# Article by Nabeela Zahid

**Submission date:** 14-Nov-2022 04:19PM (UTC+0500)

**Submission ID:** 1953540982

File name: Revised\_article\_14.Nov.2022.docx (44.9K)

Word count: 3960 Character count: 23331

In vitro and in silico validation of antibacterial potential of Pinus roxburghii and Cedrus deodara leaves' extract against 2 human pathogenic bacteria 3 4 Nabeela Zahid<sup>1</sup>, Sabaz Ali Khan<sup>1</sup>, Fahad M Al-Hamaid<sup>2</sup>, Mohammad Maroof Shah<sup>1</sup>, Dunia A Al 5 6 Farraj<sup>2</sup>, Mohamed S Elshikh<sup>2</sup>, Rafiq Ahmad<sup>1\*</sup>, Arshad Mehmood Abbasi<sup>3,4</sup>\*\* 7 8 Department of Biotechnology, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus, 22060, 9 Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Department of Botany and Microbiology, College of Science, King Saud University, P.o. 2455, 10 Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia 11 <sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad 12 Campus, 22060, Pakistan 13 14 <sup>4</sup>University of Gastronomic Sciences, Piazza Vittorio Emanuele II, 9, 12042, Italy 15 16 Corresponding Authors: 17 18 Rafiq Ahmad 19 Email address: drrafiq@cuiatd.edu.pk Arshad Mehmood Abbasi 20 21 Email address: amabbasi@cuiatd.edu.pk 22 23 Abstract 24 25 The emergence of resistant pathogenic bacterial strains has threatened the human beings and

26 already developed remedial measures. Based on the traditional herbal therapeutic history, present 27 study is aimed to assess in vitro and in silico inhibition potential of leaves extracts of Pinus roxburghii and Cedrus deodara against human pathogenic bacteria Staphylococcus aureus, 28 Salmonella typhi and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Hexane, methanol and acetone extracts of both plants were evaluated against above mentioned bacterial strains employing agar well diffusion 30 technique. While docking analyses were performed to analyze the interaction of vital bioactive compounds and bacterial virulence proteins to get an idea about potential candidates for drug 32 33 discovery. Both plant extracts exhibited greater antibacterial activities against S. aureus as compared to S. typhi and P. aeruginosa. The activity of different extracts also portrayed the role

29

31

of polarity of solvent and compound to be extracted in each solvent i.e., activity of hexane extract 35 > methanol > acetone with some variations. MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) values of P. 36 37 roxburghii extracts were less than that of C. deodara against tested strains, while variation was observed in MBCs (minimum bactericidal concentrations). Furthermore, molecular docking of 38 studied plants bioactive compounds and bacterial proteins showed strong interactions (binding 39 40 affinity) i.e., taxifolin > nortrachelogenin > bisabolene > valencene > caryophyllene. Antibacterial 41 efficiencies of P. roxburghii and C. deodara suggested their application as effective therapeutic 42 agents against diseases caused by mentioned bacterial strains. In silico analysis suggests the isolation and usage of bioactive components as potential antibacterial agents/drugs after further 43 experimentations on animals. 44

**Key words:** Gymnosperm, antibacterial, *P. roxburghii, C. deodara*, MIC, MBC

1. Introduction

45 46

47

48

49 50

51

52 53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

61

62

63

64 65 The emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance in pathogenic bacterial strains has substantially threatened the present-day remedial measures (Manandhar et al., 2019). Multidrug resistant bacterial infections mainly increase cost of treatments and mortality rate. There are limited and expensive therapeutic preferences for these infectious agents with significant adverse effects (Nakagawa et al., 2016). The present-day exigence persuaded human to evaluate novel natural antimicrobial drugs with less side effects and greater efficiency (Salem et al., 2014). Therefore, current study was designed to employ plant extracts (P. roxburghii and C. deodara) for their antibacterial activity. P. roxburghii (Chir pine) is one of the substantial pine species of Indo-Pakistan coniferous forests (Sadeghi et al., 2016). P. roxburghii is reported to have anti-inflammatory, hepato-protective antibacterial and anticonvulsant (Kumari et al., 2017). The beneficial properties of pine needles have also been portrayed in individuals with diabetes, rheumatism, obesity, cardiovascular diseases, liver and stomach infections, chronic bronchitis and cancer (Saad et al., 2017). Bark extracts of pines portrayed anti-mutagenic, anti-carcinogenic, anti-aging, anti-inflammatory and high antioxidant properties (Sood, 2018). C. deodara (deodar), member of family Pinaceae is of immense ethnobotanical and therapeutic importance (Kumar et al., 2013). In China, C. deodara is one of the extensively exploited

traditional medicinal herbs having anticancer effects with additional therapeutic capacities in

relieving itches, removing dampness and destroying parasites (Shi et al., 2016). Needles have antiinflammatory, anti-rheumatic and antimicrobial activities (Buneri et al., 2019).

Based on above findings *in vitro* antibacterial activity analyses of *P. roxburghii* and *C. deodara* crude extracts were evaluated against human pathogenic bacterial strains. In addition, to support results of this study, *in silico* interaction between bioactive compounds (already identified in crude extracts of selected plants) and virulent proteins of selected pathogenic bacterial strains was conducted to evaluate bioactive compounds that could be responsible for inhibition of pathogenic strains.

74 75

76

94

95

66

67 68

69

70

71

72 73

#### 2. Materials & Methods

#### 2.1. Sampling and extract preparation

The fresh leaves of P. roxburghii and C. deodara plants were collected from Khyber 77 Pakhtunkhwa Forest Department and Billion Tree Tsunami Afforestation Project Nursery 78 Abbottabad, respectively. Selected plants were identified by Dr. Arshad Mehmood Abbasi who 79 80 is a plant taxonomist at Department of Environmental Sciences, CUI Abbottabad Campus, 81 Pakistan. Voucher specimens of P. roxburghii and C. deodara were CUHA-346 and CUHA-21 82 respectively. Collected leaves were washed properly with distilled water followed by shade drying for 4-5 weeks (25°C) to prepare their crude extract using standard methodologies with 83 84 some modifications (Bhattacharjee et al., 2006). Dried leaves were ground into fine powder and 85 soaked in hexane, methanol and acetone solvents. 10 g of fine powder from both plants was soaked in 200 ml of each solvent in separate glass bottle placed on shaking incubator for 86 87 overnight and finally filtered with Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The process of soaking the residues in distinct solvents, overnight incubation, and filtration to attain a clear filtrate was 88 repeated 2 times and the resultant filtrates were evaporated and dried under reduced pressure at 89 90 30-40°C using rotary vacuum evaporator (Büchi® rotary evaporator Model R-200). Extracts were dried further using lypholizer and their yields were weighed and placed in air tight vials for 91 92 future use. Percentage yields were calculated with following formula: Extract yield =  $R/S \times 100$ R = Weight of extract, S = Weight of plant raw material (Mostafa et al., 2018)93

Sample dilutions were prepared by dissolving different required quantities of dried powder in 1 ml of dimethyle sulphoxide (DMSO).

#### 2.2. Determination of antibacterial activity

- 97 To assess the effectiveness of selected plant extracts, S. typhi (ATCC 6539), P. aeruginosa (ATCC
- 98 9027) and S. aureus (KX262679) were collected from National University of Sciences &
- 99 Technology, Pakistan. Antibacterial activity was evaluated employing agar well diffusion assay
- 100 (Sen and Batra, 2012). Autoclaved nutrient agar media was poured in petri plates and placed in
- incubator (25°C) for 3-4 hours. Bacterial suspensions were prepared in autoclaved dH<sub>2</sub>O to get 0.5
- OD at 600 nm (107-108 CFU/ml). 20 µl of each bacterial suspension was spread in petri plates
- and then wells were made with 6 mm cork borer, 30  $\mu$ l of plant extract was poured in each well
- and plates were incubated at 37°C for 18 h. Streptomycin (30 µg/well) and DMSO were also used
- as positive and negative control respectively. The diameter of clear zones of inhibition were
- measured as a sign of antibacterial activity (Chauhan et al., 2013).

#### 2.2.1. Determination of MICs and MBCs

- 108 MICs were evaluated for those effective plant extracts which displayed antimicrobial activity at
- 109 concentration of 50 mg/ml. While MBCs were determined for the lowest concentrations of plant
- extracts which did not exhibit any visible growth by streaking them on fresh media (Rehman et
- 111 al., 2018).

96

107

112

122

126

#### 2.3. Molecular docking analysis

- To authenticate the outcome of *in vitro* antibacterial activity of crude extracts, *in silico* study was
- 114 conducted to investigate the interaction of bioactive compounds in the targeted plant species with
- virulent proteins i.e., cysteine and serine proteases of selected bacterial strains. Corresponding 3D
- 116 structures of the protein targets were obtained from RCSB Protein Data Bank. Bioactive
- 117 compounds were actually selected from already available GC-MS data of studied extracts using
- 118 aforementioned solvents. Ligand molecules were obtained from online database ZINC15
- http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.jcim.5b00559. Docking analysis was done using online
- tool CB-Dock and ligand-protein binding features were analyzed in Discovery Studio 4.1 (Dassault
- 121 Systems Biovia) (Sampangi-Ramaiah et al., 2020).

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

- 123 Antibacterial activity was done in triplicates and the data was presented as Mean ± Standard
- 124 deviation. Tukey's HSD post hoc test following One-way ANOVA was carried out to investigate
- the significant difference in antibacterial activity of different concentrations of both plant extracts.

#### 3. Results

## 3.1. Antibacterial activity of plant extracts

Investigated extracts of *P. roxburghii* and *C. deodara* displayed potential effectiveness in suppressing pathogenic bacterial growth. Variation in activity was observed which might be due to different type of pathogenic organisms and types of extracts. Overall, zones of inhibition for all the selected extracts ranged from 10.3-17.7 mm, 9.7-19.3 mm and 9.7-18.7 mm against *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus* and *S. typhi* respectively.

P. aeruginosa was revealed as the most resistant strain to plant extracts followed by S. typhi while 133 134 S. aureus was the most susceptible strain to the plants extracts. P. roxburghii extracts showed more activity than C. deodara extracts against P. aeruginosa, S. aureus and S. typhi while a little 135 136 variation in zones of inhibition was observed by C. deodara hexane extract against S. typhi which showed larger zones of inhibition. Maximum zone of inhibition (17.7 mm) against P. aeruginosa 137 138 was shown by P. roxburghii acetone extract at concentration of 100 mg/ml, while hexane and 139 methanolic extracts (100 mg/ml) of P. roxburghii depicted maximum activity against S. aureus by showing zones of inhibition of 19.3 mm. Similarly, S. typhi was greatly inhibited by P. roxburghii 140 141 methanol extract (100 mg/ml) with zones of inhibition of 18.7 mm. Streptomycin displayed zone 142 of inhibition of 19.7±0.6 mm against P. aeruginosa and S. typhi each, while 26.7±0.6 mm of zone

143 of inhibition against S. aureus. DMSO did not show any zone of inhibition (Fig. 1-3). 144 Hexane extracts (100 mg/ml) of P. roxburghii and C. deodara depicted greater activity against S. 145 aureus followed by P. aeruginosa and S. typhi (Fig. 1 a & b). Methanol extracts (100 mg/ml) of 146 both plants showed different trend of antimicrobial activity. P roxburghii methanol extract inhibited S. aureus greater than S. typhi and P. aeruginosa, while C. deodara methanol extract 147 148 portrayed larger zones of inhibition against S. typhi than other studied strains (Fig. 2 a & b). 149 Acetone extract (100 mg/ml) of P. roxburghii inhibited P. aeruginosa followed by S. typhi and S. aureus, while C. deodara acetone extract (100 mg/ml) showed opposite trend of activity with 150 151 maximum inhibition against S. aureus followed by S. typhi and P. aeruginosa (Fig. 3 a & b).

152 153

154155

156

127

128 129

130

131 132

#### 3.1.1. MICs and MBCs of the effective plant extracts

The inhibitory effects of *P. roxburghii* hexane, methanol and acetone extracts were started to be visualized at 25, 30 and 30 mg/ml with inhibition zones of 12.3±0.6, 13.7±0.6 and 15.3±0.6 mm against *P. aeruginosa* strain respectively. Likewise, *P. roxburghii* hexane extract showed MIC of

- 157 1 mg/ml against S. aureus. The growth of S. typhi was suppressed by all tested strains of P.
- 158 roxburghii at minimum concentrations of 10 mg/ml (Table 1, Fig. 1-3).
- 159 C. deodara extracts showed potentially less bacteriostatic activity against P. aeruginosa which
- was proved to be more resistant, and its MIC in hexane, methanol and acetone extracts reached to
- 30, 50 and 50 mg/ml respectively. C. deodara hexane extract suppressed growth of S. aureus and
- 162 S. typhi at MIC of 1 and 10 mg/ml correspondingly (Table 1).
- 163 Proxburghii hexane, methanol and acetone extracts showed potential bactericidal activity against
- 164  $\overline{P}$ . aeruginosa with MBC value of 30 mg/ml for each extract while their MBC against S. aureus
- reached to 1, 20 and 20 mg/ml individually. *P. roxburghii* hexane, methanol and acetone extracts
- showed MBCs of 20, 20 and 10 mg/ml against S. typhi. Likewise, MBCs of C. deodara hexane,
- methanol and acetone extracts were 30, 50 and 50 mg/ml respectively against *P. aeruginosa* which
- was proved to be more resistant. C. deodara hexane, methanol and acetone extracts against S.
- aureus showed MBC of 10, 10 and 50 mg/ml respectively. MBCs of C. deodara extracts against
- 170 S. typhi were observed to be 20, 30 and 50 mg/ml.

#### 3.2. Molecular docking analysis

- 172 Docking analysis of monoterpenoids in hexane extract of both plants showed same pattern of
- 173 inhibition potential as that of plants crude extracts i.e., P. aeruginosa>S. typhi>S. aureus by
- showing greater binding affinity. While sesquiterpenoids showed greater interaction with S. aureus
- with binding affinity ranged between -5.1 to -7.7 kcal/mol. Bioactive compounds of methanol
- 176 extracts showed some variation in interaction and binding affinity with corresponding crude
- 177 extracts activity. However, components of acetone extracts followed *in vitro* antibacterial activity
- pattern by showing greater binding affinity with *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* respectively (Fig. 4-
- 179 6)

180 181

171

#### 4. Discussion

- 182 Microbial infections always posed a threat with high morbidity and mortality in immune-
- 183 compromised individuals, but the discovery of alternate traditional medicines is of prime
- 184 importance to eliminate microbial infections and limit the use of toxic synthetic antibiotics. S.
- aureus is causative agent of skin infections and food borne diseases while gastroenteritis diseases
- in humans are caused by metabolites and toxins produced by S. typhi and P. aeruginosa (Siddiqui

188 signified the occurance of maximum bioactive components in extracts of both plants. 189 Zafar et al. (2010) reported that oil extracted from pinus species inhibited the growth of S. aureus but has no inhibitory effect on S. typhi, like our current findings in which greater antimicrobial 190 191 activity of P. roxburghii leaf extracts was observed against S. aureus than S. typhi. The constituents 192 in respective crude extracts cause disruption of microbial cell membrane by interacting with its proteins and enzymes. A flux of protons disperse towards cell exterior might obstruct enzymes 193 194 essential for amino acid synthesis or induce cell death (Burt, 2004). Hexane extract of both plants showed greater inhibition against S. aureus and P. aeruginosa than 195 196 S. typhi while variable potency was observed by C. deodara extract to inhibit P. aeruginosa (Fig. 1). These extracts comprised of monoterpenoids, diterpenoids and sesquiterpenoids which play 197 key part in antimicrobial activity by posing toxic effects on structure and functions of bacterial 198 199 membrane (Tsvetkov et al., 2019). The possible reason of showing a little variation in activity of 200 both extracts could be the absence/less quantity of specific components in hexane extract of C. deodara due to which P. aeruginosa showed resistance at low concentrations and its high 201 202 concentrations are required to inhibit aforementioned bacterial strain. Concentration of bioactive 203 compounds might be different in both extracts due to two different plant species, their age and 204 growth environment as C. deodara plants were younger than P. roxburghii and both were collected 205 from different locations (Yadav et al., 2017). Likewise, methanolic extracts of P. roxburghii inhibited S. aureus and S. typhi greater than P. aeruginosa. Whereas C. deodara methanolic extract 206 inhibited S. typhi followed by S. aureus and P. aeruginosa (Fig. 2). Previous study showed the 207 208 presence of α-terpineol, linalool, limonene, anethole, caryophyllene and eugenol as bioactive 209 components in methanolic extracts which are involved in dysfunction and disruption of the membrane, outflow of cytoplasmic constituents which lead to bacterial cell death (Gupta et al., 210 211 2011). P. roxburghii acetone extract prominently suppressed P. aeruginosa and S. typhi than S. 212 aureus against which C. deodara extract showed action to a greater extent (Fig. 3). Literature presented 64.3% of the acetone extract of P. roxburghii constituents secoisolariciresionol and 213 214 nortrachelogenin, while C. deodara acetone extract consists of sesquiterpene, flavanoids, alkaloids, tannins, ferulic acid and beta-glucoside which are mainly involved in destruction of 215 216 bacterial cytoplasmic membrane (Thapa et al., 2018). Greater activity of extracts against gram negative bacteria may be due to the presence of porin channels in their outer membrane, which 217

et al., 2009). Selected extracts portrayed potential antimicrobial activity against studied strains

218 facilitate transport of low-molecular-weight constituents, and lipophilic drugs have trouble to cross 219 these channels (Guimarães et al., 2019). 220 Hexane extracts of both plants showed less MICs against tested strains than methanol and acetone 221 extracts, which might be due to the polarity of solvent. Other causes of variation in current MICs 222 could be extracted constituents, extraction techniques and bacterial strains (Chaudhary et al., 223 2014). Docking analysis of monoterpenoids showed more binding affinity with P. aeruginosa while 224 225 sesquiterpenoids displayed greater interaction with S. aureus (Fig. 4, Table 2). The most common 226 interacting amino acids in docked complexes of monoterpenoids and sesquiterpenoids were ALA, 227 GLY, SER and LYS, ALA, VAL and PRO respectively (Fig. 4). Docking analysis of some of the 228 components of methanol extracts showed similar binding pattern with bacterial proteins as that of 229 crude extracts, but others showed some variation. Common interacting aminoacids in docked 230 complexes were ALA, VAL, LYS, ARG and LEU with conventional hydrogen bonds, Van der 231 waals and Pi-alkyl interactions (Fig. 5, Table 2). *In silico* activity confirmed greater interaction of 232 nortrachelogenin with P. aeruginosa and Taxifolin with S. aureus which make them ideal 233 inhibitory components (Fig. 6, Table 2). Taxifolin from C. deodara acetone extract exhibited 234 strong interaction with maximum binding affinity of -8.3 kcal/mol with S. aureus proteases. Interacting aminoacids involved in this docking complex were GLU, THR, ASN and LYS with 235 236 conventional hydrogen bonds, Van der waals and Pi-alkyl interactions (Fig. 6B). Thus, flavonoids 237 mainly taxifolin followed by Nortrachelogenin, bisabolene, valencene and caryophyllene proved 238 to be potential candidates for possessing antibacterial activity as documented by Brown et al., 239 2015. Active compounds having antimicrobial activities restrain pathogenic bacterial strains by 240 targeting significant constituents of bacterial metabolism including protein synthesis, cell wall, RNA polymerases. DNA gyrase & proteases. These bacterial constituents have direct impact in 241 242 virulence by degrading virulence regulators and resisting adverse conditions in host (Human). In 243 view of this, in silico analysis was done to identify the binding interaction of bioactive compounds 244 present in studied crude extracts with the pocket of bacterial proteases. *In silico* analysis gave an 245 idea to isolate and purify those components from crude extracts that showed strong interaction 246 with bacterial virulence proteins and their usage as natural drugs against antibiotic resistant 247 bacteria after more experimentation on animals.

#### 5. Conclusion

Based on current findings, it could be concluded that all the tested extracts of selected plants 249 250 possess the potential antibacterial activity which can be enhanced by increasing extracts 251 concentration. P. roxburghii extracts having more antimicrobial potential than C. deodara extracts 252 could be used as effective therapeutic agents against all tested strains and diseases instigated by 253 them, limiting the use of health hazardous chemically synthesized antibacterial agents. Docking 254 analyses further suggested the possible usage of selected natural compounds of P. roxburghii and 255 C. deodara that showed strong interaction with bacterial virulence proteins could be isolated in 256 purified form for potential drugs synthesis in future after in vivo experimentation.

257 258

### Acknowledgements

- 259 The authors extend their appreciation to the researchers supporting project no. (RSP-2021/190)
- 260 King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Authors are also grateful to the Higher Education
- 261 Commission, Islamabad for providing financial support under "Indigenous PhD Fellowships for
- 262 5000 Scholars, HEC (Phase II)" No 518-84211-2BS5-010 for this research work. Moreover,
- authors are grateful to Alexander Marcel Asghar from Nottingham, UK, for proofreading and
- 264 correcting English language of this manuscript.

265266

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

268

269

#### References

- 270 Bhattacharjee, I., Chatterjee, S.K., Chandra, G. 2006. Antibacterial potentiality of Argemone
- 271 mexicana solvent extracts against some pathogenic bacteria. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz. 101,
- 272 645-648.
- 273 Brown, A.R., Ettefagh, K.A., Todd, D., Cole, P.S., Egan, J.M., Foil, D.H., Cech, N.B. 2015. A
- 274 mass spectrometry-based assay for improved quantitative measurements of efflux pump
- 275 inhibition. PLoS One. 10(5), e0124814.
- 276 Buneri, I.D., Yousuf, M., Attaullah, M., Afridi, S., Anjum, S.I., Rana, H., Ansari, M.J. 2019. A
- 277 comparative toxic effect of Cedrus deodara oil on larval protein contents and its behavioral
- 278 effect on larvae of mealworm beetle (Tenebrio molitor)(Coleoptera:Tenebrionidae). Saudi J.
- 279 Biol. Sci. 26(2), 281-285.

- Burt, S. 2004. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods—a
- 281 review. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 94(3):223-53.
- 282 Chaudhary, A.K., Ahmad, S.H.A., Mazumder, A.V.I. 2014. Protective effect of Cedrusdeodara
- and Pinusroxburghii on experimentally induced gastric ulcers in rat. Int. J. Pharm. Pharma.
- 284 Sci. 6(4), 587-591.
- 285 Chauhan, S., Singh, M., Thakur, A., Dogra, M.S. 2017. Antibacterial activity of Nerium indicum
- against some Gram-positive bacterial species. Int. J. Drug Res. Technol. 3(1), 8-11.
- Guimarães, A.C., Meireles, L.M., Lemos, M.F., Guimarães, M.C.C., Endringer, D.C., Fronza, M.,
- Scherer, R. 2019. Antibacterial activity of terpenes and terpenoids present in essential
- oils. Mol. 24(13), 2471.
- 290 Gupta, S., Walia, A., Malan, R. 2011. Phytochemistry and pharmacology of cedrus deodera: an
- 291 overview. Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res. 2(8), 2010.
- Kumari, N., Singh, N., Singh, B. 2017. Phytoconstituent s and Pharmacological activity of Pinus
- 293 roxburghiiSarg.: A Review. *IJPDA*. 241-249.
- 294 Kumar, M., Qadri, M., Sharma, P.R., Kumar, A., Andotra, S.S., Kaur, T., Shah, B.A. 2013. Tubulin
- inhibitors from an endophytic fungus isolated from Cedrus deodara. J. Nat. Prod. 76(2), 194-
- 296 199.
- 297 Manandhar, S., Luitel, S., Dahal, R.K. 2019. In vitro antimicrobial activity of some medicinal
- plants against human pathogenic bacteria. J. Trop. Med. 2019.
- 299 Mostafa, A.A., Al-Askar, A.A., Almaary, K.S., Dawoud, T.M., Bakri, M.M. 2018. Antimicrobial
- 300 activity of some plant extracts against bacterial strains causing food poisoning diseases. Saudi
- 301 J. Biol. Sci. 25(2):361-6.
- 302 Nakagawa, T., Zhu, Q., Ishikawa, H., Ohnuki, K., Kakino, K., Shimizu, K. 2016. Multiple uses of
- 303 essential oil and by-products from various parts of the Yakushima native Cedar (Cryptomeria
- 304 Japonica). J. Wood Chem. Technol. 36(1), 42-55.
- 305 Rehman, R., Ahmad, R., Khan, S.A., Ali, A., Nazir, A., Azeem, M., Abbasi, A. M. 2018. Cystatin
- 306 genes identification and antibacterial activity in medicinal plants used against clinical
- 307 pathogens. SYLWAN, 162, 62-90.
- 308 Saad, B., Zaid, H., Shanak, S., Kadan, S. 2017. Anti-diabetes and anti-obesity medicinal plants
- and phytochemicals. Anti-Diabetes Anti-Obes. Med. Plants Phytochem, 59-93.

- 310 Sadeghi, M., Zolfaghari, B., Jahanian-Najafabadi, A., Abtahi, S.R. 2016. Anti-pseudomonas
- activity of essential oil, total extract, and proanthocyanidins of Pinus eldarica Medw. bark. Res.
- 312 Pharm. Sci. 11(1), 58.
- 313 Salem, M.Z.M., Ali, H.M., Basalah, M.O. 2014. Essential oils from wood, bark, and needles of
- 314 Pinus roxburghii Sarg. from Alexandria, Egypt: Antibacterial and antioxidant
- 315 activities. BioResources, 9(4), 7454-7466.
- 316 Sampangi-Ramaiah, M.H., Vishwakarma, R., Shaanker, R.U. 2020. Molecular docking analysis
- of selected natural products from plants for inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 main protease. Curr.
- 318 Sci, 118(7), 1087-1092.
- 319 Sen, A., Batra, A. 2012. Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of different solvent extracts of
- medicinal plant: Melia azedarach L. Int. J. Curr. Pharm. Res. 4(2), 67-73.
- 321 Shi, X., Liu, D., Zhang, J., Hu, P., Fan, B., Wang, X. 2016. Extraction and purification of total
- 322 flavonoids from pine needles of Cedrus deodara contribute to anti-tumor in vitro. BMC
- 323 Complement. Altern. Med. 16(1), 1-9.
- 324 Siddiqui, M.F., Ahmed, M., Wahab, M., Khan, N., Khan, Nazim, K., Hussain, S.S. 2009.
- Phytosociology of Pinus roxburghii Sargent (chir pine) in lesser Himalayan and Hindu Kush
- 326 range of Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot, 41(5), 2357-2369.
- 327 Sood, Y. 2018. Usefulness of multivariate analysis in forestry research: A case study of Pinus
- roxburghii. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 7(2):3508-9.
- 329 Thapa, R., Upreti, A., Pandey, B.P. 2018. Chemical profiling and biological activity analysis of
- cone, bark, and needle of Pinus roxburghii collected from Nepal. J. Intercult. Ethnopharmcol.
- 331 1(1), 66-75.
- 332 Tsvetkov, D.E., Kumar, R., Devrani, R., Tsvetkov, Y.E., Chizhov, A.O., Nifantiev, N.E. 2019.
- 333 Chemical constituents of the extracts of the knotwood of Pinus roxburghii Sarg. and their
- antioxidant activity. Russ. Chem. Bull. 68(12), 2298-2306.
- 335 Yadav, R., Khare, R.K., Singhal, A. 2017. Qualitative phytochemical screening of some selected
- medicinal plants of shivpuri district (mp). Int. J. Life. Sci. Scienti. Res, 3(1), 844-847.
- 337 Zafar, I., Fatima, A., Khan, S.J., Rehman, Z., Mehmud, S. 2010. GC-MS studies of needles
- 338 essential oil of Pinus roxburghii and their antimicrobial activity from Pakistan. Elec. J.
- 339 Environ. Agric. Food Chem. 9(3), 468-473.

Figure and table legends 341 342 Figure 1: Antibacterial activity of (a) P. roxburghii and (b) C. deodara hexane extracts Figure 2: Antibacterial activity of (a) P. roxburghii and (b) C. deodara methanol extracts 343 Figure 3: Antibacterial activity of (a) P. roxburghii and (b) C. deodara acetone extracts 344 Figure 4: Docking analysis of bacterial proteases with A) monoterpenoids - Linalool (a, b, c), 345 346 Ocimene (d, e, f) and B) sesquiterpenoids - Bisabolene (a, b, c), Valencene (d, e, f). 347 Figure 5: Docking analysis of bacterial proteases with A) Limolene (a, b, c) Eugenol (d, e, f) and B) Anethole (a, b, c), Caryophyllene (d, e, f). 348 349 Figure 6: Docking analysis of bacterial proteases with A) Nortrachelogenin (a, b, c) and B) Taxifolin (a, b, c). 350 351 **Table 1:** MICs of the plant extracts against human pathogens Table 2: Binding affinity of bioactive compounds with bacterial proteases 352

, ,, ,,					
ORIGINA	ALITY REPORT				
1 SIMILA	5% ARITY INDEX	10% INTERNET SOURCES	11% PUBLICATIONS	% STUDENT PAP	ERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES				
1	www.md	•			1 9
2	Călin Jianu, Daniela Stoin, Ileana Cocan, Ioan David et al. "In Silico and In Vitro Evaluation of the Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Potential of Mentha × smithiana R. GRAHAM Essential Oil from Western Romania", Foods, 2021				1%
3	bmccom Internet Source	plementalternr	ned.biomedce	entral.com	1 %
4	Mahnoor Asif, Arshid Pervez, Rafiq Ahmad. "Role of Melatonin and Plant - Growth - Promoting Rhizobacteria in the Growth and Development of Plants", CLEAN – Soil, Air, Water, 2019 Publication			19	
5	doaj.org				1 %

6 www.ejmanager.com
Internet Source 1 %

1 %

<1%

- Marianne Frances C. Cacacho, Hina Jasmin C. Ghori, Marla A. Endriga, Enrique Jose L. Frio. "Targeting Neuraminidase A of Multidrug-Resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae: Molecular Docking and Redesign of Traditional Chinese Medicine Compounds", 2021 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM), 2021 Publication
- Barsha Koirala, Evance Pakuwal, Hookman Jimi Rai, Angela Shrestha. "Evaluation of Antioxidants and Antimicrobial Properties of Indigenous Plants: Elaeocarpus sphaericus and Ficus religiosa", International Journal of Environment, 2021

Surbhi Pradhan, R. C. Dubey. "Evaluation of phytochemical, antimicrobial and time-killing assay of Camellia species", Vegetos, 2020

cyberleninka.org

Aisha Saleem Khan. "Medicinally Important Trees", Springer Science and Business Media

LLC, 2017

13	Ali Said, Naveera Naeem, Sami Siraj, Taous Khan, Adil Javed, Hafiz Majid Rasheed, Wasim Sajjad, Khadim Shah, Fazli Wahid. "Mechanisms underlying the wound healing and tissue regeneration properties of Chenopodium album", 3 Biotech, 2020 Publication	<1%
14	Hafiz Muhammad Ansab Jamil, Awais Ahmed, Usman Irshad, Abdullah Ahmed Al-Ghamdi et al. "Identification and inoculation of fungal strains from Cedrus deodara rhizosphere involve in growth and alleviation of high nitrogen stress", Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 2020 Publication	<1%
15	Noor ul Ain, Naila Safdar, Azra Yasmin.  "Antimicrobial Investigations from Crude and Peptide Extracts of Glycine max Linn. Merr Varieties", Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 2016  Publication	<1%
16	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	<1%
17	archive.org Internet Source	<1%
18	docksci.com Internet Source	<1%

19	ijpbs.net Internet Source	<1%
20	Eunice Mgbeahuruike, Pia Fyhrquist, Heikki Vuorela, Riitta Julkunen-Tiitto, Yvonne Holm. "Alkaloid-Rich Crude Extracts, Fractions and Piperamide Alkaloids of Piper guineense Possess Promising Antibacterial Effects", Antibiotics, 2018 Publication	<1%
21	Hossein Vazini. "Anti-Trichomonas vaginalis activity of nano Micana cordifolia and Metronidazole: an in vitro study", Journal of Parasitic Diseases, 2017 Publication	<1%
22	coek.info Internet Source	<1%
23	hdl.handle.net Internet Source	<1%
24	www.alliedacademies.org Internet Source	<1%
25	biomedgrid.com Internet Source	<1%
26	mail.scialert.net Internet Source	<1%
27	Muhammad Altaf Hussain. "Antibacterial Screening of Different Parts Datura Alba	<1%

# Nees", Pharmacy & Pharmacology International Journal, 2015

Publication

28	aup.edu.pk Internet Source	<1%
29	jjbs.hu.edu.jo Internet Source	<1%
30	tnsroindia.org.in Internet Source	<1%
31	worldwidescience.org Internet Source	<1%
32	www.preprints.org Internet Source	<1%
33	Ashraf A. Mostafa, Abdulaziz A. Al-Askar, Khalid S. Almaary, Turki M. Dawoud, Essam N. Sholkamy, Marwah M. Bakri. "Antimicrobial activity of some plant extracts against bacterial strains causing food poisoning diseases", Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 2018 Publication	<1%
2/	Saranya Nallusamy, Jayakanthan Mannu,	

Saranya Nallusamy, Jayakanthan Mannu, Caroline Ravikumar, Kandavelmani Angamuthu et al. "Exploring Phytochemicals of Traditional Medicinal Plants Exhibiting Inhibitory Activity Against Main Protease,

< | %

Spike Glycoprotein, RNA-dependent RNA Polymerase and Non-Structural Proteins of SARS-CoV-2 Through Virtual Screening", Frontiers in Pharmacology, 2021

Publication

35

Wajahat Rashid Mir, Basharat Ahmad Bhat, Muzafar Ahmad Rather, Showkeen Muzamil et al. "Molecular docking analysis and evaluation of the antimicrobial properties of the constituents of Geranium wallichianum D. Don ex Sweet from Kashmir Himalaya", Scientific Reports, 2022

<1%

Publication

36

Saddaf Rashid, Muhammad Azeem, Sabaz Ali Khan, Mohammad Maroof Shah, Rafiq Ahmad. "Characterization and synergistic antibacterial potential of green synthesized silver nanoparticles using aqueous root extracts of important medicinal plants of Pakistan", Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2019

<1%

Publication