedded fracture) yielded predicted depths of 7.1 m for the 7-m-deep foundation and 4.6 m for the fracture zone at an actual depth of 4.5 m.

Predicting reflections from embedded imperfections is difficult without the US approach's precise measurement.^[7] This research shows that the quality of the data collected depends heavily on how securely the accelerometer is coupled to the test surface. Therefore, grease was used to attach the accelerometer to the basement wall, providing a flat surface for testing and ensuring proper coupling.

Next, SE tests were performed on the tops of two foundations with depths of 5 and 7 m to determine their true depths (Figures 8 and 9). The data, processed using a low-pass filter to remove the higher frequencies, yielded predicted foundation depths of 5.07 and 7.01 m deep, respectively, based on Equation 1. These predicted depths agree strongly with the true depths.

4. Conclusions

Three NDT techniques were applied to concrete foundations of two different depths: 5 and 7 m; the deep foundation had a crack at a depth of 4.5 m. The higher frequencies were eliminated from the gathered data by applying a low-pass filter during processing. PS testing results predicted velocities of 2551 and 1097 m/s for the foundation depth and the surrounding soil and foundation depths of 5 and 7 m, respectively. SE testing predicted foundation depths of 5.07 and 7.01 m, respectively, for these same two foundations. Furthermore, SE testing predicted a depth of 4.44 m for the fracture zone was

in depth. The US testing approach estimated that reflections left the 7-m foundation at a Pwave velocity of 3447 m/s but left the embedded crack at a velocity of 2668 m/s. US testing predicted depths of 7.1 and 4.6 m, respectively, for the 7-m-deep foundation, and the fracture zone at a depth of 4.5 m. According to these results, the predictions of all three approaches show significant agreement with the actual depths of foundations and fracture zones. These three geophysical methods thus show great potential for providing quantitative data about concrete structures to drive quality assurance and remediation efforts. Based on the results obtained herein, it is counseled that using these methods in the evaluation of concrete structures will be more effective and beneficial.