

6.9%

Date: 2023-08-26 20:28 UTC

* All sources 41 | Internet sources 11 | Plagiarism Prevention Pool 30

✓ [0] from a PlagScan document dated 2022-08-14 07:05
4.4% 14 matches

✓ [1] from a PlagScan document dated 2022-07-01 13:10
4.3% 14 matches

✓ [2] from a PlagScan document dated 2022-06-30 09:08
4.3% 14 matches

✓ [3] from a PlagScan document dated 2019-06-04 08:35
4.3% 14 matches

✓ [4] from a PlagScan document dated 2022-06-26 16:25
4.2% 14 matches

✓ [5] from a PlagScan document dated 2020-04-30 03:31
0.8% 4 matches

✓ [6] from a PlagScan document dated 2018-12-17 14:31
0.8% 4 matches

✓ [7] from a PlagScan document dated 2018-06-11 21:11
1.4% 6 matches

✓ [8] www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2022.952006/full
0.2% 6 matches

✓ [9] from a PlagScan document dated 2020-05-25 15:00
0.2% 3 matches

✓ [10] link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s40204-020-00135-2.pdf
0.2% 5 matches

✓ [11] from a PlagScan document dated 2017-07-09 07:42
0.3% 3 matches

✓ [12] from a PlagScan document dated 2017-09-28 21:18
0.2% 3 matches

✓ [13] from a PlagScan document dated 2023-04-18 06:20
0.2% 2 matches

✓ [14] from a PlagScan document dated 2022-09-26 05:20
0.2% 1 matches

✓ [15] from a PlagScan document dated 2022-06-06 01:33
0.2% 1 matches

✓ [16] from a PlagScan document dated 2020-04-29 10:16
0.2% 2 matches

✓ [17] www.nature.com/articles/s41467-019-13660-x/
0.1% 2 matches

✓ [18] from a PlagScan document dated 2019-06-26 07:26
0.0% 2 matches

✓ [19] from a PlagScan document dated 2021-10-13 06:51
0.0% 2 matches
1 documents with identical matches

✓ [21] from a PlagScan document dated 2017-06-22 14:52
0.2% 2 matches

✓ [22] www.nature.com/articles/s41598-022-12484-y
0.0% 3 matches

✓ [23] from a PlagScan document dated 2021-11-03 03:17
0.0% 2 matches
1 documents with identical matches

✓ [25] www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/12/15/2826
0.2% 2 matches
1 documents with identical matches

✓ [27] from a PlagScan document dated 2019-01-31 07:20
0.0% 2 matches

✓ [28] link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s15010-022-01843-6
0.1% 1 matches

✓ [29] from a PlagScan document dated 2020-11-15 12:27
0.0% 1 matches

✓ [30] www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-94139-y
0.0% 2 matches









✓ [31] from a PlagScan document dated 2022-03-02 09:10
0.0% 2 matches

✓ [32] from a PlagScan document dated 2022-05-17 08:35
0.0% 1 matches

✓ [33] from a PlagScan document dated 2019-03-28 10:36
0.0% 1 matches

✓ [34] from a PlagScan document dated 2017-09-26 20:56
0.2% 1 matches

✓ [35] www.nature.com/articles/s41429-021-00471-w
0.2% 1 matches

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [36]	 from a PlagScan document dated 2023-01-10 17:41 0.0% 1 matches
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [37]	 from a PlagScan document dated 2021-03-18 08:05 0.0% 1 matches
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [38]	 link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11783-023-1631-2 0.0% 1 matches
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [39]	 www.nature.com/articles/s41538-021-00109-z 0.0% 1 matches
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [40]	 from a PlagScan document dated 2019-11-15 03:35 0.0% 1 matches
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [41]	 from a PlagScan document dated 2023-03-10 06:23 0.0% 1 matches
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [42]	 from a PlagScan document dated 2018-09-17 16:37 0.0% 1 matches
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [43]	 www.researchgate.net/profile/Mounira-Kadri/publication/363250728_Chromatography_analysis_in_vitro_antioxidant_and_antibacterial_activities_of_essential_oil_of_Artemisia_herba-alba_Asso. 0.0% 1 matches

14 pages, 4437 words

PlagLevel: 6.9% selected / 9.1% overall

39 matches from 44 sources, of which 12 are online sources.

Settings

Data policy: *Compare with web sources, Check against the Plagiarism Prevention Pool*

Sensitivity: *Medium*

Bibliography: *Bibliography excluded*

Citation detection: *No detection*

Whitelist: 3 -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372885547_Effect_of_different_levels_of_EDTA_on_phytoextraction_of_heavy_metal_and_growth_of_Brassica_juncea_L_OPEN_ACCESS_EDITED_BY_REVIEWED_I
<https://www.altmetric.com/details/152357853>; <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1228117/full>

^[10] 1 Microwave-assisted green synthesis of silver nanoparticles by extracts of fig

2 fruits and myrrh oleogum resin and their role in antibacterial activity

3

4 Abstract:

5 Multidrug-resistant bacteria hindering disease management have become a matter of serious
6 concern. Nanotechnology has evolved as a fresh promise for managing infectious diseases.
7 The intended purpose of this study was to synthesize silver nanoparticles using fig fruit (*Ficus*
8 *carica*) and myrrh oleogum resin (*Commiphora* sp.) extracts and microwave irradiation. UV-
9 visible, FTIR, TEM, DLS and Zeta-potential analysis were deployed to characterise silver
10 nanoparticles. The synthesized nanoparticles were assessed for antibacterial activity against
11 four pathogenic bacteria, and their effects on the bacteria's cells were examined as well
12 through SEM. Fig fruit and myrrh's extract reaction solution changed color (reddish brown)
13 after 90 and 120 seconds of microwave operation, respectively. UV-Vis-validated the
14 synthesis of silver nanoparticles with fig fruit extract (FAGNPs) and myrrh extract (MAGNPs),
15 showing absorption bands between 434.41nm and 434.95 nm. TEM revealed that the FAGNPs
16 and MAGNPs were predominantly spherical and of various sizes. The average diameter of
17 FAGNPs and MAGNPs was 33.79nm and 31.63nm, respectively, and both of them were
18 moderately polydispersed and relatively stable colloids. The antibacterial evaluation of
19 FAGNPs shows that the highest level of inhibition was against *S. aureus* and it was higher than
20 that of Augmentin, and next to it were *E. coli* and *S. pyogenes*. While in case of MAGNPs the
21 most sensitive pathogen was *S. aureus*, followed by *E. coli* and *S. pyogenes*, respectively.
22 FAGNPs and MAGNPs had MIC values of 15 µg/ml against *S. aureus*, compared to 30 µg/ml
23 against *E. coli*. SEM images showed that treatment with FAGNPs and MAGNPs caused *S.*
24 *aureus* cells to become malformed. Similar cell damage was also observed in *E. coli* cells ^[9]

25reated with FAgNPs and MAgNPs. This study for the first time report the synthesis of silver
26nanoparticles utilizing fig fruit and myrrh extracts and microwave irradiation.

27Key words: Green Silver nanoparticles; microwave irradiation, antimicrobial action,
28environmental pollution, medicine, infectious diseases

29Abbreviations:

30AgNO₃, silver nitrate; AgNPs, Silver nanoparticles; AMR, antimicrobial resistance; CFU,
31Colony-forming unit; DLS, Dynamic light scattering; FAgNPs, Fig extract mediated
32synthesised silver nanoparticles; FTIR, Fourier transform infrared; MAgNPs, Myrrh extract
33mediated synthesised silver nanoparticles; MIC, Minimum inhibitory concentration; MRSA,
34methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; PdI, Polydispersity index; TEM, Transmission electron
35microscopy; UV-Vis, Ultraviolet-visible

36Introduction:

37Nano-medicine, an interdisciplinary field that uses nanotechnology in medicine, might
38improve the management of many ailments. Human health and microbes are intricately
39linked. Some help, some hurt. Tropical and subtropical nations get severe diseases from
40microorganisms, including bacteria, fungus, and viruses. Due to the indiscriminate use of
41commercial antimicrobial medications to treat such infections, human pathogenic bacteria
42have acquired diverse antibiotic resistance in recent years. Emerging infectious diseases and
43the rapid medication resistance of harmful bacteria are concerning. Despite modern therapies,
44microbial infections are still common and deadly (Maxson & Mitchell 2016). Due to the
45ongoing growth of pathogens resistant to traditional antimicrobials, pharmaceutical firms are
46pushed to create novel antimicrobials. Modifying antimicrobial substances or creating new
47compounds to boost antibacterial activity for treatment, antisepsis, or disinfection is
48important. Most of the bacteria are harmless to humans; several are beneficial, while many
49are categorized as pathogenic and cause infectious diseases. ¹⁰¹Streptococcus and Pseudomonas

50 may cause pneumonia, while *E. coli*, gastroenteritis, urinary tract infection, meningitis, and
51 *S. aureus* are mainly associated with skin and respiratory diseases. With that, these two may
52 be associated with hospital-acquired infections as well. With time, many have developed
53 antimicrobial resistance (AMR); a common example is methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*
54 (MRSA); another known group is carbapenem-resistant gram-negative bacteria. Thus, there is
55 an urge for the development of new antimicrobial agents and drugs to tackle AMR.

56 Nanotechnology alters essential material characteristics, including those of metal
57 nanoparticles (Debnath et al. 2022). Silver may treat burns, urinary tract infections, central
58 venous catheter infections, and acute and chronic bone inflammation (Vishwanath et al.,
59 2022). These findings are corroborated with silver-based antimicrobials. Nanotechnology in
60 personalised medicine provides a once-in-a-generation possibility to improve disease
61 detection and therapy (Bhardwaj & Rasool 2023). Nanomaterials' design adaptability,
62 minuscule sizes, massive surface-to-volume ratio, and ease of surface modification using
63 multivalent ligands to increase target molecule avidity make them ideal therapeutic and
64 diagnostic tools. Nanomaterials can interact with many biological systems, enabling them to
65 benefit from tailored therapeutic insights. Nanoscale silver (less than 100 nm) has different
66 catalytic properties than bulk silver, such as surface plasmon resonance, a large effective
67 scattering cross section, and a high toxicity to many microbes (Abbasi et al. 2016). Thus,
68 metal-based nanoparticles have promising biological and physiochemical properties as
69 antimicrobials and therapeutic agents. It can solve nano medicine problems and may also
70 harm cells and sub-cellular conditions. Thus, after cytotoxicity and clinical studies,
71 nanoparticles can be widely used as antimicrobials in consumer and industrial products.

72 Eco-friendly and reliable metallic nanoparticle production is an essential step in
73 nanotechnology applications. ⁽¹⁰⁾ Biosynthesizing nanoparticles from plants or their products has

74 great potential. Bacteria, fungi, and plant leaf extract can be used to synthesise silver
75 nanoparticles without toxic chemicals, making them eco-friendly and compatible for
76 pharmaceutical and biomedical applications (Shanmugapriya et al. 2021). Toxic chemical
77 species adsorbed on the surface during chemical synthesis may harm medical applications.
78 Bioinspired nanoparticle synthesis is cheaper and greener than chemical and physical
79 methods. The bio- or green synthesis of nanoparticles requires fewer components and
80 chemicals, and they can be synthesized in one go. In this type of synthesis, natural products
81 such as plant extracts or microorganism cultures are used with different concentrations of
82 silver nitrate (AgNO_3).^[21] This nanoparticle synthesis process depends on various factors, such
83 as the type of material used, concentrations of the components used, temperature, and
84 duration of incubation. To expedite the reaction process, thermal, photo, and microwave
85 irradiation are also explored (Abbasi et al. 2016; Ashraf et al., 2020; Shanmugapriya et al.
86 2021; Perveen et al., 2021; Miranda et al 2022; Satheesh et al. 2022, Kaur et al., 2023).^[7] There
87 are reports on the synthesis of silver nanoparticles mediated by extracts of *F. carica* (Fig) and
88 *Cammiphora* sp. (myrrh) (Patil 2020; Nadaf et al. 2022). However, none have reported
89 microwave-assisted synthesis of nanoparticles using fig fruit or myrrh oleo gum resin extract.
90 This study describes for the first time the quick, synthesis of silver nanoparticles utilizing fig
91 fruit and myrrh extracts and microwave irradiation. With that, the green-synthesized silver
92 nanoparticles were evaluated for their antimicrobial potential.

93 Materials and methods

94 Preparation of extracts, reaction solution for the synthesis of nanoparticles

95 Protocols mentioned earlier were used for the preparation of plant extracts, silver
96 nitrate solution, and the reaction solution of AgNO_3 and plant extract (Nagarajan et al., 2021,
97 Al-Otibi et al. 2021). First and foremost, the extracts of fig fruit and myrrh oleogum resin
98 were prepared separately. Surface-sterilized (0.1% sodium hypochlorite)^[0] fig fruits were dried

99for one week in a 50 °C hot air oven. Using a mixer grinder, the dry specimens were ground
100into a fine powder. Myrrh oleo gum resins were rinsed with deionized water, air-dried, and
101ground into fine powder. The prepared sample powder (20g) was mixed with 100 mL of
102triple deionized water. The mixture was heated on a hot plate at 100 °C for 15 min. The
103mixture was filtered after being cooled at room temperature. Then 1 mL of AgNO₃ (5 mM)
104with 9 mL of filtrate was mixed. The prepared solution was microwaved at high frequency
105(Samsung, 1300W, 2450 MHz) till the colour of the solution changed to reddish brown. The
106fig-mediated silver nanoparticles (FAGNPs) and myrrh-mediated synthesized silver
107nanoparticles (MAGNPs) were analyzed by Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy,
108Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, dynamic light scattering (DLS), zeta
109potential, and Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to examine their features and
110characteristics.

111Characterization of silver nanoparticles:

112 FAGNPs and MAGNPs were analysed by UV-Vis spectroscopy. The absorbance was
113recorded from 300 nm to 600 nm (Silambarasan & Jayanthi 2013). FTIR analysis was done to
114identify the functional groups present in the colloidal solution (Perveen et al. 2021). For
115further characterization of the AgNPs' shape and size, DLS measurement, Zeta potential and
116TEM analyses were carried out (Otaibi et al., 2021).

117Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of FAGNPs and MAGNPs:

118 Four bacterial strains (ATCC, standard bacterial culture) were used; all the tested
119strains were acquired from King Khalid University Hospital, K.S.U. Saudi Arabia (Table 1).
120All cultures were grown in nutrient broth at 37°C and maintained on nutrient agar slants at
1214°C.

122 Antimicrobial activity of the FAGNPs and MAGNPs was evaluated by employing agar
123well diffusion assay as described earlier by Zahin et al. (2021).⁽¹⁰⁾ Bacterial culture in a nutrient

124broth incubated for 24 h at 37 °C was adjusted to a turbidity of 0.5 MacFarland standards (10^8
125CFU/mL) for the assay. Four wells per plate were made in each nutrient agar plate. FAgNPs
126and MAgNPs (50 μ l @ 30 μ g/mL) were poured into respective wells with the help of a
127micropipette. Antibiotic-Augmentin was included as positive control (10 μ g/mL). With that,
128fig extract and myrrh extract were also analysed.^[11] The plates were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C.
129The antibacterial activity was interpreted from the size of the diameter of zone of inhibition
130measured to the nearest (mm) as observed from the clear zone surrounding the well.

131^[7] The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of FAgNPs and MAgNPs was
132determined against pathogenic bacteria using the standard micro-broth dilution method in 96-
133well flat-bottom plates, as described previously by Hussain et al. (2019). Different
134concentrations of FAgNPs and MAgNPs were prepared by two-fold dilutions in 96-well
135plates. In this experiment, a positive control (Augmentin) was also included, while DIW was
136used as a negative control. Bacterial cell suspension (1.5×10^8 CFU/mL) was prepared in
137nutrient broth, and 100 μ l of this was added to all wells except the negative control. All plates
138were then incubated at 36°C for 18–20 h. The lowest concentration at which visible microbial
139growth inhibition is achieved was considered the MIC.

140

141Investigation of morphological changes in the pathogenic bacteria caused by FAgNPs
142and MAgNPs

143 In order to investigate the effect of biosynthesized AgNPs on bacterial cell
144morphology, bacteria which were found sensitive to silver nanoparticles were processed
145further to observe under scanning electron microscope after getting treatment with sub-
146inhibitory concentrations of FAgNPs and MAgNPs (Alshaikh et al., 2023)

147Results:

148 The fig reaction solution (fig fruit extract and 5 mM AgNO₃) changed colour in 120s,
149 while the myrrh reaction solution (myrrh extract and 5 mM AgNO₃) turned reddish brown in
150 180s (Figure 1). The time taken by fig and myrrh reaction solutions to change their colour
151 when incubated at room temperature and heated at 90 °C on a heating plate was also
152 analysed. It was found that at room temperature, both reaction mixtures changed colour after
153 24 h. On the other hand, when the reaction solutions were heated on the heating plate, they
154 changed their colour to dark brown in 3h. The solution's transformation to a reddish-brown
155 colour was supposed to be the first sign that silver nanoparticles were formed.

156 Characterization of synthesized AgNPs

157 In this study, FAgNPs and MAgNPs formation was initially confirmed using UV-
158 visible spectroscopy due to surface plasmon resonance. The UV spectrum of FAgNPs and
159 MAgNPs' reaction solution was recorded the absorption peak between 320 and 600 nm.
160 Figures 2a and b clearly show a maximum surface Plasmon peak for FAgNPs and MAgNPs
161 at 434.41nm and 434.95nm, respectively.

162 FTIR measurement was recorded to identify the possible biomolecules in fig fruit and
163 myrrh extracts responsible for capping and leading to efficient stabilization of the silver
164 nanoparticles (Figures 3a and b). The FTIR spectra of FAgNPs and MAgNPs colloidal
165 solutions show absorption bands in regions ranging from 3295.24 to 430.12 cm⁻¹, and
166 3286.36 to 440.15, respectively. Therefore, there was a possibility of the stabilization of
167 silver nanoparticles by proteins. These absorption peaks are a result of O-H, C-H, C=C, and
168 S-S stretching.

169 The nanoparticles diameter as assessed by DLS indicated that the Z-average (d.nm)
170 size for FAgNPs was 33.79nm with a PDI of 0.480 (Figure 4a). The Z-average (d.nm) size
171 for MAgNPs was 31.63nm with a PDI of 0.523 (Figure 4b).¹⁰ The PDI data shows
172 monodisperse to moderately polydisperse characteristics of FAgNPs and MAgNPs,

173respectively (Figures 4a and b). The zeta potential of FAgNPs was -15 mV, while it was -
17416.1 mV for MAgNPs (Figures 5a and b).

175 The micrographs of FAgNPs and MAgNPs obtained by TEM are presented in Figure
176. TEM analysis reveals that the FAgNPs and MAgNPs were mostly spherical. Rarely,
177agglomeration was observed. FAgNPs of various sizes were seen in the micrographs, ranging
178from 4 nm to 36 nm (Figure 6a). whereas in the case of MAgNPs, sizes ranged from 6 nm to
17930 nm (Figure 6b).

180Assessment of the antibacterial action of biosynthesized AgNPs by agar well diffusion
181assay:

182 The antimicrobial activity of FAgNPs and MAgNPs was investigated against four
183pathogenic bacteria (*S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *S. pyogenes*, and *P. aeruginosa*). The antibacterial
184activity of FAgNPs and MAgNPs demonstrated that they inhibited both gram-positive and
185gram-negative bacteria to different extents, whereas the plant extracts did not inhibit the
186growth of any bacteria tested. The antibacterial activity of FAgNPs and MAgNPs is
187represented in Figure 7. FAgNPs and MAgNPs demonstrated the ability to limit the growth
188of three of the four human pathogenic bacteria studied. In general, FAgNPs were more
189effective than MAgNPs in inhibiting bacterial growth. FAgNPs showed that the highest level
190of inhibition against *S. aureus* (24.67 mm), and next to it were *E. coli* (14.67 mm) and *S.*
191*pyogenes* (8.0 mm). FAgNPs showed the strongest antibacterial activity against *S. aureus*
192(MRSA), and the inhibition was higher than that of Augmentin. Whereas, the antibacterial
193activity of MAgNPs shows that the most sensitive pathogen was *S. aureus* (23.0 mm),
194followed by *E. coli* (14.67 mm) and *S. pyogenes* (8.0 mm), respectively. While the results of
195the antibiotic Augmentin's (10 g/mL) antibacterial activity demonstrate that it was most
196effective against *S. aureus* (23.3 mm), *E. coli* (18 mm), and *S. pyogenes* (9.0 mm),
197respectively. *P. aeruginosa* was resistant to Augmentin, MAgNPs, and FAgNPs.^[0] The

198 FAgNPs and MAgNPs greater reduction in *E. coli* growth than that caused by Augmentin.

199 Whereas, all three treatments showed a very weak inhibition against *S. pyogenes*.

200 Since FAgNPs and MAgNPs exhibited strong to moderate antibacterial activity
201 against *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, the MIC values of FAgNPs and MAgNPs was determined
202 against these two pathogens only. The MIC value of both FAgNPs and MAgNPs against *S.*
203 *aureus* was 15 µg/ml, whereas, against *E. coli* it was 30 µg/mL (Figure 8).

204 Investigation of morphological changes in the pathogenic bacteria caused by FAgNPs
205 and MAgNPs

206 *S. aureus* and *E. coli* were treated with sub-inhibitory concentrations of FAgNPs and
207 MAgNPs and examined by SEM to determine the impact of synthesized nanoparticles on
208 bacterial cell morphology. Figures 9a1-3 and 9b1-3 depict the SEM images demonstrating
209 morphological alterations in the bacterial cells of *S. aureus* and *E. coli*. The SEM images
210 unmistakably demonstrate that the treatment with FAgNPs and MAgNPs caused changes in
211 the bacterial cells. FAgNPs treatment caused *S. aureus* cells to conglomerate and some of the
212 cells to become malformed (Figure 9a2). The MAgNPs-treated *S. aureus* cells were tiny and
213 damaged cells, and some ruptured cells were clearly visible (Figure 9a3). Untreated *S. aureus*
214 cells had a smooth surface and a well-defined shape (Figure 9a1). *E. coli* cells treated with
215 FAgNPs were severally damaged and distorted (Figure 9b2). Similarly, *E. coli* cells exposed
216 to MAgNPs were distorted and injured. Untreated *E. coli* cells showed a smooth morphology,
217 and all cells shared the same attributes and morphology (Figure 9b1).

218 Discussions:

219 The antimicrobial properties of silver extend back centuries. The physical and
220 chemical characteristics of nanoparticles of silver are favourable.^[10] This study aims to
221 synthesise silver nanoparticles with distinct physicochemical properties using green
222 synthesis with microwave irradiation technique and to examine their response to human

223 pathogenic bacteria. Antibacterial activity, stability, specificity, biosafety, and
224 biocompatibility may be enhanced by modifying silver nanoparticles (Dakal et al., 2016).
225 However, there are some reports on the negative impact of nanoparticles on human health;
226 the shape, size, and composition are some factors that may affect humans negatively. The
227 smaller nanoparticles may enter the lungs and cross the cell membrane (Bhardwaj and
228 Rasool, 2023).

229 In the present study, silver nanoparticles were synthesized from two different extracts,
230 fig fruit and myrrh oleo gum resins. Microwave irradiation was utilized to excite and provide
231 heat to the reaction solution that results in the synthesis of the silver nanoparticles. The whole
232 process of the synthesis of FAgNPs and MAgNPs was rapid and completed in 120–180
233 seconds.^[12] Previously, fig leaves and myrrh extracts were reported to be used in the synthesis
234 of silver nanoparticles; however, none have used microwave irradiation (Ulug et al., 2015;
235 Ahmed et al., 2016; Patil 2020). Peng et al. (2013) reported a successful synthesis of AgNPs
236 in 120s when they used microwave irradiation, bamboo hemicelluloses, and glucose as
237 stabilizing and capping agents. Whereas, another study reported microwave-assisted green
238 synthesis of AgNPs in 15 min using orange peel extract (Kahrilas et al. 2014). It has been
239 suggested that under a pressure-controlled atmosphere, microwaves quickly reach extremely
240 high temperatures that facilitate the initial nucleation of nanoparticles (Tsuji et al., 2005;
241 Kahrilas et al., 2014). The duration for the completion of nanoparticle synthesis varies due to
242 reducing agent used and the incubation conditions (Ulug et al., 2015; Ahmed et al., 2016).
243 Ashraf et al. (2020) reported the microwave-assisted synthesis of AgNPs in 30s with Melia
244 azedarach extract. There are reports of the synthesis of other metal nanoparticles with the use
245 of plant extract and microwave irradiation (Tsuji et al., 2005; Ulug et al., 2015,). Earlier,
246 Perveen et al. (2021) reported a successful synthesis of gold nanoparticles by utilizing
247 microwave irradiation and the seed extract of T. ammi.

248 The UV-vis analysis of the FAgNPs and MAgNPs showed that the peak was between
249 400 and 500 nm; because of the characteristics of silver nanoparticles, the highest absorption
250 is generally observed in this range (Sastry, 1997). To determine the phyto-chemicals of fig
251 fruit and myrrh extracts that served as capping and stabilizing agent, FTIR analysis was
252 carried out. Prominent broad peaks of 3295.24, 3286.36 recorded in the FAgNPs and
253 MAgNPs colloidal solution, respectively, representing vibrations of the hydroxyl (-OH)
254 group. Whereas variable stretching vibrations of alkene (C=C) with aromatic ring are
255 represented by the peaks of 1634.95 and 1632.59 in the FAgNPs and MAgNPs, respectively.
256 The FTIR spectrum shows absorption bands of C-H, -O-H, -S-H, -N=C=N, -C=O, and -S=O
257 stretching vibrations that prove the presence of important functional groups such as
258 flavonoids, alkaloids, and polyphenols. These functional groups encapsulate nanoparticles
259 and prevent their agglomeration (Kahrilas et al. 2014). In addition, the presence of peptides
260 and amino acids may have facilitated the capping of the silver nanoparticles.

261 DLS showed that the average sizes of the FAgNPs and MAgNPs were 33.79nm and
262 31.63 nm, respectively, while zeta potentials of -15 mV, and -16.1 mV were noted for the
263 FAgNPs and MAgNPs, respectively. The zeta potentials between ± 10 mV to ± 20 mV are
264 considered relatively stable colloidal potentials (Patel and Agarwal 2011). The PDI for
265 FAgNPs and MAgNPs was 0.480 and 0.523, respectively, which shows polydispersed
266 nanoparticles. However, further investigation is needed to understand how to reduce the
267 polydispersion of the nanoparticles. The complexity of the extracts that mediated the
268 formation of the nanoparticles could be a reason other than that other physical factors may
269 have influenced the variation in size distribution of these nanoparticles. Interestingly, the
270 FAgNPs and MAgNPs synthesized from two different plant extracts have shown very similar
271 properties.^[0] The FAgNPs and MAgNPs may have been synthesized with comparable
272 characteristics because of the likely presence of similar functional groups in the

273 phytochemicals of the both extracts, as indicated by the FTIR. However, this aspect needs to
274 be studied further to find out the most viable reasons that are important for the formation of
275 silver nanoparticles.

276 The shape and size of FAgNPs and MAgNPs were further analysed by the TEM
277 analysis. The FAgNPs and MAgNPs were almost spherical and of various sizes. These
278 findings are similar to the results obtained earlier by Kahrilas et al. (2014) while utilizing
279 microwave irradiation for the synthesis of silver nanoparticles with orange peel extract. They
280 synthesized AgNPs of various sizes with average size of 7.36 ± 8.06 nm. Spherical-shaped
281 AgNPs of 12 to 46 nm were synthesized using *M. azedarach* leaf extract and microwave
282 irradiation for 30s (Ashraf et al. 2020). While *Elephantopus scaber* extracts mediated the
283 synthesis of spherical AgNPs at 37.86 nm by microwave irradiation (Franciset et al. 2018).
284 Spherical AgNPs ranging from 25 to 40 nm were synthesized using leaf extracts of
285 *Fraxinus excelsior* exposed for 30s to microwave irradiation (Parveen et al. 2016).

286 FAgNPs and MAgNPs manifest the ability to repress the bacterial growth of three
287 human pathogenic bacteria out of the four tested. The inhibition against *S. aureus* and *E. coli*
288 was found to be significant. In general, FAgNPs were more effective than MAgNPs in
289 inhibiting bacterial growth. It was noticed that Augmentin and MAgNPs were equally
290 efficient against *S. aureus*, while FAgNPs had a considerable advantage over both. The
291 results showed that both FAgNPs and MAgNPs had MIC values of 15 μ g/ml against *S.*
292 *aureus*, compared to 30 μ g/ml against *E. coli*. These results agreed with previous work
293 reported by many researchers (Dakal 2016, Ahmed et al., 2022; Nadaf et al., 2022; Kaur et
294 al., 2023). Nanoparticle size influences antibacterial activity. Silver nanoparticles' bioactive
295 properties mainly depend on their size and are impacted by their various other characteristics,
296 which affect the bacterial cells in different ways (Raza et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2018;
297 Miranda et al. 2022). Size and shape may impact the antibacterial effect of AgNPs.

298 The bacterial cells treated with sub-inhibitory MIC concentrations of FAgNPs and
299 MAgNPs reveal cell alterations as noticed in SEM images of *S. aureus* and *E. coli*. Treatment
300 with FAgNPs caused *S. aureus* cells to conglomerate and become malformed; MAgNPs-
301 treated *S. aureus* cells were also damaged and ruptured. While *E. coli* cells treated with
302 FAgNPs and MAgNPs were severally damaged and distorted. The large surface area of silver
303 nanoparticles makes them antimicrobial (Priyadarshni et al. 2022; Ahmed et al. 2022).
304 Nanoparticles destroy cells in the respiratory chain. Nanoparticles enhance the bactericidal
305 effect of silver ions on bacterial cells (Rao et al. 2022). Although several mechanisms for the
306 successful hindrance of microbial growth by silver nanoparticles have been speculated, this
307 matter is still under investigation as no fully convincing theory has been proposed yet. Dakal
308 et al. (2016) summarized the recognized mechanisms reported so far. That includes the
309 damaging of the cell wall, cell membrane, intracellular structure and various biomolecules.
310 The cellular toxicity, oxidative stress and impact on signal transduction pathways. The green
311 chemistry technique for the formation of bio-nanoparticles offers several benefits, including
312 scalability, economics, viability, sustainability, and environmental advantages. Due to their
313 great selectivity, specificity, and sensitivity, application such eco-friendly nanoparticles for
314 antibacterial, wound healing, and other medical applications makes these nano-biomaterials
315 more acceptable. However, this study took into consideration only one concentration of plant
316 extract and AgNO₃. Therefore, there is a need to assess the effect of different concentrations
317 of this content on the synthesis of silver nanoparticles; it may be possible that variations in
318 concentrations may bring out different results. In addition, the impact of these nanoparticles
319 on biofilm formation and cell toxicity has yet to be analysed.

320 Conclusion

321 This study describes the speedy synthesis of silver nanoparticles utilizing fig fruit and
322 myrrh extracts and microwave irradiation. ^[6]Spherical silver nanoparticles were synthesized

323 within 120 and 180 seconds of exposure of the fig fruit and the myrrh reaction solution to
324 microwave irradiation, respectively. The characterization of synthesized silver nanoparticles
325 by UV-Vis, FTIR, DLS, zeta potential, and TEM reveals that the FAgNPs and MAgNPs were
326 spherical with an average size of 33.79nm and 31.63nm in diameter, respectively. Both
327 FAgNPs and MAgNPs were polydispersed, negatively charged, and relatively stable colloids.
328 The FAgNPs and MAgNPs have potent antibacterial action against pathogenic
329 microorganisms, including MRSA. It was validated further by the SEM of S. aureus and E.
330 coli treated with nanoparticles.^[7] The study provides a protocol for the synthesis of silver
331 nanoparticles within a very short time by utilizing fig fruit and myrrh extract and microwave
332 irradiation. Due to their great selectivity, specificity, and sensitivity, the utilisation of such
333 eco-friendly nanoparticles for antibacterial, wound healing, and other medical applications
334 makes these nano-biomaterials more acceptable.

335 Acknowledgement:

336^[25] The authors would like to acknowledge the support provided by the King Saud University,
337 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

338 Conflict of Interests:

339 All authors declare no conflict of interest exists.