		11.1% Manuscript Final.docx
Date	2022-	17-26 04:50 UTC
		as 100 ♀ Internet sources 35 ♥ Plagiarism Prevention Pool 3
V	[1]	♥ www.iraqi-datepalms.net/assets/uploads/2018/11/RPW-Book-Rabab-El-Mergawi-1.pdf 82% 89 matches
	[2]	♥ www.theses.rnu.tn/fr/dynamique/uploads/669e7fe20f7624ec3f2b28be90235ec6.pdf ■.4% \$66 matches
7	[3]	Www.intechopen.com/chapters/73021
	[8]	Www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8301634/ 2.6% 57 matches
7	[12]	www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/47472 [42%] 52 matches
7	[14]	G researcherslinks.com/current-issues/Effect-of-Sub-Lethal-Doses-of-Beauveria-bassiana/20/1/2032/html [34%] 51 matches
7	[15]	 ♥ www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8301634/ [25%] 45 matches ⊕ 1 documents with identical matches
7	[22]	 ♀ scialert.net/fulltext/?doi=jbs.2015.56.67 3.6‰ 38 matches
7	[23]	gd.eppo.int/taxon/RHYCFE/download/datasheet_pdf azm 40 matches 1 documents with identical matches
•	[25]	bioone.org/journals/florida-entomologist/volume-105/issue-1/024.105.0109/Effects-of-Trap-Locations-Pheromone-Source-and-Temperature-on-Red/10.1653/024.105.0109.full 3.1% 39 matches
V	[26]	journals.plos.org/plosone/article/file?type=printable&id=10.1371/journal.pone.0255029 [18%] 41 matches
	[28]	Ink.springer.com/article/10.1007/s42690-020-00148-1 29% 36 matches
7	[31]	♀ ejar.journals.ekb.eg/article_68566_4b895ee522e26917df7bc712d9f63993.pdf ↓16% ↓43 matches
7	[32]	 ♀ researcherslinks.com/base/downloads.php?jid=20&aid=4626&acid=8&path=pdf&file=1643183428PJZ_54_2_927-936.pdf 1 33 matches 1 documents with identical matches
V	[38]	bmcmicrobiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2180-14-136 13%] 29 matches
V	[39]	Www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7853973/ 10% 32 matches
	[40]	Q link.springer.com/article/10.1017/S1742758418000048 12% 28 matches
7	[41]	www.academia.edu/6044530/Portable_acoustic_device_for_detection_of_coconut_palms_infested_by_Rynchophorus_ferrugineus_Coleoptera_Curculionidae
7	[42]	bioone.org/journals/florida-entomologist/volume-100/issue-2/024.100.0220/The-Invasion-of-Tunisia-by-Rhynchophorus-ferrugineus-ColeopteraCurculionidae/10.1653/024.100.0220.full 10% 29 matches
	[43]	Www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fevo.2022.826808/full 0.6% 30 matches
	[45]	en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rhynchophorus_ferrugineus 0.9% 29 matches
	[46]	♀ link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02980931 1.3% 24 matches
	[47]	Www.nature.com/articles/srep31659 0.5% 27 matches
	[54]	♀ pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20337561/ 0.2% 23 matches
	[57]	www.mapa.gob.es/ministerio/pags/Biblioteca/Revistas/pdf_Plagas/BSVP_32_04_2_631_641.pdf 0.5% 19 matches
	[59]	Www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3649424/ 0.8% 19 matches
	[62]	Www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0261219409002257 0.5% 23 matches
	[63]	Q link.springer.com/article/10.1017/S1742758411000099 0.3% 18 matches
	[64]	♥ www.mdpi.com/2075-4450/13/3/245 0.2% 14 matches
	[65]	Www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03235400601121521 0.4% 12 matches
7	[72]	♀ journals.plos.org/plosone/article/authors?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0251278 0.7% 8 matches
7	[79]	€ from a PlagScan document dated 2022-01-27 09:49 0.6% 8 matches
	[81]	€ from a PlagScan document dated 2018-07-27 13:17 0.0% 12 matches

V	[88]	getd.libs.uga.edu/pdfs/boozer_whitney_e_201108_ms.pdf 0.2% 8 matches
V	[90]	♀ journals.plos.org/plosone/article/authors?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0245928 0.7% 5 matches
7	[92]	www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/20/20/5918/htm 0.2% 6 matches
V	[95]	♀ pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28364201/ 0.4‰ 4 matches
V	[104]	

17 pages, 5302 words

PlagLevel: 11.1% selected / 76.1% overall

329 matches from 106 sources, of which 40 are online sources.

Settings

Data policy: Compare with web sources, Check against organization repository, Check against the Plagiarism Prevention Pool

Sensitivity: High

Bibliography: Bibliography excluded

Citation detection: Highlighting only

Whitelist: --

$1^{\mbox{\scriptsize 14}\mbox{\scriptsize 14}\mbox{\ 14}\mbox{\scriptsize 14}\mbox{\ 14}\mbox{$

²Rhynchophorus ferrugineus (Coleoptera: Dryophthoridae) in the laboratory

³Abdulrahman S. Aldawood^a*, Khawaja G. Rasool^a, Sukirno Sukirnoa^b, Mureed Husain^a, Koko D.

⁴Sutanto^a, Mona Abdullah Alduailej^c

5

6a Department of Plant Protection, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University,

7Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, P.O. Box 2460, Riyadh 11451

8

⁹b Entomology Laboratory, Faculty of Biology, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta 55281,

10Indonesia

11c College of Computer and Information Sciences, Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University,

1

12Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

13

1

2

14* Corresponding author: Abdulrahman S. Aldawood

15 Department of Plant Protection, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences,

16King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, P.O. Box 2460, Riyadh 11451

17e-mail=aldawood@ksu.edu.sa

18Tel: +966-114-678426

19_{Abstract}

20Objectives

21The red palm weevil (RPW), Rhynchophorus ferrugineus (Coleoptera: Dryophthoridae) is the most
22destructive insect pest of several palm species, worldwide. The maintaining of RPW laboratory
23colonies for experimentation has been very challenging. We synthesized a standardized semi-artificial
24diet which is easy to prepare and economical for the rearing of RPW.
2^[25]
2^[25]
2⁵Methods

26The effects of semi-artificial diet on biological traits related to RPW development were studied at the 27Economic Entomology Research Unit (EERU), Department of Plant Protection, College of Food and 28Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The diet was synthesized by 29combining shredded date palm petiole tissues, corn flour, wheat flour, ascorbic acid, sodium benzoic, 30sorbic acid, agar, and distilled water. Three replicates, each containing fifty newly hatched larvae, 31were reared on the semi-artificial diet. The developmental time of RPWs fed on the newly synthesized 32diet was compared to the growth rate of conspecifics fed on date palm and previously published 33artificial diets.

34Results

³⁵Fully-grown larval weight ranged from 4 - 6 g (5 ± 0.19 g), and larval duration ranged from 46 to 57 ³⁶days (53 ± 0.3 days). Average adult emergence was 58-98% (78 ± 0.6), with an average weight of 0.90 ³⁷g for males and 0.97 g for females. Complete life span was between 48 and 98 days (77 ± 0.9 days). ³⁸On present semi artificial diet, several larvae had 11 larval instars. The diet has a shelf life of at least ³⁹seven days and can last up to two weeks if properly kept and saved.

40Conclusions

⁴¹In conclusion, the present study proved that semi artificial diet is quite capable for the successful

42 rearing of RPW. The growth and development of RPW larvae reared in this experiment were

43 comparable to those fed on a natural diet.

⁴⁴Key words: Red palm weevil, Rhynchophorus ferrugineus, artificial diet, date palm.

3 4 [3]• 2

45_{Abbreviations}

46Red Palm Weevil (RPW), Economic Entomology Research Unit (EERU), completely randomized47design (CRD), Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT),

48₁. Introduction

49Red Palm Weevil (RPW) has been the most destructive insect pest of date palm in the Middle East 50since the mid-1980's (El Ezaby et al., 1998, Ferry and Gomez, 2002). Recently, RPW has become an 51invasive insect pest in several countries around the globe (Murphy and Briscoe, 1999; Malumphy and 52Moran 2007, Bozbuga and Hazir, 2008). Due to its economic importance, many studies have 53attempted to construct control strategies using biological control agents (Llacer et al., 2008; Dembilio 54et al., 2010; Güerri-Agulló et al., 2011), baited trap systems (Abbas et al., 2006, Al-Saoud et al., 2010, 55Faleiro et al., 2011), sterile male techniques (Al-Ayedh and Rasool, 2010), microwave heating (Massa 56et al., 2011), chemical insecticides (Abraham et al., 1975), and integrated pest management (Tapia et 57al., 2011). Although many techniques have been proposed to control the pest, none are satisfactory 58due to its unique biological behavior, which includes the concealed nature RPW. Larvae, pupae and 59even adults remains inside the tree trunk and larvae feed on the soft tissues.

⁶⁰Several techniques for the detection of RPW infestation on palms have been established, such as using ⁶¹acoustic sensors (Gutiérrez et al., 2010, Siriwardena et al., 2010), sniffing dogs (Nakash et al., 1999), ⁶²and X-ray CT (computed tomography) scans (Ma et al., 2012), but they are not sufficiently accurate. ⁶³Determining RPW presence in tree trunks usually encounters difficulties that affect the accuracy of ⁶⁴results. From above mentioned evidences regarding huge numbers of experiments, it is obvious that, ⁶⁵mass rearing of the RPW is very important to provide RPW different developmental stages to be used ⁶⁶in experimentation/bioassays. In lab-based biological assays, the insects used must be homogeneous ⁶⁷and available in large numbers. In this regard, the present study objective was to develop a cost ⁶⁸effective and nutritious, artificial diet with enhanced shelf life for mass rearing of the RPW for the ⁶⁹successful experimentation.

70^[A], To Artificial diets should contain enough nutrition for the growth and development of the insects, and 71 have both physical and chemical properties that are palatable, digestible and absorbable by the insect 72 (Coudron et al., 2004). Attempts to mass rear RPWs have been reported. Rearing of the weevil can be 5 3

73achieved using date palms trunk (Al-Ayedh, 2008, Aldawood and Rasool, 2011), or sugarcane as host 74plants (Prabhu and Patil, 2009). Additionally, vegetables-based diets (Alfazairy, 2011) and date palm 75frond semi-synthetic diets (Al-Ayedh, 2011) can be used. Using natural diets increases the risk of 76uncontrolled contamination and has a larger space requirement. On the other hand, the use of artificial 77diets is more practical, as the risk of contamination can be controlled and less space is needed 78(Situmorang, 1997). This study aimed to develop an artificial diet with a longer shelf life that satisfies 79the nutritional requirements of RPW larval stages, and evaluate this through its effects on various 80RPW development stages.

812. Materials and Methods

82The experiment was conducted at the economic entomology research unit (EERU) Plant Protection 83Department, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University. The parent generation 84of RPWs was collected from date palm fields in the Riyadh Region (24.4164°N, 46.5765°E) and 85comprised both adults and larvae. Adults were kept in plastic boxes (size, 1 Kg) and were provided 86with cotton saturated with 10% (w/v) bee pollen solution. The laid eggs were collected and kept in 87petri dishes (d: 8 cm; h: 2.5 cm) containing wet filter paper. Newly hatched larvae were then 88maintained on the artificial diet. Therefore, in the present experiments, second-generation individuals 89were reared on the present semi artificial diet.

90[°]The present semi artificial diet synthesized was modified from <u>Al-Ayedh (2011)</u> by adding 91[°]preservatives, antioxidants, and agar in order to improve the texture and to enhance the shelf life of 92 the diet. The artificial diet was mainly composed of shredded date palm petioles. To prepare the 93 ground petioles, date palm petioles were cut into pieces (3 cm × 3.5 cm) and air dried for three days to 94 reach 35 – 40% water content. Then, 500 g of ground petiole, 250 g wheat flour, 250 g corn flour, and 95 two g ascorbic acid were homogenized (mix 1.). 1.6 g potassium benzoate, 1.6 g sorbic acid, 20 g 96 agar, and two litters distilled water were boiled, then cooled until reaching 60 °C. After this, mix. 1 97 was homogenized with agar solution.

98In this experiment, three replicates with 50 larvae per replicate were used. Newly hatched larvae were 99maintained in a plastic cup (d: 5 cm; h: 3 cm) and provided with 60 g of the diet until the 5th instar. 100After 5th instar, they were transferred into a plastic jar (d: 6 cm; h: 8 cm) with 250 g of the diet until 101full grown. During the last instar, the larvae starts wandering to find fiber for pupation, these full 102grown wandering larvae were transferred into a box (l: 17 cm; w: 11 cm; h: 7 cm) and provided with 10310 cm long sugar cane piece/stick for pupation. Emerged adults had a 1:1 sex ratio. Then, laid eggs 104were observed to measure fecundity. Diets were changed every seven to 10 days. As a control, 10 105larvae maintained on the previously published artificial diet and 10 larvae reared on date palm bolts 106(one-meter-long date palm trunk) were used, with three replicates for each. All colonies were 107maintained in a growth chamber set at 70% RH and 25 °C temperature.

9 10

108 Larval growth and development were monitored daily by recording the exuviae or head capsules,
109 while weight was measured two days after molting. Measured parameters were: larval instar
110 development, larval weight, adult weight, fecundity, and egg hatchability. These parameters are
111 common in published papers within the field, and within the literature.
1122.1. Experimental Design and Analysis
113 A completely randomized design (CRD) was used in this experiment. Analysis of variance (α = 0.05)
114 was conducted to compare the developmental stages of RPWs reared on the diets, and this was

115 followed by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) to separate means. Statistical analyzes were

6

116completed using SPSS version 13.0 (SPSS Inc 2005).

1173. Results

1183.1. Larval development

119On newly synthesized semi artificial diet, RPW larvae completed ten to eleven instars (Table 1). 120Results indicated significant differences (F = 48.9; df = 2, 74; P 0.001) in larval weight at the full-121grown stage. Larval weights developed from 0.001 g for the 1st instar, to an average of 5.5 g (range: 4 122- 7 g) for the tenth instar, to an average of 5 g (range: 4 to 6 g) for the eleventh instar. The total RPW 123larval duration was 53 days (range: 46-57) days. Mortality during larval stage was nil. Only 7% larvae 124completed eleven instars while 93% larvae pupated after 10th instar (Table 1).

125_{3.2.} Pupal development

126After larvae were fully grown and stopped feeding, they were transferred onto sugar cane for127pupation. Mortality during the pupation stage was very low, at roughly 2%. The pupal period varied128from 10-49 days, with an average of 25 days (Table 2).

1293.3. Adult development

130Red palm weevil larval stages fed on newly synthesized semi artificial diet successfully developed
131into adults. An average of 78% successfully emerged, with a range of 58 to 98%. More males emerged
132than females, resulting in a sex ratio of 1.00 males to 0.79 females (Table 2).
133RPW adults provided with pollen solution varied in longevity. Longevity of males ranged from 33 to

13472 days, while for females the range was 15 to 72 days. There was a difference in adult longevity 135between females and males, with males having shorter life spans than females. On average, males

136survived 49 days post-emergence, while females survived 52 days on average.

137 Adult male and female weights ranged from 0.6 to 1.21 g, and 0.7 to 1.24 g respectively, with an
138 average of 0.90 g and 0.97 g respectively. Additionally, we observed that females could lay between
13948 and 193 eggs, with an average of 96 eggs, in a 35 to 61-day period (Table 2).
140 The egg laying period for RPWs fed on newly synthesized semi artificial diet ranged from 35 to 61

141days, with an average of 48 days. Total development time for RPWs from egg to full size ranged from 14248 to 98 days, with an average of 77 days on present semi artificial diet (Table 2).

13 14

143_{Discussion}

144In the present research, we studied RPW larval growth and development on our newly synthesized145semi artificial diet and compared its results with other diets claimed to be suitable for red palm weevil146rearing. The diet succeeded in supporting RPW larval growth and development.

147RPW larvae completed ten to eleven instars on newly synthesized semi artificial diet. Around 93 % of 148the population fed on the newly synthesized semi artificial diet had ten instars, while the remaining 149had eleven instars. This result was similar to that observed when larvae are fed on chopped date palm 150fronds (Al-Ayedh, 2011). In our study, most larvae had a tenth instar for 4-13 days (mean = 8.9 days), 151and about 7% of the population needed an additional five days to develop an eleventh instar. 152Larval weights developed from 0.001 g for the 1st instar, to an average of 5.5 g (range: 4-7 g) for the 153tenth instar and an average of 5 g (range: 4-6 g) for the eleventh instar. In comparison to larvae fed on 154sukkary date palm (Al-Ayedh, 2008), for which larval weight averaged 2.73 g, larvae fed on this 155artificial diet were heavier. There were significant differences in larval weight at the full-grown stage. 156If we compare it with other diets, larval weight on date palm bolt was significantly lower than on 157other diets. On the Al-Ayedh, (2011) diet, larval instar development was faster compared to other 158treatments until the 6th instar, but was slower than other diets in the advanced stages of larval 159development.

160RPW average larval duration was 53 days (range: 46-57) days. RPW larval duration was shorter than
161observed by Al-Ayedh (2011), Alsuhaibani et al. (2001), Nirula (1956), and Prabhu and Patil (2009),
162who reported 66, 182, 55, and 56 days, respectively, but longer than observed by Ghosh (1912),
163Ghosh (1912)Watanapongsiri (1966), and Abd El-Fattah et al. (2009), which reported 35, 35 to 38,
164and 45 days, respectively.

165The use of an artificial diet for RPW rearing during larval stages is more suitable for developing 166insects for use in experimentation. Larval growth and development can be observed more easily than 167 in larvae fed on a natural diet. This is because the texture of the diet makes collection of the desired 168 larval stage easier, reducing mishandling effects. Additionally, less space is required for rearing. It is 169 easy to control contamination by adding preservatives and anti-fungal agents. The percentage of 170 RPWs reaching full-grown development ranged from 58 to 98%, with an average of 78%.

15 16

171The pupal period varied from 10-49 days, with an average of 25 days. When compared to
172observations made by Prabhu and Patil (2009), Nirula (1956) and Alsuhaibani et al. (2001), in which
173pupal duration averaged 16, 16, and 23 days respectively, pupal duration in this study was shorter.
174Abd El-Fattah et al. (2009) developed an artificial diet, and larvae fed on it required 22.64 days to
175develop into adults from the pre-pupae stage.

176Through continuously rearing RPWs on the diet, it was found that rough chopped petioles could be 177used as a medium for cocoon spinning, as they provide fibers for cocooning. Fresh rough ground date 178palm petioles should be combined with 1% ascorbic acid solution (w/v) as an antioxidant to overcome 179oxidation and provide humidity for the fibers.

180Red palm weevil larval stages fed on present semi artificial diet were successfully developed into 181 adults. An average of 78% successfully emerged, with a range of 58 to 98%. On a diet of sukkary date 182palm trunk, almost 72% of the population developed into adults (Al-Ayedh, 2008), while on 183sugarcane this figure rose to 87% (Prabhu and Patil, 2009) (Table 1). More males emerged than 184 females, resulting in a sex ratio of 1.00 males to 0.79 females. Al-Ayedh (2008) and Nirula (1956) 185also observed the same phenomenon, with ratios of 0.51: 0.49 and 1.3: 1, respectively. 186RPW adults provided with pollen solution varied in longevity. Longevity of males ranged from 33 to 18772 days, while for females the range was 15 to 72 days. There was a difference in adult longevity 188between females and males, with males having shorter life spans than females. On average, males 189survived 49 days post-emergence, while females survived 52 days on average. These life span 190 averages were shorter than for larvae fed on sugar cane (Prabhu and Patil, 2009), date palm 191(Alsuhaibani et al. 2001), artificial diet (Abd El-Fattah et al. 2009), and coconut (Nirula, 1956). Male 192 life spans for these diets averaged 71, 161, 98 and 84 days, respectively, whilst females survived for 193an average of 69, 112, 95 and 60 days, respectively. Deprived of food and humidity, the weevil can 194 survive for no more than six days, while if only humidity is provided it may survive for three to six 195weeks (Alsuhaibani et al. 2001). When fed on date palm bolts, females survived up to 172 days, while 196 males were capable of surviving for up to 176 days (Al-Ayedh, 2008). 197There were significant effects of diet on adult performance. Male weevils' weight differed

198 significantly between diets, with males fed on date palm bolt being lighter than those reared on

17 18

199 artificial diets. On the contrary, weight of females reared on the present semi artificial diet was lighter 200than on the Al-Ayedh (2011) diet. The present semi artificial diet improved fecundity, which was 201significantly higher than on the Al-Ayedh (2011) diet and date palm bolt treatments, with higher 202hatchability percentage.

203Adult male and female weights ranged from 0.6 to 1.21 g, and 0.7 to 1.24 g respectively, with an 204 average of 0.90 g and 0.97 g respectively. Prabhu and Patil (2009) observed that RPW weight ranged 205 from 0.53 to 1.09 g and 0.57 to 1.43 g for males and females respectively when fed on sugarcane. We 206 also observed that females could lay between 48 and 193 eggs, with an average of 96 eggs, in a 35 to 20761-day period. This result was similar to Faghih (1996), which recorded that female RPWs could lay 208between 127 and 276 eggs. On sugar cane, adult females laid 278 eggs. Each female laid up to 412 209eggs when reared on date palm trunk. The egg laying period for females living on this tree ranges 210 from 54 to 137 days (Alsuhaibani et al., 2001). On coconut trees, RPW females lay eggs for between 21125 and 63 days (Nirula, 1956). Weevils fed on an artificial diet by Abd El-Fattah et al. (2009) and 212_{Salama and Abdel-Razek, (2002)}, produced 275 and 184 eggs, respectively. Fertile laid eggs required 213between 2-6 days (mean: 4.5 days) to hatch. This range was similar to those observed by Ghosh 214(1912), Nirula (1956), Watanapongsiri (1966), and Faghih (1996), Alsuhaibani et al. (2001), and 215Prabhu and Patil (2009).

216 The oviposition period for RPWs fed on present semi artificial diet ranged from 35 to 61 days, with an 217 average of 48 days. This duration was shorter than in those fed on date palm. On date palm trees, egg 218 laying duration varied from 54 to 137 days, and the average was 96 days (Alsuhaibani et al. 2001). 219RPWs fed on coconut laid eggs for between 25 and 63 days (Nirula, 1956). Total development time 220 for RPWs from egg to full size ranged from 48 to 98 days, with an average of 77 days on present semi 221 artificial diet. This complete life cycle period was shorter than for those fed on sugar cane (Prabhu 222and Patil, 2009), on date palm (Alsuhaibani et al., 2001), and on coconut (Nirula, 1956); average life 223 cycle periods on those diets were found to be 82, 216, and 81 days, respectively.

2245. Conclusions

225In conclusion, the present semi artificial diet was successful in supporting growth and development 226during RPW mass rearing in the laboratory. The growth and development of RPW larvae reared in this 19 10

227 experiment were comparable to those fed on a natural diet. This study also observed that roughly

228 grinded date palm fronds provide satisfactory results for pupation with no need for the addition of

229sugarcane during laboratory rearing.

230^{8]}[▶] Acknowledgments

231The authors would like to thank the Researchers Supporting Project, King Saud University, Riyadh,

232Saudi Arabia for financial support of the present work through project number RSP-2021/107.

233_{Disclosure} of funding

234The authors declare that they don't have any particular funding for this study.

235_{Disclosure} of any conflict of interest

236The authors declare that they don't have any type of cconflict of interest which might affect the

11

237 present work.

238_{References}

Refer									
239Abbas	s, M.S.T., Hanounik, S.B., Shahdad, A.S., AI-Bagham, S.A., 2006. Aggregation pheromone								
240	traps, a major component of IPM strategy for the red palm weevil, Rhynchophorus								
241	ferrugineus in date palms (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). J. Pest Sci. 79, 69-73. DOI:								
242	10.1007/s10340-005-0113-6.								
243Abd E	El-Fattah, S.M., El-Zohairy, M.M., Kelany, E.M., El-Dieeb, M.A., 2009. Biological studies on								
244	the red palm Weevil, Rhynchophorus ferrugineus, Olivier, Coleoptera: Curculonidae reared								
245	on natural and artificial diets. Zagazig J. Agri. Res, 36, 1297-1314.								
246 Abrah	246 Abraham, V.A., Abdulla, K.M., Kurian, C.H., 1975. Evaluation of seven insecticides for control of red								
247	palm weevil Rhynchophorus ferrugineus Fabr. J. Plants Crops. 3, 71-72.								
248Al-Ay	248Al-Ayedh, H., 2008. Evaluation of date palm cultivars for rearing the red date palm weevil,								
249	Rhynchophorus ferrugineus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Fla. Entomol. 91, 353-358. DOI:								
250	10.1017/S1742758411000063.								
251Al-Ay	redh, H., 2011. Evaluating a semi-synthetic diet for rearing the red palm weevil Rhynchophorus								
252	ferrugineus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Int. J. of Trop Insect Sci. 1, 1-9.								
253Al-Ay	253Al-Ayedh, H.Y., Rasool, K.G., 2010. Determination of the optimum sterilizing radiation dose for								
254	control of the red date palm weevil Rhynchophorus ferrugineus Oliv. (Coleoptera:								
255	Curculionidae). Crop Prot. 29, 1377-1380. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2010.06.019.								
256Al-Saoud, A., Al-Deeb, M., Murchie, A., 2010. Effect of color on the trapping effectiveness of red									
257	palm weevil pheromone traps. J. Entomol. 7, 54–59. DOI: 10.3923/je.2010.54.59.								
258 Aldawood, A., Rasool, K., 2011. Rearing optimization of red palm weevil: Rhynchophorus									
259	ferrugineus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) on date palm: Phoenix dactylifera. Fla. Entomol. 94,								
260	756-760. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1653/024.094.0404.								

261Alfazairy, A.A., 2011. A simple feeding diet for evaluating the efficacy of microbial or chemical

- 262 control agents against larval stage of curculionid red palm weevil, Rhynchophorus
- 263 ferrugienus (Olivier.). Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Co. 21, 101.

23 24

264Alsuhaibani, A.M., Aldafer, H.M., Alahmadi, A.Z., 2001. Behavioral and biological studies on the red

265 palm weevil, Rhynchophorus ferrugineus Oilv. (Coleoptera, Curculionidae) in Riyadh, Saudi

266 Arabia. Res. Bult. Res. Cent. Coll. of Agri., King Saud Univ. 107, 5–30.

267Bozbuga, R., Hazir, A., 2008. Pests of the palm (Palmae sp.) and date palm (Phoenix dactylifera)

268 determined in Turkey and evaluation of red palm weevil (Rhynchophorus ferrugineus Olivier)

269 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). EPPO Bull. 38, 127–130.

270Coudron, T.A., Goodman, C. L., Jones, W.A., Leopold, R., 2004. Development of an artificial diet and

evaluation of artificial ovipositional substrates for the in vitro rearing of Gonatocerus spp.

272 parasitoids of the eggs of the glassy-winged sharshooter. USDA ARS Bulletin. Columbia.

273Dembilio, O., Quesada-Moraga, E., Santiago-Álvarez, C., Jacas, J.A., 2010. Potential of an

274 indigenous strain of the entomopathogenic fungus Beauveria bassiana as a biological control

275 agent against the Red Palm Weevil, Rhynchophorus ferrugineus. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 104,

276 214–221. DOI: 10.1016/j.jip.2010.04.006.

277El Ezaby, F.A., Khalifa, O., Assal, A.E., 1998. Integrated pest management for the control of red palm

278 weevil Rhynchophorus ferrugineus Oliv. in The United Arab Emirates, Eastern Region, Al

279 Ain. In Rahman-Al Afifi, M. A. and Al-Sherif Al-Badawy, A. (Eds) Proceedings of the First

280 International Conference on Date Palms. Al-Ain, UAE, 8–10 March 1998. Faculty of

281 Agricultural Sciences, UAE University, pp. 269–281.

282Faghih, A.A., 1996. The biology of red palm weevil, Rhynchophorus ferrugineus Oliv. (Coleoptera,

283 Curculionidae) in Savaran region (Sistan province, Iran). Appl. Entomol. Phytopathol. 63, 16–
284 86.

285Faleiro, J., El-Saad, M.A., Al-Abbad, A.H., 2011. Pheromone trap density to mass trap

286 Rhynchophorus ferrugineus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae/Rhynchophoridae/ Dryophthoridae)

287 in date plantations of Saudi Arabia. Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci. 31, 75–77. DOI:

288 10.1017/S1742758411000099.

289Ferry, M., Gomez, S., 2002. The Red Palm Weevil in the Mediterranean Area. Palms 46, 172–178.

25 26

290Ghosh, C.C., 1912. Life Histories of Indian Insects- III, The Rhinoceros Beetle (Oryctes rhinoceros)

and the red or palm weevil (Rhynchophorus ferrugineus). Memoirs of the Dept. of Agric.

292 India. (Ent. Ser.) II 10: 205–217.

- 293Güerri-Agulló, B., López-Follana, R., Asensio, L., Barranco, P., Lopez-Llorca, L., 2011. Use of a
- solid formulation of Beauveria bassiana for biocontrol of the red palm weevil
- 295 (Rhynchophorus ferrugineus) (Coleoptera: Dryophthoridae) under field conditions in SE
- 296 Spain. Fla. Entomol. 94, 737–747. DOI: 10.1653/024.094.0402.
- 297Gutiérrez, A., Ruiz, V., Moltó, E., Tapia, G., Téllez, M.M., 2010. Development of abioacoustic sensor
- 298 for the early detection of Red Palm Weevil (Rhynchophorus ferrugineus Olivier). Crop Prot.
- 29, 671–676. DOI: 10.1016/j.cropro.2010.02.001.
- 300Llacer, E., 2008. Prospect for the biological control of the red palm weevil, Rhynchophorus
- 301 ferrugineus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), in mediterranean. Proceedings of ISBCA 3- P.G.
- 302 Mason, D. R. Gillespie and C. Vincent Eds. (2008).
- 303Ma, A.K.W., Alghamdi, A.A., Tofailli, K., Spyrou, N.M., 2012. X-ray CT in the detection of palm
- 304 weevils. J. Radioanal. Nucl Ch. 291, 353–357. DOI: 10.1007/s10967-011-1202-z.
- 305Malumphy, C., Moran, H., 2007. Plant Pest Notice: Red Palm Weevil, Rhynchophorus ferrugineus.
- **306** Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Central Science Laboratory.
- 307Massa, R., Caprio, E., De Santis, M., Griffo, R., Migliore, M.D., Panariello, G., Pinchera, D., Spigno,
- 308 P., 2011. Microwave treatment for pest control: the case of Rhynchophorus ferrugineus in
- 309 Phoenix canariensis. EPPO Bull. 41, 128–135. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2338.2011.02447.x.
- 310_{Murphy}, S., Briscoe, B., 1999. The red palm weevil as an alien invasive: biology and the prospects for
- 311 biological control as a component of IPM. Biocontrol News Inform. 20, 35–46.
- 312_{Nakash}, J., Osem, Y., Kehat, M., 1999. A suggestion to use dogs for detecting red palm weevil
- 313 (Rhynchophorus ferrugineus) infestation in date palms in Israel. Phytoparasitica. 28, 153–
- 314 155. DOI: 10.1007/BF02981745.
- 315_{Nirula, K.K., 1956}. Investigations on the pests of coconut palm, (Rhynchophorus ferrugineus F.).

- 316 Indian Coconut J. 9, 229–247.
- 27 28

317Prabhu, S.T., Patil, R.S., 2009. Studies on the biological aspects of red palm weevil, Rhynchophorus

318 ferrugineus (Oliv.). Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 22, 732–733.

319Salama, H.S., Abdel-Razek, A.S., 2002. Development of the red palm weevil, Rhynchophorus

320 ferrugineus (Olivier), (Coleoptera, Curculionidae) on natural and synthetic diets. Anzeiger

321 Schädlingskd. 75, 137–139. DOI: 10.1046/j.1472-8206.2002.02039.x.

322 Siriwardena, K., Siriwardena, K.A.P., Fernando, L.C.P., Nanayakkara, N., Perera, K.F.G., Kumara,

323 A.D.N.T., Nanayakkara, T., 2010. Portable acoustic device for detection of coconut palms

324 infested by Rynchophorus ferrugineus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Crop Prot. 29, 25–29.

325 DOI: 10.1016/J.CROPRO.2009.09.002.

326Situmorang, J., 1997. Optimasi susunan pakan buatan untuk pertumbuhan dan kualitas kokon ulat

327 sutera atakas, Attacus atlas (Linn.) (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae). Research report Faculty of

328 Biology Gadjah Mada University Indonesia.

329 Tapia, G., Ruiz, M., Téllez, M., 2011. Recommendations for a preventive strategy to control red palm

330 weevil (Rhynchophorus ferrugineus, Olivier) based on the use of insecticides and

- 331 entomopathogenic nematodes. EPPO Bull. 41, 136–141. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 332 <u>2338.2011.02448.x</u>.

333Watanapongsiri, A., 1966. A revision of the genera Rhynchophorus and Dynamis (Coleoptera:

334 Curculionidae). Department of Agriculture Science Bulletin Vol.1 No.1. Bangkok. Thailand.

335 Table 1. Growth and development of red palm weevil larval stages fed on semi synthetic diet (Al-Ayedh, 2011), date palm, and present semi

336artificial diet

Parameters	Semi-Artificial Diet			Date Palm			Al-Ayedh, 2011				,	
	Ν	Range	Mean ± SEM	Ν	Range	Mean ± SEM	Ν	Range	$Mean \pm SEM$	F-test	p-value	
Instar duration (d):												
1 st instar	102	3-4	3.42±0.05 a	30	2–5	3.47±0.16 a	30	1–4	2.73±0.12 b	16.353	3.48E-07	P 0.00
2 nd instar	102	3 – 6	4.41±0.05 a	30	2–5	3.23±0.13 b	30	2–5	3.30±0.16 b	57.675	2.20E-16	P 0.00
3 rd instar	102	4-6	4.67±0.05 a	30	2–5	3.66±0.12 b	30	2–5	3.36±0.15 b	64.844	2.20E-16	P 0.00
4 th instar	102	4-6	4.51±0.05 a	30	3–6	3.86±0.17 b	30	2–8	3.66±0.21 b	17.478	1.38E-07	P 0.00
5 th instar	102	5-8	6.16±0.07 a	30	3–6	4.30±0.12 b	30	2–5	3.66±0.11 c	182.35	2.20E-16	P 0.00
6 th instar	102	4-7	4.96±0.07 a	30	3–7	4.86±0.23 a	30	2–8	4.16±0.28 b	6.7058	0.0016	P 0.0
7 th instar	102	4 –6	5.05±0.06 b	30	3–8	4.60±0.21 c	30	3–8	5.53 ±0.22 a	7.8524	0.0005595	P 0.0
8 th instar	102	4-8	5.27±0.09 b	30	2–13	5.43±0.39 b	30	2–11	7.26±0.42 a	19.61	2.44E-08	P 0.00
9 th instar	102	4-8	5.09±0.08 b	30	4–26	8.10±0.78 a	30	5–10	7.16±0.24 a	30.278	7.22E-12	P 0.00
10 th instar	95	4 – 13	8.99±0.26 b	30	12–39	23.16±1.37 a	30	5–19	9.86±0.69 b	137.24	2.20E-16	P 0.00
11 th instar	7	0-5	0.49±0.14 b	-	-	-	30	10–28	17.86±0.94 a	591.83	2.20E-16	P 0.00
Larval duration (d)	102	46-57	53.05±0.27 c	30	48-89	64.7±1.95 b	30	47-83	68.6±1.43 a	97.085	2.20E-16	P 0.00

Parameters	Nirula (1956)	Alsuhaibani et al. (2001)	Prabhu and Patil (2009)		-Fattah et al. ((2009)	Al-Ayedh (2011)		Salama and Abdel-Razek (2002)	Aldawood et al. semi-artificial diet
	Coconut	Date palm	Sugarcane S	ugarcane D	ate palm A	rtificial diet	Artificial diet	Date palm		
Egg										
Fecundity	76-355 (204)	55-412 (227)	211-380 (278)	275±24	259±26	222±22.74	35.2 ±7	32 ± 8	184±19	48-193 (96) ±15
Oviposition period	25-63 (45)	54-137 (96)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35-61 (48) ± 3
Hatchability %	-	-	70-90 (83)	84±5	87±5	829±5	21-71 (40) ± 5	0-61 (30) ± 5	94-100	43-100 (73) ± 8
Incubation period	2-5 (3)	2-5 (4)	2-4 (3)	3.16±0.17	3.16±0.16	3.09±0.17	-	-	-	2-6 (4.5)
Larva										
# instars	-	-	8	-	-	-	11	10	-	10-11
Duration	35-71 (55)	120-241 (182)	32-65 (56)	68±4	37±2	45±3	45-81 (66) ± 1	48-89 (65) ± 2	45	46-57 (53) ± 0.3
Head Capsule width	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.16	5.69	-	-
Weight	-	-2.73	4-6.4 (4.95)	-	-	-	3-6 (5) ± 0.1	0.1-5 (2) ± 0.2	-	4-6 (5) ± 0.2
Pupa										
Duration	12-21 (16)	21-26 (23)	10-12 (16)	-	-	22-64	-	-	-	10-49 (25) ± 0.7
Weight	-		1-3 (2)	-	-	-	1-3 (2) ± 0.1	1-3 (2) ± 0.2	-	-
Adult										
Emergence %	-	-	85-90 (87)	-	-	-	-	-	-	58-98 (78) ±0.6
Male longevity	48-113 (84)	76-257 (161)	62-78 (71)	92.4±6.84	93.4±5.37	98±5.96	-	-	95.2	33-72 (49) ± 3
Female longevity	43-76 (60)	70-335 (112)	59-75 (69)	82±6	91 ± 6	95 ± 7	-	-	98	15-72 (52) ± 3
Sex ratio	1.3:1	0.8:1	1:1	0.53:0.47	-	-	051: 0.49	-	0.53:0.47	1 :0.79
Male weight	-	-	0.53-1 (0.9)	-	-	-	0.5-1.4 (1) ± 0	0.6596 (1) ± 0.1	-	0.6-1.21 (0.90) ± 0.1
Female weight	-	-	0.6-1.43 (1)	-	-	-	0.6-1.4(1) ± 0	0.6-1 (1) ± 0.1	-	0.7-1.24 (0.97) ±0.1
Total Life cycle devel	opment									
Egg to Adult	54-120 (81)	208-223 (216)	58-97 (82)	165-195	-	-	-	-	-	48-98 (77±0.9)

Table 2. Comparison of Red palm weevil performance on different diets