

8.0%

Date: 2022-10-24 19:08 UTC

* All sources 28 | Internet sources 28

- ✓ [0] www.turkthoracj.org/Content/files/sayilar/191/142-148.pdf
2.6% 24 matches

- ✓ [1] www.mdpi.com/1999-4915/14/3/448/htm
1.6% 23 matches

- ✓ [2] carolinafernandez.github.io/devops/2020/05/12/Environment-variable-substitution-in-Linux
1.0% 2 matches

- ✓ [3] www.researchgate.net/publication/330569037_Relationships_between_burnout_turnover_intention_job_satisfaction_job_demands_and
0.4% 7 matches

- ✓ [4] www.mdpi.com/2076-3425/12/10/1338/pdf
0.5% 10 matches

- ✓ [5] www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/15/7860/htm
0.5% 7 matches

- ✓ [6] www.mdpi.com/2227-9032/10/7/1189/pdf?version=1656088089
0.1% 7 matches

- ✓ [7] link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12144-022-03296-4
0.3% 6 matches

- ✓ [8] www.mdpi.com/1648-9144/58/7/964/pdf
0.1% 6 matches

- ✓ [9] www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0022399911001541
0.6% 5 matches

- ✓ [10] journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0227328
0.6% 5 matches

- ✓ [11] aoemj.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/2052-4374-26-2
0.4% 5 matches

- ✓ [12] www.researchgate.net/publication/346426796_Prevalence_Associated_Factors_and_Consequences_of_Burnout_Among_Egyptian_Ph
0.6% 4 matches

- ✓ [13] www.academia.edu/67179814/Reproductive_Maternal_and_Newborn_Health_providers_assessment_of_facility_preparedness_and_its
0.5% 3 matches

- ✓ [14] scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3485&context=dissertations
0.2% 2 matches

- ✓ [15] pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35811603/
0.2% 2 matches

- ✓ [16] pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36225280/
0.3% 3 matches

- ✓ [17] journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0268150
0.0% 2 matches

- ✓ [18] pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11117245/
0.2% 2 matches

- ✓ [19] www.researchgate.net/figure/Depersonalization-Forest-plot-of-prevalence-of-high-depersonalization-among-medical-and_fig3_32889380
0.3% 1 matches


- ✓ [20] clinical-practice-and-epidemiology-in-mental-health.com/VOLUME/18/ELOCATOR/e174501792206160/ABSTRACT/
0.1% 1 matches


- ✓ [21] www.researchgate.net/figure/Sociodemographic-characters-of-the-participants_tbl1_362651221
0.1% 1 matches


- ✓ [22] pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34722054/
0.0% 1 matches

- ✓ [23] pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24690140/
0.1% 1 matches

- ✓ [24] www.researchgate.net/publication/344278328_Knowledge_attitude_and_practice_towards_risky_sexual_behaviors_among_secondary
0.1% 1 matches

[25]  www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2049080122002229
 1 matches

[26]  www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590142721000069
 1 matches

[27]  aoemj.org/search.php?where=aview&id=10.1186/2052-4374-26-2&code=2022AOEM&vmode=AONLY
 1 matches

10 pages, 3397 words

PlagLevel: 8.0% selected / 11.8% overall

65 matches from 28 sources, of which 28 are online sources.

Settings

Data policy: *Compare with web sources, Check against my documents*

Sensitivity: *High*

Bibliography: *Consider text*

Citation detection: *Reduce PlagLevel*

Whitelist: --

^[13] Determinants, Predictors and Negative impacts of Burnout Among Health Care Workers During COVID-19 Pandemic

Abstract: The first defense line of the battle, healthcare workers (HCWs), faces a significant challenge in managing the current COVID-19 pandemic. ^[13] An online electronic survey was sent to HCWs via email and social media networks. Socio-demographic data and work environment-related variables were assessed. Consequences of burnout (BO) were reported, e.g., elicited medical errors. Maslach burnout inventory was used to diagnose BO. Two hundred and eighty-four participants were included with a mean age of 39.83 ± 7.34 years, 70.8% worked in the COVID-19 frontline, 91.9% were followed daily updates about COVID-19, 63.7% ^[12] were not satisfied with the coordination between triage and isolation, 64.4% got COVID-19 infection, 91.9% had a colleague or family member developed COVID-19 infection, and 21.5% experienced a colleague /a family member died due to COVID-19. Multivariate analysis by linear regression revealed that; working as a frontline HCW (OR 1.28, CI= 0.14-2.55) and sleep deprivation (OR 3.93, CI= 1.88-8.22) were the predictors of burnout.

Keywords: Burnout, COVID-19, Maslach Inventory, Medical errors.

^[10] 1. Introduction

In March 2020, the World Health Organization declared Corona Virus Disease 19 (COVID-19) to be a pandemic. It spread worldwide with more than 600 million documented cases and 6 million deaths till October 2022. This new pandemic has a broad spectrum of unpredictable presentations ranging from asymptomatic or mild illness up to acute diseases necessitating ICU and mechanical ventilation [1-3].

In the first defense line of the battle-healthcare workers (HCWs)- faces a significant challenge in managing the current pandemic. Beyond the stress on them regarding rapid decision-making for proper assessment and treatment, the risk of exposure to infected cases, getting the disease, and transmitting it to their family is also another concern.

Moreover, the catastrophic burden on the medical system that consumes all medical and human resources up to collapse was never witnessed and translated into negative affection on physical and mental well-being [4-6].

The condition known as Burnout syndrome (BOS) is brought on by ongoing, chronic stress at work. Between 50 and 70% of BOS cases were recorded in research conducted before the current pandemic. Emergency and critical care sectors, especially in times of pandemics, are at higher risk of BO. Unique work environments with loaded schedules, managing of critically ill patients with higher rated of mortality expected, and disrupted socio-familial relationships are often accused [7-9].

The objective of our work was to determine the frequency of BO between HCWs and to clarify its determinants, predictors, and negative impacts on the quality of medical service.

^[20] 2. Material and Methods

A cross-sectional study of HCWs registered with the Ministry of Health was conducted from January 2021 to June 2021. This work included HCWs who were on duty and agreed to participate during the period of the study, while who were employed for less than six months, on vacation, incomplete answers, or refused to participate were excluded.

2.1. Sample size

Using Epi Info, developed by CDC, (Atlanta, Georgia, US), 2002 and based on the expected frequency of BO (13%) ^[10], a 95% level of significance of, power of the study (80%), and a 5% error, the sample size was calculated to be 225.

2.2.^[2] Data Collection Tool

An online electronic survey (in English) using Google forms was prepared and sent to HCWs through email and social media networks.¹⁰ We attained ethical approval from the Research Ethics Committee of the MOH.¹⁰ The study was conducted after approval from Ministry of Health Ethical Committee and under the Declaration of Helsinki guidance on human research.

It includes:

1. Socio-demographic and profession-related data: age, gender, marital state, years of experience, comorbidities, and relation to COVID-19 (frontline or behind-line).

2. Work environment-related variables: can choose day off, satisfaction with resources, conflicts with patients/relatives, sleep deprivation, increased work hours after COVID-19, and appreciation state.

3. COVID-19 related variables: following daily news updates, satisfaction with resources, therapeutic protocols, doing swabs, had been infected with COVID-19, relative/colleague got infected or died with COVID-19 and daily COVID-19 mortality in the work area.

4. Consequences of BOS were reported: start smoking or increased number of cigarettes, suicidal thoughts, nervousness, medical errors, no check for drug allergy, incorrect dose or over dosage, and alternating medications between patients

5. Maslach burnout inventory to diagnose Burnout

A 22 items with a scale from (0) never to (6) every day representing the three dimensions of BO; Depersonalization (DP (, Emotional exhaustion (EE(, and the loss of professional accomplishment (AP).

For each dimension, the score is 'low,' moderate, or high. For EE, the mild level is defined as a score between 0 and 18, the moderate level (19-26), and the high level (≥ 27). For DP, low mild is defined as a score between 0 and 5, moderate (6-9), and high level (≥ 10). For PA, the mild level is defined as a score ≥ 40 , the moderate level (34-39), and the high level (0 - 33).

A high score in EE and DP or a low score in AP is diagnostic of. The degree of BO is low, moderate or high according to the number of dimensions affected [7,10-12].

2.3. Statistical analysis

SPSS 22.0¹⁷ for Windows was used to conduct the statistics (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).¹⁷ The Shapiro-Wilk test was used for determining the normality of continuous variables, which were abridged as mean SD. The categorial variables were expressed numerically (%).¹⁰ The Chi-square test and independent t-test were used to assess the relationships between burnout and other factors. To evaluate the link between various variables, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used. To detect predictors for BOS, we built a univariate binary logistic model; then, we used a significant variable to build an unadjusted multivariate model. Finally, we built an adjusted multivariate model by adjusting by insignificant variables.¹⁰ For each variable, we reported Odds Ratio (OR) and corresponding 95%CI and also p-value. All tests were two-sided, and a P value was set at less than 0.05.

3. Results


3.1. Socio-demographic and profession-related data:

¹⁶Two hundred and eighty-four participants had completed the survey.⁵ Their mean age was 39.83 ± 7.34 years, 66.9% were males, 61.3% were registrars, and 68.3% had more than ten years of experience. Most respondents were ≤ 40 years (64.8%) married (72.5%). Overall, 70.8% of them worked as COVID-19 frontline, and nearly half of them had co-morbid diseases (47.5%). The frequency of burnout reported was 48.2%, Table 1 and Figure 1.

Table 1.²¹ Demographic characters of the participants.

Variables	All (N=284)	
	No. ²⁴	(%)
Sex		
Male	190	66.9
Female	94	33.1 ²
Age (years)		

Mean \pm SD	39.83	
	± 7.34	
≤ 40 years	184	64.8
> 40 years	100	35.2
Marital status		
Single	40	14.1
Married	206	72.5
Widow/divorced	38	13.4
Job Title		
Registrar	174	61.3
Senior registrar/specialist	88	30.9
Consultant	22	7.7
Type		
Front-line	201	70.8
Behind-line	83	29.2
Experience (years)		
≤ 5 years	36	12.7
6-10 years	54	19
> 10 years	194	68.3
No	48	16.9
Comorbidity		
Yes	135	47.5
No	149	52.5



100

101

Figure 1. Frequency of Burnout in the studied population

102

3.2. Work environment-related variables.

103

104

105

106

83.1% of the study participants reported they increased their working hours after COVID-19. Most of them had conflicts with either patients or their relatives, (75.4%) couldn't choose their days-off (88.4%), (20.1%) were not satisfied with resources, (76.4%) experienced sleep deprivation, and (70.8%) felt unappreciated, Table 2.

107 Table 2. Work environment related variables among studied
 108 participants.

Variables	All (N=284)	
	No.	(%)
Can choose day off		
Yes	33	11.6
No	251	88.4
Satisfaction with resources		
Yes	227	79.9
No	57	20.1
Conflict with patients/relatives		
Yes	214	75.4
No	70	24.6
Sleep deprivation		
Yes	217	76.4
No	67	23.6
Unappreciated		
Yes	201	70.8
No	83	29.1
Increased work hours after COVID-19		
Yes	236	83.1
No	48	16.9

109
 110 3.3. COVID-19 related variables
 111 Table 3 also, highlights COVID-19-related variables among studied participants in which 91.9%
 112 were followed daily COVID-19 updates, and 63.7% were not satisfied with the triage/isolation
 113 coordination. Moreover, 14.4% of participants were doing swabs for suspected COVID-19
 114 patients, 64.4% got COVID-19 infection, 91.9% had a colleague/family got COVID-19 infection,
 115 and 21.5% experienced a colleague, or a family member died due to COVID-19, Table 3.

116 Table 3. COVID-19 related variables among studied participants.

Variables	All (N=284)	
	No.	(%)
Following daily COVID-19 news updates		

Yes	26	91.9
	1	
No	23	8.1 ^[12]
Unsatisfied with coordination between triage and isolation		
Yes	18	63.7
	1	
No	10	36.3
	3	
Doing swabs		
Yes	41	14.4
No	24	85.6
	3	
Got infected with COVID-19		
Yes	18	64.4
	3	
No	10	35.6
	1	
Colleague/relative got infected with COVID-19		
Yes	26	91.9
	1	
No	23	8.1
Colleague /relative died with COVID-19		
Yes	61	21.5
No	22	78.5
	3	

117

118 3.4. Levels of BO

119 As shown in Figure 2, 53.3% of study participants had high EE domain score, 43.1% had
120 high DP domain score and 30.7% had high reduced PA score. Moreover one-third of the study
121 population had a severe type of BO predictors, according to the total BO score.




Figure 2. Severity of BOS domains among participants with Burnout (n=137)

Multivariate analysis of predictors of BO was tested in Table 4, by linear regression and reported that; working as a frontline HCW (OR 1.28, CI= 0.14-2.55) and sleep deprivation (OR 3.93, CI= 1.88-8.22) were the predictors of Burnout. Both predictors were valid in both adjusted and non-adjusted models.

Table 4. Predictors of Burnout

Variable Type	Univariate analysis		Unadjusted multivariate analysis		Adjusted multivariate analysis	
		P	OR (95% CI)	P	AOR (95% CI)	P
Type (frontline)	5.31 (2.96-9.54)	0.001	0.33(0.17-0.62)	0.001	1.28(0.14-2.55)	0.001
Age	0.97 (0.95-1.02)	0.47			1.02 (0.96-1.07)	0.45
Sex (male)	0.88 (0.54-1.44)	0.61			0.82(0.46-1.45)	0.49
Marital state						
Single	1.11 (0.56-2.18)	0.78			0.93(0.42-2.03)	0.85
Married	1.23 (0.51-2.99)	0.65			1.30(0.47-3.61)	0.61
Experience						

(years)						
≤ 5 years	1.04 (0.45- 2.42)	0.93			1.11(0. 43- 2.88)	0.83
6-10 years	0.84 (0.41- 1.72)	0.63			0.8(0.3- 2.14)	.065
Comorbidity (yes)	0.87 (0.55- 1.38)	0.55			0.72(0. 42- 1.22)	0.22
Sleep deprivation (yes)	6.27 (3.23- 12.17)	0.01	3.92 (1.92- 8.01)	0.01	3.93(1. 88- 8.22)	0.001
Unappreciated (yes)	1.8(1. 07- 3.02)	0.03	1.33(0. 73-2.4)	0.34 9	1.37(0. 74- 2.52)	0.32
Increased work hours after COVID-19 (yes)	1.22 (0.66- 2.28)	0.53			1.86(0. 90- 3.84)	0.09
Following daily COVID-19 news updates (yes)	0.75 (0.32- 1.78)	0.52			0.89(0. 33- 2.42)	0.82
Unsatisfied with coordination between triage and isolation (yes)	1.28 (0.79- 2.08)	0.32			1.3(0.7 4-2.23)	0.36
Got infected with COVID-19 (yes)	1.17 (0.72- 1.9)	0.54			0.82(0. 46- 1.45)	0.49
Colleague or relative got infected with	0.75 (0.32- 1.76)	0.52			0.73(0. 27- 1.97)	0.54

COVID-19 (yes)						
Colleague or relative died with COVID-19 (yes)	2.07 (1.15- 3.71)	0.01 5 2.97)	1.570(. 83- 2.97)	0.16 8 83- 3.26)		0.15

131

132

3.5. Consequences of Burnout

133

134

135

136

137

138


139

140

141

Deleterious effects of Burnout on HCWs were shown in Figure 3. The largest proportion of the studied population denied smoking initiation after the pandemic without any suicidal thoughts or attempts. On the other hand, 21.5% of the respondents treated patients/relatives nervously and accused perform medical faults as follows; no check for drug allergy (8.5%), incorrect dosing or over dosage (29%), wrong explanation of the drug usage (20.1%) and prescribing medication to the wrong patient (6.3%).

HCWs with Burnout -compared to those without Burnout- had experienced an increased number of daily smoked cigarettes (p 0.001), treated patients /relatives nervously, accused of medical errors (P=0.001) and incorrect or overdosing (p=0.006).



142

143

Figure 3. Consequences of Burnout Syndrome

144

4. Discussion

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

The entire healthcare system is negatively impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. The impact on health care workers is increasing not only because of increased workload, but also because of fear of being diseased especially with limited personal protective equipment, fear of transmitting infections to their families, caring for patients who are quickly deteriorating and were diseased with a virus that doesn't have obvious curative treatment and providing medical attention to sick coworkers [13-17].

Our study findings were nearly similar to Duarte et al. [18], in which their participants had mean age was 38±10 years, and 37.8% had more than ten years of experience. Most respondents were ≤ 40 years married (53.3%) and 69.7% of them worked as COVID-19 frontline, and 26.1% had comorbid diseases.

Also, the current study goes hand in hand with Lasalvia et al. [19], who reported that 59.6% of the sample said they were required to perform tasks they were typically not supposed to, 65.3% had an increase in workload, and 50% of the sample perceived more disputes between coworkers. Regarding the perception of risk, 66.5% of HCW who were caring for COVID-19 patients, said they felt anxious, and 82.8% said they were frightened of getting severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

The results show that 52.2 percent of people had high EE scores, 43.3 percent had high DP scores, and 34.5 percent had substantial levels of reduced personal accomplishment. Moreover one-third of the participants reported a significant level of burnout based on their overall score.

This result was in line with that of Lasalvia et al. [19], who found that overall, 38.3% of their participants showed signs of high emotional exhaustion (EE), low professional efficacy (EF) (46.5%), and high cynicism (26.5%). These results also coincide with Chen et al. [20], who revealed that the indicators of lack of personal success are reverse-coded; persons with scores of 0-33, 34-39, and ≥ 40 show, respectively, low, moderate, and high levels of this problem.

In a review article on burnout among middle eastern health care workers, Resident physicians undergoing training in the United Arab Emirates (N = 302) expressed 70% overall burnout, 75.5% moderate-to-high emotional tiredness, 84% high depersonalization, and 74% a weakened sense of personal success [21].

In Saudi Arabia, Agha et al. found that the prevalence of recorded high emotional exhaustion, high depersonalization, and reduced personal exhaustion among doctors working in a tertiary care hospital (N = 96) was 68.8, 63.6, and 38.5 percent, respectively [22].

In Egypt, another study found that 68.2 percent of the participants had moderate burnout. In addition, compared to doctors, nurses displayed higher degrees of emotional weariness and depersonalization [7].

Our multivariate analysis by linear regression revealed that; working as a frontline HCW (OR 1.28, CI= 0.14-2.55) and sleep deprivation (OR 3.93, CI= 1.88-8.22) were the predictors of Burnout.

Working with patients who have COVID-19 in frontline settings, a lower risk of emotional tiredness was noticed in non-COVID wards (0.53; 95% CI 0.37-0.77) and the administration (0.53; 95% CI 0.29-0.96) than working in intensive care units, according to adjusted ORs from Italy [19].

An Egyptian study found that lack of sleep in resident physician besides feeling unappreciated, were all significant predictors of burnout [7].

According to a Saudi Arabian study, the number of patients seen each day, the amount of paperwork completed, the flow of unorganized patients into clinics, and patient violence were all positive predictors of burnout. [23].

According to Abdelhafiz et al., while female gender exhibited a strong correlation with higher EE, males were predictors of DP. Furthermore, there was a strong correlation between elevated EE and reduced PA in cases of COVID-19 infection or death in coworkers or family, respectively [24].

Deleterious effects of Burnout on HCWs showed that most study participants denied having suicidal intentions, starting smoking after COVID-19. On the other hand, 21.5 percent of respondents were nervous when treating patients/relatives and were accused of making medical errors such as not checking for drug allergies (8.5 percent), incorrect dosing or overdosing (29 percent), incorrect explanation of medication usage (20.1%), and prescribing medication to the wrong patient (6.3 percent). HCWs with Burnout (compared to those without Burnout) had experienced the following: increased number of daily smoked cigarettes (p 0.001), treated patients /relatives nervously, accused of medical errors (P=0.001), and incorrect or overdosing (p=0.006).

According to one study, stress is a risk factor for burnout as well. Severe stress can cause mental exhaustion, trouble concentrating, loss of short-term memory, and anxiety, among other serious effects on a person's health. In a randomized controlled experiment, stress management program and burnout prevention were found to be beneficial, the program intended to reduce existing stress levels while also giving stress management skills. Stress management abilities should be improved as much as possible [25].

The current study has a number of limitations. First, the study's design (cross-sectional) makes it difficult to assess different time intervals. Second, subjectivity of perception may have influenced the assessment of Maslach burnout inventory variables, resulting in study variability. Additional research is needed to standardize the tool that is used to assess BO. Eventually, the impact of nursing experience and workload, as well as other characteristics like depression and anxiety, that may be related to burnout and have an impact on the performance of the medical team, were not taken into consideration.

218 However, studies on physician burnout have raised awareness of the critical nature of
219 professional **mental health and wellbeing**.¹⁴ Recently, US national organizations demanded that all
220 healthcare systems evaluate their doctors' well-being, frequently **with a focus on** burnout [26].
221 ¹³Based on what we know, **this is the first study to** have examined Burnout among HCWs **during**
222 **the current** pandemic, reported its frequency and risk factors, and evaluated its **impact on the**
223 performance of the medical team **with regard to** medical errors and hazards.

224 5.¹³ Conclusion

225 In conclusion, **during the COVID-19 pandemic in** Kuwait, this nationwide online survey
226 disclosed a high frequency of Burnout among HCWs, which had a detrimental impact on medical
227 errors made. Burnout was predicted by working as a frontline HCW (OR 1.28, CI= 0.14-2.55) and
228 sleep deprivation (OR 3.93, CI= 1.88-8.22).

229 Abbreviations: Confidence interval (CI)

230 Author Contributions: Conceptualization - T.A., O.G., R.M.A. and Y.H.E.; Design - T.A., A.A.,
231 O.G; Supervision - T.A., O.G. P.N; and A.M.A.; Resources - T.A., O.G., Y.H.E.; and M.F.; Materials
232 - A.N., T.A., R.M.A. and M.F.; Data Collection and/or Processing - P.N., M.F.; M.H., Analysis and/
233 or Interpretation - A.A., P.N., M.A., Y.H.E and A.M.A.; Literature Search -M.Z., M.F., M.H.,
234 Writing Manuscript - M.Z., A.A., M.H., Critical Review - T.A., A.A., P.N. All authors have read
235 and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

236 Funding: The authors extend their appreciation to the Researchers Supporting Project number
237 (RSP2022R418), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

238 Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical approval was obtained from the Research
239 Ethics Committee, Ministry of Health, Kuwait. (Number of acceptances 1871/2021).

240 Informed Consent Statement: Consent to participate was the first question and answering
241 "yes" was mandatory.

242 Data Availability Statement: The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are
243 available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

244 Acknowledgments: We would like to acknowledge staff members of Hamed Al-Essa organ
245 transplant center and MOH hospitals, who suffered a lot during the COVID-19 pandemic. This
246 paper is wholehearted to them, as their dynamic share to knowledge about COVID-19 made it
247 possible.

248 Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

249 Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds ... are available from the authors.

250

251 References