

# Dr. Ahmed

*by Dr. Ahmed Nagar*

---

**Submission date:** 24-Jun-2022 10:29PM (UTC+0500)

**Submission ID:** 1862392848

**File name:** 3rd.docx (1.3M)

**Word count:** 7797

**Character count:** 45358

## Influence of environmental-friendly bio-organic ameliorants on abiotic stress to sustainable agriculture in arid regions: A long term greenhouse study in northwestern Egypt

### Abstract

The problem of soil salinity is rapidly increasing, with more than 950 million hectares affected by salinity stress (Arora et al., 2017). The amelioration of saline-sodic soils using chemical ameliorates could increase CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and soil degradation. Therefore, amending saline-sodic soil with environmentally friendly bio-organic ameliorants such as brewer's spent grain (SG) and *Azospirillum* (*A. brasilense*) bacteria could represent a safe and cheaper approach compared to other organic (compost; CT) and mineral fertilizers. Under greenhouse conditions, maize was grown in saline-sodic soil amended with bio-organic ameliorants. Nine treatments were included; (i) SG1 (23.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>); (ii) SG2 (47.6 t ha<sup>-1</sup>); (iii) TC1 (23.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>); (iv) TC2 (47.6 t ha<sup>-1</sup>); (v) injection of *A. brasilense* with corn seeds (Az); (vi) combination of *A. brasilense* and SG (Az+SG1); (vii) combination of *A. brasilense* and compost (Az+TC1); (viii) mineral fertilizers (NPK) and (ix) the control (CK). The results revealed that soil amended with Az and SG2 significantly decreased the exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) and higher cation exchange capacity (CEC). Compared with the control, the exchangeable sodium (Ex-Na<sup>+</sup>) concentration was decreased by 53.2 and 49.27%, for Az and Az+SG1 treatments, respectively. The fresh- and dry weight observed for Az+SG1, SG2, and Az treatments were increased compared to TC1, TC2, NPK, and CK treatments. The grain and biological yields were higher in Az+SG1 and SG2 than in TC2, NPK ameliorants, and CK. The bio-organic ameliorants alleviated the abiotic stress, enhance the growth and productivity of corn plants, decrease soil ESP and Na<sup>+</sup> content, and enhance soil fertility. In conclusion, the application of SG2 can enhance the growth, and productivity of maize grown under salinity-sodicity stress. Therefore, SG2 and Az+SG1 are recommended for direct application in saline-sodic soil.

**Keywords:** Land degradation, greenhouse, environmental-friendly amendments, arid regions, bio-organic ameliorants.

## 26 1. Introduction

27 Salinity-sodicity stress is a major problem that spreads in more than 100 countries, threatening  
28 environmental health, agricultural production, and food security. The problem is aggravated by the rapid  
29 increase in food production (Arora et al., 2017). Soil salinity results due to the buildup of soil soluble salts  
30 in the rhizosphere (Diacono and Montemurro, 2015). Water loss through evapotranspiration in water-  
31 demanding agri-food systems in particular under hot- and hot-dry environments increases the severity of  
32 soil salinity (Diacono and Montemurro, 2015). Secondary, salinization may be caused by irrigation  
33 practices, in particular when saline water is used for irrigation (Morsy et al., 2022). Salinity stress  
34 negatively affects soil physico-biochemical properties, thus crop growth and development. In salt-affected  
35 soils, the increase of Na<sup>+</sup> leads to the de-flocculation of clay particles (Mahmoodabadi et al. 2013; Zhao et  
36 al. 2018; Erel et al. 2019), thus, decreasing the hydraulic conductivity and water infiltration capacity of the  
37 soil, therefore the water-holding capacity could be reduced (Abdallah et al., 2019). Plants grown in salt-  
38 affected soils suffer nutrients deficiency, therefore farmers add more fertilizer, increasing environmental  
39 pollution, and the production cost. The deficiency of nutrients is a side effect of soil salinity and may result  
40 from soil alkalization and ion competition (Morsy et al., 2022). Consequently, soil salinity affected soil  
41 biological activities and crop yield production (Rath and Rousk, 2015; Rojas-Tapias et al. 2012; Hafez et  
42 al. 2021).

43 Brewer's spent grain (SG) was used as a soil amendment as a win-win solution; recycling industry  
44 organic wastes and enhancing soil fertility (Hafez et al., 2019, 2020, 2021). Brewer's SG constitutes  
45 approximately 25.4, 21.8, 11.9, 24.0, 10.6, and 2.4% cellulose, arabinoxylan, lignin, protein, lipid, and ash,  
46 respectively (Mussatto and Roberto, 2006). Besides minerals, vitamins, and amino acids, the presence of  
47 protein (21%), indicates high N content in the SG, encouraging its reuse in the compost industry for  
48 agricultural use. The mineral composition of SG includes Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, k<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Se,  
49 P, and S, each with a concentration of lower than 0.5% (Mussatto and Roberto, 2006; Rashad et al., 2016).  
50 Due to its chemical composition, the SG has been reused for several applications, in particular as a soil  
51 amendment for enhancing the soil's physico-biochemical properties (Hafez et al., 2019, 2020, 2021).

52  
53 Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) positively affect plant growth through N-fixation,  
54 increasing P availability. The PGPR delivers several means of substituting mineral fertilizers, thus  
55 decreasing environmental pollution and increasing food safety (Sandeep et al., 2021). Therefore,  
56 representing possible instruments for sustainable agriculture and future developments. Nearly, 2 to 5% of  
57 the rhizosphere bacteria are PGPR (Negm and Abu-hashim, 2019). The soil is naturally fertile when the

58 soil organisms release inorganic nutrients at a sufficient rate to sustain rapid growth. PGPB tolerates a  
59 broad range of salt stress and encourages plants to withstand salinity through hydraulic conduct, osmotic  
60 accumulation, toxic sodium removal, higher osmotic activity, and photosynthesis (Yu et al., 2019). In this  
61 way, PGPR represents a promising approach to that alleviating salt stress and increasing the productivity  
62 of salt-affected soils (Arora et al. 2017).

63 Organic amendments enhance the utilization of nutrients by plants and reduced nutrient losses by  
64 improving soil water retention (Abdallah et al., 2019; Shehzadi et al., 2014; Zaghoul, 2020). Therefore,  
65 compost ameliorants positively increased crop yield (Liu et al. 2019). A field experiment proved that farm  
66 yard manure, humic acid, or *Azotobacter* inoculum alone and combinations were rich N, P, and K sources  
67 for maize plants' requirements. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the effects of organic  
68 and biological ameliorants on alleviating the harmful effects caused by abiotic stresses (salinity and  
69 sodicity stress). We evaluated the influence of organic and biological ameliorants on soil chemical  
70 properties, soil fertility, and the growth and productivity of maize plants grown in saline-sodic soil under  
71 greenhouse conditions.

72

73

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Soil characteristics

75 A two-season experiment (2017-2018) has been conducted under greenhouse conditions in the New  
76 Borg Arab City (30° 53' 33.17" N 29° 22' 46.43" E of altitude), Alexandria, Egypt. The climate of the  
77 region is typically Mediterranean, with hot and dry summer and cool-wet winter (FAO, 2016). The average  
78 annual temperature is 25.6°C, while the annual precipitations are amounts to 130 mm. The soil of the study  
79 site is a saline-sodic (EC of 5.43, pH of 8.84, and ESP of 53.1%). The chemical properties of the soil are  
80 given in Supplementary Table S1. The soil is clay loam in texture, with soil fractions of 45.3, 23.5, and  
81 31.2% for clay, silt, and sand, respectively. Soil texture was measured using the hydrometer method  
82 (Anderson et al., 1982).

83

### 2.2. Soil organic and biological ameliorant's description

84 Four soil bio-organic ameliorants' have been used, i.e., *Azospirillum brasilense* (*A. brasilense*), SG,  
85 compost, and mineral fertilizers, which have been applied individually and in combination). Before sowing,  
86 a cultivated suspension of *A. brasilense* (Az), was soaked with the seeds for four hours. Moreover, Az was  
87 injecting three times into the soil and in irrigation water. The SG is a by-product of organic waste in the  
88 beer industry, and compost was from agricultural organic waste. The soil amendments applications methods  
89 are shown in Fig. 1. Our previous work calculates the basic properties and describes the basic properties  
90 (Hafez et al., 2020b).

92



93

94

95 **Fig. 1.** Greenhouse experiment preparation from experiment design to bacteria inoculants with seeds-soil  
96 under saline-sodic soil.

97

98 Where: (1)- is seed inculcation with *Azospirillum* bacteria; (2)- random distribution pots.

99

### 100 2.3. The experimental details and preparation

101 In the current study, nine treatments/ameliorants were studied comprising; (i) SG1 (23.8 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>)  
102 and (ii) SG2 (47.6 t ha<sup>-1</sup>); (iii) TC1 (23.8 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>); (iv) TC2 (47.6 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>); (v) injection of *A. brasilense*  
103 (Az) (with corn seeds); (vi) combination of *A. brasilense* and SG (Az+SG1); (vii) combination *A. brasilense*  
104 and compost (Az+TC1); (viii) mineral fertilizers (NPK) (178: 70: 100 units of N, P, and K, in the forms of  
105 urea, Ca (PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and K<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>), respectively) and (ix) the control (CK). The details of the experimental  
106 treatments were summarized in Table S2. Ameliorants were mixed with the soil (30 kg) and moved to pots  
107 using three replicates. The pots were placed in a greenhouse.

108 The experiment consisted of 27 pots (nine treatments x three replicates), each containing 25 seeds  
109 of maize. After successful germination, three plants were left for each plot. The experimental units (pots)  
110 were arranged in a split-plots in a randomized complete block design. To avoid interference between the  
111 pots, they were placed 30 cm apart. The depth of applied water was estimated according to the potential  
112 crop evapotranspiration (mm d<sup>-1</sup>) and crop coefficient (Kc) of corn (Allen et al. (1998). The ETc was  
113 calculated using the following equation:

114

$$ETc = Ev \times Kp \times Kc$$

115

116 Where Ev, Kp, and Kc are the evaporation from a class A pan (mm), pan coefficient, and crop coefficient,  
117 respectively.

### 118 2.4. Soil chemical analysis

119 The pH was determined in a 1:2.5 w/v soil: water suspension (Anderson et al., 1982). The EC was  
120 measured using an EC meter in saturated paste extracts (Corwin and Yemoto, 2017). Total N was measured  
121 by the Kjeldahl digestion method. Available P was extracted with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and measured using a  
122 spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 880 nm. Available K was extracted by ammonium acetate solution (1  
123 N) and measured by the flame photometer. The N, P, and K were measured according to Anderson et al.,  
124 (1982). DTPA solution was used for the extraction of available Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, and B<sup>+</sup> and was  
125 measured using atomic emission spectroscopy (Soltanpour and Schwab, 1977). Soil organic carbon was  
126 measured by the oxidization method using K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (Ouyang et al., 2013). The Exh-Na<sup>+</sup> was extracted with  
127 ammonium acetate solution (1 M) (Normandin and Miller, 1998). Soil CEC was estimated following the

128 Bower saturation method as outlined by (Richards 1954). The soil ESP was calculated using the following  
129 equation;

$$ESP (\%) = \frac{\text{Exchangeable} - \text{Na}}{\text{CEC}} \times 100$$

130  
131

## 132 **2.5. Plant and yield measurements**

133 The leaves of three plants were selected for each treatment. The leaf and ear corn samples were  
134 collected at plant harvest. The plant leaf was collected when 70% of the pots' plants emitted the tassel to  
135 determine the leaf N, P, and K concentrations following the methodology (AOAC, 2006). Maize productivity  
136 components, i.e., fresh and dry weight (g), biological yield (kg), the mass of 100 grains (g), and grain yield  
137 (GY; g plant<sup>-1</sup>) were determined (AOAC, 2006).

## 138 **2.6. Statistical analyses**

139 Analysis of variance ANOVA was conducted using the SPSS program. Treatments' means were separated  
140 using the LSD test at P < 0.05.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Effect of bio-organic ameliorants on abiotic stress indicators.

##### 3.1.1. Exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP)

Increasing sodium concentration comparable to the other exchangeable cations (Ca, Mg, and K), increases soil ESP. The ESP was significantly decreased due to the application of organic and bio-organic ameliorants (Table 1). Additionally, ESP levels were reduced with *A. brasilense*, SG, and compost amendments (Fig. 2). After corn plant harvest, the ESP values were descending as follows: 51.74, 50.47, 27.42, 25.54, 18.74, 17.24, 17.06, 12.09, and 11.24 for the NPK > CK > SG1 > TC1 > TC2 > Az > Az+TC1 > SG2 > Az+SG1. Except for the control and NPK, all ameliorants reduced the soil ESP to less than 15%. Before soil amendments, the ESP was 53.1%, while after organic and biological soil amendments ranged from 27.42 to 11.24%. The applications of SG2 and the combination of *A. brasilense* with SG decreased the ESP by 77.74 and 78.83% for SG and Az+SG1 ameliorants. The SG and Az ameliorants decreased the Exc-Na<sup>+</sup> and soil sodicity than the TC1, TC2, NPK, and CK. This reduction in the ESP levels could be attributed to that Az with SG1 ameliorants enhanced soil microbial activity and organic matter decomposition rates. Our results support those of Hafez et al. (2020a) through laboratory incubation of saline-sodic soil for 60 and 160 days. A positive correlation has been observed between Exc-Na<sup>+</sup> and organic and biological amendments. The Az increased microbial biomass, which decreased the Exc-Na<sup>+</sup> in soil solution; this was positively correlated (r= 0.84) with SG2 and Az+SG1 ameliorants in soil. The addition of organic amendments to salt-affected soil can decrease soil salinity and enhance microbial biomass. Our results are in accordance with the results of Zhen et al., (2014) and Zhang et al., (2019). The decrease in ESP of saline-sodic soil due to organic amendments was also observed by Sastre-Conde et al., (2015) and Meena et al., (2019).



172 **Table 1**

173 Effect of bio-organic ameliorants on abiotic stress indicators and soil fertility parameters after 90 days  
 174 after seed sowing in the amended soil.

Soil- ameliorants	excengable Na <sup>+</sup> percentage	excengable Na <sup>+</sup> concentration	Cation exchange capacity	Total N <sup>16</sup>	Available P	Available K <sup>+</sup>
	%	meq 100g <sup>-1</sup>	cmol+kg <sup>-1</sup>	g kg <sup>-1</sup>	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>
CK	50.47 a	2.767 b	5.51 f	0.03 f	2.022 d	55.25 e
NPK	51.74 a	2.676 b	5.17 ef	0.65 e	3.297 d	88.40 de
SG1	17.06 c	2.025 c	11.86 c	0.89 d	6.357 c	195.78 b
SG2	12.09 cd	1.699 d	14.04 a	1.37 b	15.464 a	255.84 a
TC1	27.42 b	3.118 a	11.38 c	0.63 e	3.078 d	112.71d
TC2	25.54 b	3.235 a	12.64 b	0.90 d	5.993 c	154.05 c
Az	18.74 c	1.292 e	6.90 e	1.98 a	16.831 a	176.67 bc
Az+SG1	11.24 d	1.414 e	12.57 b	1.24 c	9.727 b	271.44 a
Az+TC1	17.24 c	3.146 a	8.70 d	0.94 d	6.927 c	123.04 bc
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	5.00	0.327	0.662	0.139	1.900	41.30

175 Data corresponding to the means of three replicates followed by the same letter are non-significantly  
 176 different using the least significant difference (LSD) test at  $p \leq 0.05$ . For a detailed description of  
 177 treatments, see table S2.

178

179 **Fig. 2.** Effect of organic amendments on the exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) after 90 days after  
 180 seed sowing in saline-sodic soil. Values (mean  $\pm$ SD; N=3) with similar letters are non-significantly  
 181 different at  $p \leq 0.05$ . For a detailed description of treatments, see table 2.

182

183

### 184 3.1.2. Exchangeable sodium (Exch-Na<sup>+</sup>)

185 The Exch-Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations followed this order: Az < Az+SG1 < SG2 < CK < NPK < TC1 < TC2  
 186 < Az+TC1, (Fig. 3). The soil amelioration applied varied in its impact on the Exch-Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations (Table  
 187 1). The Az and Az+SG1 ameliorants possessed the lowest Exch-Na<sup>+</sup>, compared to the two levels of compost  
 188 application rates. The trend amount of Exch-Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations is similar to soluble Na<sup>+</sup> expects TC1 and  
 189 TC2 ameliorants.

190 The Az, Az+SG1, SG2, SG1, and NPK treatments decreased the Exch-Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations by 53.2,  
 191 49.27, 38.76, 26.8, and 3.2%, respectively. On the other hand, the two compost level applications increased

192 the Exch- $\text{Na}^+$  concentration by 12 and 38% for TC1 and TC2, compared to control. This result could be  
193 explained by the high salinity of compost ameliorants before the addition to the soil. The *A. brasilense* and  
194 SG as a soil amelioration ( $P < 0.05$ ) decreased the soil Exch- $\text{Na}^+$  contents by increasing the CEC and  
195 exchangeable  $\text{Ca}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  contents on the soil surface. Thus, the combination of SG-limited Exch- $\text{Na}^+$   
196 concentrations may absorb Exch- $\text{Na}^+$  as sodium humate forms or expulsion from the root zone to the soil  
197 profile. Obtained results are in accordance with those of Zhang et al. (2008), who revealed that the inoculation  
198 with bacteria could limit the influx of  $\text{Na}^+$  into roots. Further, it reported that *Bacillus* bacteria inoculation to  
199 soil could mediate salt tolerance. Other researchers also observed the applications of organic matter to the  
200 chemical properties of saline soils positively impacted soil sodium concentration (Ashraf and Foolad, 2007;  
201 Bano and Fatima, 2009; Rojas-Tapias et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2008; Galindo et al., 2020). Our results  
202 revealed the beneficial effect of SG, Az, and their combinations as soil amendments for the saline-sodic soils  
203 (Yu et al., 2019, 2015).

204  
205 **Fig. 3.** Effect of soil-ameliorants on soil exchangeable  $\text{Na}^+$  ( $\text{meq}100\text{g}^{-1}$ ). Values (mean  $\pm$ SD;  $N=3$ ) with  
206 similar letters are non-significantly different at  $p \leq 0.05$ . For a detailed description of treatments, see table S2.

### 207 3.1.3. Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)

208 The CEC was increased with the addition of all ameliorants compared to CK and NPK treatments  
209 which recorded the lowest CEC (Table 1). This significant increase in the CEC could be attributed to the  
210 accumulated and released  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$   $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{K}^+$  soluble micronutrients from organic and biological amendments  
211 and adsorbed on the soil particle. Relative to the control, the CEC increased by 154.8, 129.4, and 128.1%,  
212 respectively, for SG2, TC2, and Az+SG1 treatments. No differences were observed between (CK and NPK)  
213 and (TC2 and Az+SG1) ameliorants. Organic amendments reduced the ECe, ESP, and SAR more than that  
214 of the control treatment and saturated the exchange complex with  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . Hafez et al. (2020a) reported the  
215 application rate of *A. brasilense*, SG, and compost is safe and sufficient for macronutrient consumption and  
216 increased the soil  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{K}^+$  concentrations.

## 218 3.2. Effects of bio-organic ameliorants on soil fertility

### 219 3.2.1. The essential soil macro-nutrients (N, P, and K)

220 All organic and biological soil ameliorants increased total N (TN), available P (Av-P), and available  
221 potassium (Av-K) compared to the control (Table 1). The percentage increases varied from 2166 to 6600%  
222 for TN, 162 to 833% for Av-P, and 160 to 491% for Av-K. Therefore, all organic and biological amendments  
223

224 efficiently contributed soil TN, Av-P, and Av-K . The soil amendments increasing TN and Av-P followed  
225 the order: Az > SG2 > Az+SG1 > Az+TC1 > TC2 > SG1 > NPK > TC1 > CK. While for Av-K followed the  
226 order: Az+SG1 > SG2 > SG1 > Az > TC2 > Az+TC1 > TC1 > NPK > CK. The Az and SG2 ameliorants  
227 possessed the highest level of TN and Av-P compared to the CK, NPK, TC1, and TC2 ameliorants. The trend  
228 of Av-K concentrations increased with the combination of Az and SG1 ameliorants. The Az+SG1 treatment  
229 increased the Av-K concentrations by 491% compared to the control (Table 1).

230 SG and Az ameliorants' application rates were superior in TN, Av-P, and Av-K on TC1 and TC2  
231 organic ameliorants. The mineralization of organic amendments leads to increases in the soil total N,  
232 available P, and available K. Organic ameliorants used in the present study have considerable rates of readily  
233 decomposable soil organic matter, which are mineralized and increased SOC and nutrients concentrations.  
234 Furthermore, the organic amendments must be added before seed sowing to have enough time to mineralize  
235 organic compounds, thus increasing soil-plant macro-nutrient availability (Galindo et al., 2020). The effect  
236 of *A. brasilense* and SG on the soil reclamation enhanced total N, available P, available K, maize seed  
237 germination, plant growth, and soil fertility (Rashad et al., 2016; Hafez et al., 2021). Abdelraouf et al. (2020)  
238 found the applications of organic biochar amendments enhanced the total N and available K under the sweet  
239 pepper plants.

### 240 3.3. Effect of bio-organic ameliorants on corn parameters after three weeks and three months

#### 241 3.3.1. Macronutrient's concentrations

242 Fig. 4 and 5 showed the N and P concentrations in plants after three weeks and three months of seed  
243 sown for using organic and biological ameliorants. N and P contents in plants increased in this order: Az ≥  
244 Az+SG1 > SG2 > TC2 > SG1 > TC1 > Az+TC1 > NPK > CK. The *A. brasilense* treatment and SG with *A.*  
245 *brasilense* enhanced the total N and available K compared to the control and NPK ameliorants during the  
246 growth period. Still, it did not affect the Az+TC1 and TC1 ameliorants. P concentration was similar to  
247 concentration N in all ameliorants except SG2 possessed a higher P concentration than all ameliorants in the  
248 same levels.

249 On the other hand, after three months of seed sowing, N and P trends were similar to the trend N and  
250 P concentrations after three weeks. These results were compatible with Hafez et al., (2019), where these  
251 authors reported that Az use on corn increased N, P, and K concentrations. When studying the effect of  
252 different organic ameliorants on the highest macronutrient concentrations, corn was achieved when treated  
253 with Az and SG2 fertilization, increasing N and P compared to control treatment, due to N fixation by  
254 *Azospirillum* as a plant growth-N fixation bacterium. These results agree with Soumare et al. (2020)

255 The positive effect of SG2 and Az ameliorants on macronutrient contents of maize crop confirms the  
256 observed increase in N, K, and P in the wheat crop due to the use of SG and *A. brasilense* as soil amendments  
257 (Hafez et al., 2021). The foliar spray with humic substance, i.e., ALCRI-Help and ALCRI-Help-M enhanced  
258 NPK concentration in wheat (Hafez et al., 2021).

259

260

261

262 Fig. 4. Effect of soil-ameliorants on total nitrogen (TN, %) concentrations in corn plant after three  
263 weeks and 90 days after seed sowing in saline-sodic soil. Values (mean  $\pm$ SD; N=3) with similar letters  
264 are non-significantly different at  $p \leq 0.05$ . For a detailed description of treatments, see table S2.

265

266

267

268 **Fig. 5.** Effect of soil-ameliorants on total phosphorus (TP, %) concentrations in corn plant after three  
269 weeks and 90 days after seed sowing in saline-sodic soil. Values are the mean  $\pm$ SD (N=3). Values with  
270 similar letters are non-significantly different using the LSD test at  $p \leq 0.05$ . For a detailed description of  
271 treatments, see table S2.

### 272 3.3.2 Fresh and dry weight (g)

273 Organic additives amended with *A. brasilense* inoculation significantly increased fresh- and dry  
274 weights (Fig. 6 and 7). However, Az+SG1 and Az ameliorants increased fresh and dry weight more than the  
275 CK and NPK ameliorants. The fresh weight amended Az+SG1 and Az was 403.16 and 370.73 g compared  
276 to 100.2 and 117.5 g for CK and NPK, respectively. *A. brasilense* ameliorants and SG increased fresh weight  
277 more than compost ameliorants, which gave a lower fresh weight. This result is consistent with the findings  
278 of Hafez et al., (2019) who revealed that organic amendments with *A. brasilense* had higher fresh and dry  
279 weight for corn plants than mineral fertilizer. On the other hand, the dry weight of the organic and biological  
280 ameliorants followed the order: Az+SG1 > Az > SG2 > TC2 > SG1 > Az+TC1 > TC1 > NPK > CK. The Az  
281 and Az+SG1 amendments possessed the highest values of dry weight after 90 days after seed sowing. The  
282 Az+SG1 treatment was found to have greater fresh- and dry weights due to N fixation by *A. brasilense*  
283 bacteria as biological N fixation and macronutrient mineralization by organic additives. These results agree  
284 with Sahoo et al., (2011) and Zaeim et al., (2017). The fertilization with NPK had non-differences compared  
285 with organic and biological ameliorants. These were increased in fresh and dry weight by organic and  
286 biological additives in saline-sodic soil after 90 days after seed sowing and were compatible with increasing

287 macronutrients in soil and plant at the same time of the experiment (Neweigy et al., 1997; Zahra et al., 2019;  
288 El-Yazal et al., 2020).

289  
290 **Fig. 6.** Effect of soil-ameliorants on corn fresh and dry weights ( $\text{g plant}^{-1}$ ) after 90 days of sowing in  
291 saline-sodic soil. Values (mean  $\pm$ SD; N=3) with similar letters are non-significantly different at  $p \leq 0.05$ .  
292 For a detailed description of treatments, see table S2.

293  
294  
295  
296 I **1** Effect of soil-ameliorants on the growth of the **2** plants after a month of sowing in saline-sodic  
297 soil.

298 Where: (1)- corn plants seed germination after 21 days of seed sown and (2)- corn plant growth after 30 days  
299 of *Azospirillum* seed inculcation and soil amendments.

### 300 3.3.3. Grain yield (GY)

301 Organic and biological amendments ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased the GY ( $\text{g plant}^{-1}$ ) of corn plants grown in  
302 saline-sodic soil (Fig. 8). The GY per plant increased by 916, 903, 562.36, 555.31, 529.6, 250.87, 238.62,  
303 and 37.19%, respectively, for Az+SG1, SG2, TC2, SG1, Az, TC1, Az+TC1, NPK compared with the CK.  
304 Among all treatments, the combination of Az with SG1 and SG2 amendments gave the highest GY. These  
305 observations highlighted the importance of N, P, and K availability for corn plants to enhance the GY because  
306 the SG1 with Az and SG2 was the source of NPK. Therefore, SG2 and Az+SG1 are recommended for direct  
307 application in saline-sodic soil to increase GY. Oliveira et al. (2018) also reported a positive role for *A.*  
308 *brasilense* in the growth and yield improvement of corn plants. The agro-industrial with *A. brasilense*  
309 ameliorants to soil produced growth regulators, such as auxins and gibberellin and cytokinins and  
310 polyamines, and amino acids (Hafez et al., 2019). The *A. brasilense* as plant growth-promoting bacteria  
311 enhanced the plant growth, GY of many crops, water adsorption, and organic minerals that eventually  
312 increased seed yield and corn plants (Sinha, 2009; Swarnalakshmi et al., 2013).

313  
314 **Fig. 8.** Effect of soil-ameliorants on corn grain yield ( $\text{g plant}^{-1}$ ) in saline-sodic soil. Values (mean  $\pm$ SD; N=3)  
315 with similar letters are non-significantly different at  $p \leq 0.05$ . For a detailed description of treatments, see  
316 table S2.

### 317 3.3.4. Biological yield

318 The ratio of GY to shoot and root yield is a key indicator for the photosynthetic activity of crops. The  
319 effect of different ameliorants on the biological yield of maize grown in the saline-sodic soil is illustrated in  
320 Figure 9. The biological yield of corn plant was the following order: Az+SG1> SG2 > Az > TC2 > SG1 >  
321 Az+TC1 > NPK > CK. Az+SG1 and SG2 ameliorants affected biological yield (Fig. 9). The Az+SG1 and  
322 SG2 ameliorants possessed the highest biological yield was 646.83 and 580.80 g plant<sup>-1</sup>; this increase was  
323 326.86% and 283.29% compared to the control. Since grains are a component of the biological yield, a  
324 significant increase in GY could lead to an increase in the biological yield. Similar results were observed by  
325 Ahmad et al. (2013) where a significant increase in the biological yield of maize was recorded due to organic  
326 and biological ameliorants. Similarly, Oliveira et al. (2018) reported a positive role for *A. brasilense* on plant  
327 growth and yield improvement of maize. The application of Az and organic matter enhanced wheat plants'  
328 grain and biological yields by 256 and 370%, respectively (Hafez et al., 2021). As expected, the effect of  
329 chemical fertilizers (NPK variant) on the biological yield of maize was the weakest. In this regard, the  
330 introduction of mineral fertilizers in saline-sodic soils, in our opinion, is not recommended.

331

332

333 **Fig. 9.** Effect of soil-ameliorants on biological yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) of corn plants in saline-sodic soil under  
334 greenhouse. Values (mean ±SD; N=3) with similar letters are non-significantly different at  $p \leq 0.05$ . For a  
335 detailed description of treatments, see table S2.

336

### 337 Conclusion

338

339 The combination of *A. brasilense* with organic sources as bio-organic ameliorants alleviated the  
340 negative impacts of abiotic stress induced by soil salinity. The reclamation of the saline-sodic soil indicated  
341 that SOC, N, P, and K were increased with SG2 and Az+SG1 ameliorants. At the same time, EC and ESP,  
342 Exch-Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations were higher with two compost rates. Moreover, the injection enhanced phosphorous  
343 availability; decreased the negative effect for EC and Exch-Na<sup>+</sup> after 90 days of ameliorants applications in  
344 the greenhouse. Applying *A. brasilense* with the SG decreased soil salinity. The first amendment rate of SG  
345 and compost (SG1, TC1) was not different than the second rate (SG2, TC2). However, the compost  
346 ameliorants are not recommended for saline-sodic soil reclamation. On the other hand, the Az with SG  
347 ameliorants enhanced GY, fresh and dry weight, and biological yield compared with other mineral  
348 ameliorants. Therefore, SG2 and Az could be ideal amendments for saline-sodic soil that effectively increase  
349 nutrient availability and corn growth and productivity. The bio-organic ameliorants positively affected

350 abiotic stresses to enhance corn plants' growth rate and productivity, decrease soil ESP and sodium contents,  
351 and enhance soil fertility properties under greenhouse conditions. Therefore, SG and Az applications could  
352 be used for the amelioration of the saline-sodic soil. Finally, individual or combinations of SG and Az.  
353 *brasilense* ameliorants are recommended for enhancing the fertility of the saline-sodic soils as well as the  
354 GY of corn plants.

355

## 356 **References**

- 357 Abdallah, A., Ugolini, F., Baronti, S., Maienza, A., Camilli, F., Bonora, L., Martelli, F., Primicerio, J., Ungaro, F.,  
358 2019. The potential of recycling wool residues as an amendment for enhancing the physical and hydraulic  
359 properties of a sandy loam soil. *Int. J. Recycl. Org. Waste Agric.* 8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40093-019-0283-5>
- 360 Abdallah, A.M., Ugolini, F., Baronti, S., Maienza, A., Ungaro, F., Camilli, F., 2019. Assessment of Two Sheep Wool  
361 Residues from Textile Industry as Organic Fertilizer in Sunflower and Maize Cultivation. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*  
362 19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-019-00079-y>
- 363 Abdelraouf, R.E., Abdou, S.M.M., Hafez, M., Popov, A.I., Hamed, L.M.M., 2020. Influence of N-Fertigation Stress  
364 and Agro- Organic Wastes (Biochar) to Improve Yield and Water Productivity of Sweet Pepper under Sandy  
365 Soils Conditions. *Plant Arch.* 20, 3208–3217.
- 366 Abdelraouf, R.E., El-Wabasha, Hozayn, M., Hoballah, 2013. Water Stress Mitigation on Growth, Yield, and Quality  
367 Traits of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Using Biofertilizer Inoculation. *J. Appl. Sci. Res.* 9, 2135–2145.
- 368 Aboukila, E., 2019. Use of Spent Grains, Cheese Whey, Gypsum, And Compost for Reclamation of Sodic Soils and  
369 Improvement of Corn Seed Germination. *Alexandria Sci. Exch. J.* 40, 314–328.  
370 <https://doi.org/10.21608/asejaiqjsae.2019.34188>
- 371 Association of Official Seed Analysts (AOSA), 1983. Seed vigor testing handbook. Contribution to the handbook on  
372 seed testing, vol. 32. AOSA, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- 373 Ahmad, W., Shah, Z., Khan, F., Ali, S., Malik, W., 2013. Maize yield and soil properties as influenced by integrated  
374 use of organic, inorganic, and bio-fertilizers in a low fertility soil. *Soil Environ.* 32, 121–129.
- 375 Anderson, J.P.E., Page, A.L., Miller, R.H. and Keeney, D.R., 1982. *Methods of Soil Analysis and Soil Respiration.*  
376 <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronmonogr9.2.2ed.c41>
- 377 Arora, S., Singh, A.K., Singh, Y.P., 2017. Bioremediation of Salt Affected Soils: An Indian Perspective,  
378 Bioremediation of Salt Affected Soils: An Indian Perspective. Springer International Publishing, Cham.  
379 <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-48257-6>
- 380 Ashraf, M., Foolad, M.R., 2007. Roles of glycine betaine and proline in improving plant abiotic stress resistance.  
381 *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 59, 206–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2005.12.006>
- 382 Bano, A., Fatima, M., 2009. Salt tolerance in *Zea mays* (L). following inoculation with *Rhizobium* and *Pseudomonas*.  
383 *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 45, 405–413. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-008-0344-9>
- 384 Chaganti, V.N., Crohn, D.M., Šimůnek, J., 2015. Leaching and reclamation of biochar and compost amended saline-

385 sodic soil with moderate SAR reclaimed water. *Agric. Water Manag.* 158, 255–265.  
386 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.05.016>

387 Corwin, D.L., Yemoto, K., 2017. Salinity: Electrical Conductivity and Total Dissolved Solids. *Methods Soil Anal.* 2,  
388 0. <https://doi.org/10.2136/msa2015.0039>

389 Deshev, M.G., Desheva, G.N., Stamatov, S.K., 2020. Germination and early seedling growth characteristics of arachis  
390 hypogaea L. Under salinity (NaCl) stress. *Agric. Conspec. Sci.* 85, 113–121.

391 Diacono, M., Montemurro, F., 2015. Effectiveness of Organic Wastes as Fertilizers and Amendments in Salt-Affected  
392 Soils. *Agriculture* 5, 221–230. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture5020221>

393 Dobbelaere, S., Croonenborghs, A., Thys, A., Ptacek, D., Vanderleyden, J., Dutto, P., Labandera-Gonzalez, C.,  
394 Caballero-Mellado, J., Aguirre, J.F., Kapulnik, Y., Brener, S., Burdman, S., Kadouri, D., Sarig, S., Okon, Y.,  
395 2001. Responses of agronomically important crops to inoculation with *Azospirillum*. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 28, 871.  
396 <https://doi.org/10.1071/PP01074>

397 Dos Santos Júnior, A.C., De Carvalho, M.A.C., Yamashita, O.M., Tavanti, T.R., Tavanti, R.F.R., 2019. Maize  
398 productivity in succession to cover crops, nitrogen fertilization, and inoculation with *azospirillum brasilense*.  
399 *Rev. Bras. Eng. Agric. e Ambient.* 23, 966–971. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v23n12p966-971>

400 El-Yazal, M.A.S., El-Shewy, A.A., Abdelaal, K.E.S., Rady, M.M., 2020. Impacts of Phosphorus as Soil Application  
401 on Growth, Yield and some Chemical Constitutes of Common Bean Plants Grown under Saline Soil Conditions.  
402 *Sustain. Food Prod.* 7, 24–36. <https://doi.org/10.18052/www.scipress.com/sfp.7.24>

403 Erel, R., Eppel, A., Yermiyahu, U., Ben-Gal, A., Levy, G., Zipori, I., Schaumann, G.E., Mayer, O., Dag, A., 2019.  
404 Long-term irrigation with reclaimed wastewater: Implications on nutrient management, soil chemistry and olive  
405 (*Olea europaea* L.) performance. *Agric. Water Manag.* 213, 324–335.  
406 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2018.10.033>

407 FAO, 2016. FAO Soils Portal: Management of Calcareous Soils <[http://www.fao.org/soils-portal/soil-](http://www.fao.org/soils-portal/soil-management/managementof-some-problem-soils/calcareous-soils/ar/)  
408 [management/managementof-some-problem-soils/calcareous-soils/ar/](http://www.fao.org/soils-portal/soil-management/managementof-some-problem-soils/calcareous-soils/ar/)> (accessed 01.04.16).

409 FAO/ISRIC/ISSS, 1998. World Reference Base for Soil Resources. World Soil Resources Report, #84. FAO, Rome,  
410 88pp.

411 Ferguson, J., 1993. Aosa perspective of seed vigor testing. *J. Seed Technol.* 17, 101–104.

412 French RJ, Schultz, JE. Water use efficiency of wheat in a Mediterranean-type environment. 1. The relation between  
413 yield, water use and climate. *Aust J Agric Res.* 1984a 35: 743–764.

414 Galindo, F.S., Filho, M.C.M.T., Buzetti, S., Rodrigues, W.L., Fernandes, G.C., Boleta, E.H.M., Neto, M.B., Pereira,  
415 M.R. de A., Rosa, P.A.L., Pereira, Í.T., Gaspareto, R.N., 2020. Influence of *Azospirillum brasilense* associated  
416 with silicon and nitrogen fertilization on macronutrient contents in corn. *Open Agric.* 5, 126–137.  
417 <https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2020-0013>

418 Gabrijel Ondrasek, Helena, B., Begić; Monika Zovko; Lana Filipović; Cristian Meriño-Gergichevich; Radovan Savić;  
419 Zed Rengel. 2019. Biogeochemistry of soil organic matter in agroecosystems & environmental implications.



420 Science of The Total Environment. Volume 658, 25 March 2019, Pages 1559-1573.

421 Güneş, A., Turan, M., Güllüce, M., Şahin, F., 2014. Nutritional content analysis of plant growth-promoting  
 422 rhizobacteria species. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 60, 88–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2013.10.010>

423 Hafez, M., Mohamed, A.E., Rashad, M., Popov, A.I., 2021b. The efficiency of application of bacterial and humic  
 424 preparations to enhance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) plant productivity in the arid regions of Egypt.  
 425 *Biotechnol. Reports* 29, e00584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2020.e00584>

426 Hafez, Mohamed, Popov, A.I., Rashad, M., 2021a. Integrated use of bio-organic fertilizers for enhancing soil fertility–  
 427 plant nutrition, germination status and initial growth of corn (*Zea Mays* L.). *Environ. Technol. Innov.* 21,  
 428 101329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2020.101329>

429 Hafez, M., Popov, A.I., Rashad, M., 2020a. Evaluation of the Effects of New Environmental Additives Compared to  
 430 Mineral Fertilizers on the Leaching Characteristics of Some Anions and Cations under Greenhouse Plant  
 431 Growth of Saline-Sodic Soils. *Open Agric. J.* 14, 246–256. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874331502014010246>

432 Hafez, M., Popov, A.I., Rashad, M., 2020b. A Novel Environmental Additives to Decrease Nitrate Level in Agriculture  
 433 Wastewater and Enhancement Nutrient Status under Greenhouse Plant Growth in Calcareous Soil. *Plant Arch.*  
 434 20, 3165–3172. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.5194/soil-2019-69>

435 Hafez, M., Popov, A.I., Rashad, M., 2019. Influence of Agro-industrial Wastes and Azospirillum on Nutrients Status  
 436 and Grain Yield under Corn Plant Growth in Arid Regions. *Biosci. Res.* 16, 2119–2130.  
 437 <https://doi.org/www.isisn.org>

438 Hamdia, M.A.E., Shaddad, M.A.K., Doaa, M.M., 2004. Mechanisms of salt tolerance and interactive effects of  
 439 Azospirillum brasilense inoculation on maize cultivars grown under salt stress conditions 165–174.

440 Kaloi, G.M., Memon, M., Memon, K.S., Tunio, S., 2015. Integrated use of spentwash and mineral fertilizers on  
 441 germination and initial growth of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.). *Soil Environ.* 34, 1–8.

442 Liu, M., Wang, C., Wang, F., Xie, Y., 2019. Maize (*Zea mays*) growth and nutrient uptake following integrated  
 443 improvement of vermicompost and humic acid fertilizer on coastal saline soil. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 142, 147–154.  
 444 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.04.024>

445 Mahmoodabadi, M., Yazdanpanah, N., Sinobas, L.R., Pazira, E., Neshat, A., 2013. Reclamation of calcareous saline  
 446 sodic soil with different amendments (I): Redistribution of soluble cations within the soil profile. *Agric. Water*  
 447 *Manag.* 120, 30–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2012.08.018>

448 Meena, M.D., Yadav, R.K., Narjary, B., Yadav, G., Jat, H.S., Sheoran, P., Meena, M.K., Antil, R.S., Meena, B.L.,  
 449 Singh, H.V., Singh Meena, V., Rai, P.K., Ghosh, A., Moharana, P.C., 2019. Municipal solid waste (MSW):  
 450 Strategies to improve salt affected soil sustainability: A review. *Waste Manag.* 84, 38–53.  
 451 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.11.020>

452 Morsy, S., Elbasyoni, I.S., Baenziger, S., Abdallah, A.M., 2022. Gypsum amendment influences performance and  
 453 mineral absorption in wheat cultivars grown in normal and saline-sodic soils. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 2, 1–18.  
 454 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12598>

455

456 Mussatto, S.I., Roberto, I.C., 2006. Chemical characterization and liberation of pentose sugars from brewer's spent  
457 grain. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* 81, 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1374>

458 Nassar, I., Rashad, M., Aboukila, E., Hafez, M., 2014. Effect of Beer Industry Wastes Compost on Some Physical and  
459 Chemical Properties of a Sandy Soil Effect of Beer Industry Wastes and Compost on Some Physical and  
460 Chemical Properties of a Sandy Soil. *J. Agric. Environ. Sci.* ISBN 1687-1464.

461 Negm, A.M., Abu-hashim, M., 2019. Sustainability of Agricultural Environment in Egypt: Part II, The Handbook of  
462 Environmental Chemistry, The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry. Springer International Publishing,  
463 Cham. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95357-1>

464 Neweigy, N.A., Hanafy, E.A., Zaghoul, R.A., El-Sayeda, H.E., 1997. Response of sorghum to inoculation with  
465 azospirillum, organic and inorganic fertilization in the presence of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. *Ann.*  
466 *Agric. Sci. Moshtohor* 35, 1383–1401.

467 Normandin, V., Kotuby-Amacher, J., Miller, R.O., 1998. Modification of the ammonium acetate extractant for the  
468 determination of exchangeable cations in calcareous soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 29, 1785–1791.  
469 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103629809370069>

470 Oliveira, I.J., Fontes, J.R.A., Pereira, B.F.F., Muniz, A.W., 2018. Inoculation with *Azospirillum brasiliense* increases  
471 maize yield. *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.* 5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40538-018-0118-z>

472 Ouyang, W., Shan, Y., Hao, F., Chen, S., Pu, X., Wang, M.K., 2013. Soil & Tillage Research The effect on soil  
473 nutrients resulting from land use transformations in a freeze-thaw agricultural ecosystem. *Soil Tillage Res.* 132,  
474 30–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2013.04.007>

475 Rashad. M , Hafez. M , Emran. M , Aboukila. E, N.I., 2016. Influence of Environment-Friendly Organic Wastes on  
476 the Properties of Sandy Soil under Growing *Zea mays* L. in Arid Regions. *World Acad. Sci. Eng. Technol.* 10,  
477 588–594. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1126479>

478 Rashad, M., Hafez, M., Emran, M., Aboukila, E., Nassar, I., 2016. Influence of Environment-Friendly Organic Wastes  
479 on the Properties of Sandy Soil under Growing *Zea mays* L. in Arid Regions. *World Academy of Science,*  
480 *Engineering and Technology.*10. 588-594. [waset.org/1999.1/10005388](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1126479)

481 Rath, K.M., Rousk, J., 2015. Salt effects on the soil microbial decomposer community and their role in organic carbon  
482 cycling: A review. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 81, 108–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.11.001>

483 Richards, L.A., 1954. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. *Soil Sc.*

484 Rojas-Tapias, D., Moreno-Galván, A., Pardo-Díaz, S., Obando, M., Rivera, D., Bonilla, R., 2012. Effect of inoculation  
485 with plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) on amelioration of saline stress in maize (*Zea mays*). *Appl. Soil*  
486 *Ecol.* 61, 264–272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.01.006>

487 Sahoo, R.K., and, T.K.D., Narendra, T., 2011. Formulation of Associative Nitrogen Fixing *Azotobacter* and  
488 *Azospirillum* Biofertilizers for Improvement of Rice Production - A Review. *Adv. Biotechnol.* 102–124.

489 Sastre-Conde, I., Carmen Lobo, M., Icela Beltrán-Hernández, R., Poggi-Varaldo, H.M., 2015. Remediation of saline

490 soils by a two-step process: Washing and amendment with sludge. *Geoderma* 247–248, 140–150.  
491 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.12.002>

492 Shahrajabian, M.H., Khoshkharam, M., 2019. Evaluate Germination and Seedling Growth of three Cultivars Evaluate  
493 Germination and Seedling Growth of Three Cultivars of Fennel under Diatomite and Soil Management.  
494 <https://doi.org/10.2478/cerce-2019-0028>

495 Shehzadi, S., Shah, Z., Mohammad, W., 2014. Residual effect of organic wastes and chemical fertilizers on wheat  
496 yield under wheat-maize cropping sequence. *Soil Environ.* 33, 88–95.

497 Shi, Y., Liu, X., Zhang, Q., 2019. Effects of combined biochar and organic fertilizer on nitrous oxide fluxes and the  
498 related nitrifier and denitrifier communities in a saline-alkali soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* 686, 199–211.  
499 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.394>

500 Siddique KHM, Tennant D, Perry MW, Belford R.K., 1990. Water use and water use efficiency of old and modern  
501 wheat cultivars in a Mediterranean-type environment. *Aust J Agric Res.*1990b. 41:431–447.

502 Sinha, Rajiv, Herat, Sunil, Valani, Dalsukhbhai, Chauhan, K., 2009. Earthworms Vermicompost: A Powerful Crop  
503 Nutrient over the Conventional Compost & Protective Soil Conditioner against the Destructive Chemical  
504 Fertilizers for Food Safety and Security. *Am-Euras. J. Agric. Environ. Sci* 5, 1–55.

505 Sandeep K. Malyan, Arti Bhatia, Ritu Tomer, Ramesh Chand Hari, Niveta Jain, Arpan Bhowmik, Rajeev Kaushik  
506 2021. Mitigation of yield-scaled greenhouse gas emissions from irrigated rice through Azolla, Blue-green algae,  
507 and plant growth-promoting bacteria. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* (2021) 28:51425–51439

508 Soltanpour, P.N., Schwab, A.P., 1977. A new soil test for simultaneous extraction of macro- and micro-nutrients in  
509 alkaline soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 8, 195–207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103627709366714>

510 Soumare, A., Diedhiou, A.G., Thuita, M., Hafidi, M., Ouhdouch, Y., Gopalakrishnan, S., Kouisni, L., 2020. Exploiting  
511 biological nitrogen fixation: A route towards a sustainable agriculture. *Plants* 9, 1–22.  
512 <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9081011>

513 Swamalakshmi, K., Prasanna, R., Kumar, A., Pattnaik, S., Chakravarty, K., Shivay, Y.S., Singh, R., Saxena, A.K.,  
514 2013. Evaluating the influence of novel cyanobacterial biofilmed biofertilizers on soil fertility and plant  
515 nutrition in wheat. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 55, 107–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.12.008>

516 Vilim Filipovi, Marko Cerne, Jiří Šimunek, Lana Filipovi, Marija Romi, Gabrijel Ondrašek, Igor Bogunovi, Ivan  
517 Musta', Vedran Krevh, Anja Ferenčević', David Robinson, Igor Palčić', Igor Pasković', Smiljana Goreta Ban,  
518 Zoran Užila, and Dean Ban.2020. Modeling Water Flow and Phosphorus Sorption in a Soil Amended with  
519 Sewage Sludge and Olive Pomace as Compost or Biochar. *Agronomy* 2020, 10(8), 1163;  
520 <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10081163>

521 Yu, Y.-Y., Li, S.-M., Qiu, J.-P., Li, J.-G., Luo, Y.-M., Guo, J.-H., 2019. Combination of agricultural waste compost  
522 and biofertilizer improves yield and enhances the sustainability of a pepper field. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 182,  
523 560–569. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201800223>

524 Yu, Y., Liu, J., Liu, C., Zong, S., Lu, Z., 2015. Effect of organic materials on the chemical properties of saline soil in

525 the Yellow River Delta of China. *Front. Earth Sci.* 9, 259–267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11707-014-0463-6>

526 Zaeim, A.N., Torkaman, M., Ghasemeyan, H., 2017. The Importance of Biofertilizers in Sustainable Production of  
527 Wheat : A Review 3, 252–258.

528 Zaghoul, R., 2020. Efficiency of some organic manures and bio-fertilization with *Azospirillum brasilense* for wheat  
529 manuring . 34, 627–640.

530 Zahra, M.T., Afzal, A., Asad, S.A., Sultan, T., Tabassum, T., Asif, M., 2019. Vermicompost Augmented with Plant  
531 Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria Improved Soil Fertility and Growth of Brassica rapa. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 1645–  
532 1654. <https://doi.org/10.17957/IJAB/15.1246>

533 Zhang, H., Kim, M.-S., Sun, Y., Dowd, S.E., Shi, H., Paré, P.W., 2008. Soil Bacteria Confer Plant Salt Tolerance by  
534 Tissue-Specific Regulation of the Sodium Transporter HKT1. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 21, 737–744.  
535 <https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-21-6-0737>

536 Zhang, T., Wang, T., Liu, K., Wang, L., Wang, K., Zhou, Y., 2015. Effects of different amendments for the reclamation  
537 of coastal saline soil on soil nutrient dynamics and electrical conductivity responses. *Agric. Water Manag.* 159,  
538 115–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.06.002>

539 Zhang, W. wen, Wang, C., Xue, R., Wang, L. jie, 2019. Effects of salinity on the soil microbial community and soil  
540 fertility. *J. Integr. Agric.* 18, 1360–1368. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(18\)62077-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(18)62077-5)

541 Zhao, Y., Wang, S., Li, Y., Liu, J., Zhuo, Y., Chen, H., Wang, J., Xu, L., Sun, Z., 2018. Extensive reclamation of  
542 saline-sodic soils with flue gas desulfurization gypsum on the Songnen Plain, Northeast China. *Geoderma* 321,  
543 52–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.01.033>

544 Zhen, Z., Liu, H., Wang, N., Guo, L., Meng, J., Ding, N., Wu, G., Jiang, G., 2014. Effects of manure compost  
545 application on soil microbial community diversity and soil microenvironments in a temperate cropland in China.  
546 *PLoS One* 9. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108555>

547 Morsy, S., Elbasyoni, I.S., Baenziger, S., Abdallah, A.M., 2022. Gypsum amendment influences performance and  
548 mineral absorption in wheat cultivars grown in normal and saline-sodic soils. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 2, 1–18.  
549 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12598>

550 Mussatto, S.I., Roberto, I.C., 2006. Chemical characterization and liberation of pentose sugars from brewer's spent  
551 grain. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* 81, 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1374>

552 Negm, A.M., Abu-hashim, M., 2019. Sustainability of Agricultural Environment in Egypt: Part II, The Handbook of  
553 Environmental Chemistry, The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry. Springer International Publishing,  
554 Cham. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95357-1>

555 Neweigy, N.A., Hanafy, E.A., Zaghoul, R.A., El-Sayeda, H.E., 1997. Response of sorghum to inoculation with  
556 *azospirillum*, organic and inorganic fertilization in the presence of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. *Ann.*  
557 *Agric. Sci. Moshtohor* 35, 1383–1401.

558 Normandin, V., Kotuby-Amacher, J., Miller, R.O., 1998. Modification of the ammonium acetate extractant for the  
559 determination of exchangeable cations in calcareous soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 29, 1785–1791.

560 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103629809370069>

561 Oliveira, I.J., Fontes, J.R.A., Pereira, B.F.F., Muniz, A.W., 2018. Inoculation with *Azospirillum brasiliense* increases  
562 maize yield. *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.* 5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40538-018-0118-z>

563 Ouyang, W., Shan, Y., Hao, F., Chen, S., Pu, X., Wang, M.K., 2013. *Soil & Tillage Research* The effect on soil  
564 nutrients resulting from land use transformations in a freeze-thaw agricultural ecosystem. *Soil Tillage Res.* 132,  
565 30–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2013.04.007>

566 Rashad. M , Hafez. M , Emran. M , Aboukila. E, N.I., 2016. Influence of Environment-Friendly Organic Wastes on  
567 the Properties of Sandy Soil under Growing *Zea mays* L. in Arid Regions. *World Acad. Sci. Eng. Technol.* 10,  
568 588–594. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1126479>

569 Rath, K.M., Rousk, J., 2015. Salt effects on the soil microbial decomposer community and their role in organic carbon  
570 cycling: A review. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 81, 108–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.11.001>

571 Richards, L.A., 1954. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. *Soil Sc.*

572 Rojas-Tapias, D., Moreno-Galván, A., Pardo-Díaz, S., Obando, M., Rivera, D., Bonilla, R., 2012. Effect of inoculation  
573 with plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) on amelioration of saline stress in maize (*Zea mays*). *Appl. Soil*  
574 *Ecol.* 61, 264–272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.01.006>

575 SAHOO, R.K., AND, T.K.D., NARENDRA, T., n.d. Formulation of Associative Nitrogen Fixing *Azotobacter* and  
576 *Azospirillum* Biofertilizers for Improvement of Rice Production - A Review. *Adv. Biotechnol.* 102–124.

577 Sastre-Conde, I., Carmen Lobo, M., Icela Beltrán-Hernández, R., Poggi-Varaldo, H.M., 2015. Remediation of saline  
578 soils by a two-step process: Washing and amendment with sludge. *Geoderma* 247–248, 140–150.  
579 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.12.002>

580 Shehzadi, S., Shah, Z., Mohammad, W., 2014. Residual effect of organic wastes and chemical fertilizers on wheat  
581 yield under wheat-maize cropping sequence. *Soil Environ.* 33, 88–95.

582 Sinha, Rajiv, Herat, Sunil, Valani, Dalsukhbhai, Chauhan, K., 2009. Earthworms Vermicompost: A Powerful Crop  
583 Nutrient over the Conventional Compost & Protective Soil Conditioner against the Destructive Chemical  
584 Fertilizers for Food Safety and Security. *Am-Euras. J. Agric. Environ. Sci* 5, 1–55.

585 Soltanpour, P.N., Schwab, A.P., 1977. A new soil test for simultaneous extraction of macro- and micro-nutrients in  
586 alkaline soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 8, 195–207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103627709366714>

587 Soumare, A., Diedhiou, A.G., Thuita, M., Hafidi, M., Ouhdouch, Y., Gopalakrishnan, S., Kouisni, L., 2020. Exploiting  
588 biological nitrogen fixation: A route towards a sustainable agriculture. *Plants* 9, 1–22.  
589 <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9081011>

590 Swamalakshmi, K., Prasanna, R., Kumar, A., Pattnaik, S., Chakravarty, K., Shivay, Y.S., Singh, R., Saxena, A.K.,  
591 2013. Evaluating the influence of novel cyanobacterial biofilmed biofertilizers on soil fertility and plant nutrition  
592 in wheat. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 55, 107–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.12.008>

593 Yu, Y.-Y., Li, S.-M., Qiu, J.-P., Li, J.-G., Luo, Y.-M., Guo, J.-H., 2019. Combination of agricultural waste compost  
594 and biofertilizer improves yield and enhances the sustainability of a pepper field. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 182,

595 560–569. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201800223>

596 Yu, Y., Liu, J., Liu, C., Zong, S., Lu, Z., 2015. Effect of organic materials on the chemical properties of saline soil in  
597 the Yellow River Delta of China. *Front. Earth Sci.* 9, 259–267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11707-014-0463-6>

598 Zaeim, A.N., Torkaman, M., Ghasemeyan, H., 2017. The Importance of Biofertilizers in Sustainable Production of  
599 Wheat : A Review 3, 252–258.

600 Zaghoul, R., 2020. Efficiency of some organic manures and biofertilization with *Azospirillum brasilense* for wheat  
601 manuring . 34, 627–640.

602 Zahra, M.T., Afzal, A., Asad, S.A., Sultan, T., Tabassum, T., Asif, M., 2019. Vermicompost Augmented with Plant  
603 Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria Improved Soil Fertility and Growth of *Brassica rapa*. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 1645–  
604 1654. <https://doi.org/10.17957/IJAB/15.1246>

605 Zhang, H., Kim, M.-S., Sun, Y., Dowd, S.E., Shi, H., Paré, P.W., 2008. Soil Bacteria Confer Plant Salt Tolerance by  
606 Tissue-Specific Regulation of the Sodium Transporter HKT1. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 21, 737–744.  
607 <https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-21-6-0737>

608 Zhang, T., Wang, T., Liu, K., Wang, L., Wang, K., Zhou, Y., 2015. Effects of different amendments for the reclamation  
609 of coastal saline soil on soil nutrient dynamics and electrical conductivity responses. *Agric. Water Manag.* 159,  
610 115–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.06.002>

611 Zhang, W. wen, Wang, C., Xue, R., Wang, L. jie, 2019. Effects of salinity on the soil microbial community and soil  
612 fertility. *J. Integr. Agric.* 18, 1360–1368. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(18\)62077-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(18)62077-5)

613 Zhao, Y., Wang, S., Li, Y., Liu, J., Zhuo, Y., Chen, H., Wang, J., Xu, L., Sun, Z., 2018. Extensive reclamation of  
614 saline-sodic soils with flue gas desulfurization gypsum on the Songnen Plain, Northeast China. *Geoderma* 321,  
615 52–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.01.033>

616 Zhen, Z., Liu, H., Wang, N., Guo, L., Meng, J., Ding, N., Wu, G., Jiang, G., 2014. Effects of manure compost  
617 application on soil microbial community diversity and soil microenvironments in a temperate cropland in China.  
618 *PLoS One* 9. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108555>

619

ORIGINALITY REPORT

---

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

12%

INTERNET SOURCES

17%

PUBLICATIONS

1%

STUDENT PAPERS

---

PRIMARY SOURCES

---

1

[www.mdpi.com](http://www.mdpi.com)

Internet Source

2%

---

2

[www.e3s-conferences.org](http://www.e3s-conferences.org)

Internet Source

2%

---

3

Mohamed Hafez, Alexander I. Popov, Mohamed Rashad. "Integrated use of bio-organic fertilizers for enhancing soil fertility-plant nutrition, germination status and initial growth of corn (Zea Mays L.)", Environmental Technology & Innovation, 2021

Publication

1%

---

4

Mohamed Hafez, Sally F. Abo El-Ezz, Alexander I. Popov, Mohamed Rashad. "Organic Amendments Combined with Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria ( ) as an Eco-Friendly By-Product to Remediate and Enhance the Fertility of Saline Sodic-Soils in Egypt ", Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2021

Publication

1%

---

5	"Bioremediation of Salt Affected Soils: An Indian Perspective", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 Publication	1 %
6	<a href="https://link.springer.com">link.springer.com</a> Internet Source	1 %
7	Emad Aboukila, Abdelaziz Nilahyane. "Reclamation of Sodic Soils and Improvement of Corn Seed Germination Using Spent Grains, Cheese Whey, Gypsum, and Compost", LAFOBA2, 2022 Publication	1 %
8	<a href="https://openagriculturejournal.com">openagriculturejournal.com</a> Internet Source	1 %
9	Mohamed Hafez, Alexander I. Popov, Mohamed Rashad. "The response of saline-sodic soils to reclamation using biological and organic amendments under arid regions of Egypt", E3S Web of Conferences, 2021 Publication	<1 %
10	<a href="https://www.scielo.br">www.scielo.br</a> Internet Source	<1 %
11	María Alcívar, Andrés Zurita-Silva, Marco Sandoval, Cristina Muñoz, Mauricio Schoebitz. "Reclamation of Saline–Sodic Soils with Combined Amendments: Impact on Quinoa	<1 %



# Performance and Biological Soil Quality", Sustainability, 2018

Publication

12

[dokumen.pub](https://dokumen.pub)

Internet Source

<1 %

13

Submitted to Higher Education Commission  
Pakistan

Student Paper

<1 %

14

Ranbir Chhabra. "Salt-affected Soils and  
Marginal Waters", Springer Science and  
Business Media LLC, 2021

Publication

<1 %

15

[journals.tmkarpinski.com](https://journals.tmkarpinski.com)

Internet Source

<1 %

16

[www.ars.usda.gov](https://www.ars.usda.gov)

Internet Source

<1 %

17

[BNRC.springeropen.com](https://BNRC.springeropen.com)

Internet Source

<1 %

18

"Phyto and Rhizo Remediation", Springer  
Science and Business Media LLC, 2019

Publication

<1 %

19

Mohamed Hafez, Alexander I. Popov,  
Mohamed Rashad. "The Biological Correction  
Using Humic Substances, Vermicompost, and  
Azospirillum as an Optimum Way of  
Optimizing Plant Production and Enhancing

<1 %

# Soil Micronutrients in Arid Regions", The Open Agriculture Journal, 2022

Publication

20

[krishikosh.egranth.ac.in](http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in)

Internet Source

<1 %

21

[www.fesss.org](http://www.fesss.org)

Internet Source

<1 %

22

[www.yumpu.com](http://www.yumpu.com)

Internet Source

<1 %

23

Microbial Inoculants in Sustainable Agricultural Productivity, 2016.

Publication

<1 %

24

[www.bu.edu.eg](http://www.bu.edu.eg)

Internet Source

<1 %

25

"Application of Microbes in Environmental and Microbial Biotechnology", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2022

Publication

<1 %

26

[www.suaire.sua.ac.tz](http://www.suaire.sua.ac.tz)

Internet Source

<1 %

27

Ayman M. M. Abou Tahoun, Moamen M. Abou El-Enin, Ahmed G. Mancy, Mohamed H. Sheta, Ahmed Shaaban. "Integrative Soil Application of Humic Acid and Foliar Plant Growth Stimulants Improves Soil Properties and Wheat Yield and Quality in Nutrient-Poor

<1 %

Sandy Soil of a Semiarid Region", Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 2022

Publication

---

28

Gaetano Alessandro Vivaldi, Salvatore Camposeo, Cristina Romero-Trigueros, Francisco Pedrero et al. "Physiological responses of almond trees under regulated deficit irrigation using saline and desalinated reclaimed water", Agricultural Water Management, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

29

[bioresources.bioprocessing.springeropen.com](https://bioresources.bioprocessing.springeropen.com)

Internet Source

---

<1 %

30

A. K. Srivastava. "Diagnosis and Remediation of Nutrient Constraints in Citrus", Horticultural Reviews, 12/12/2007

Publication

---

<1 %

31

Ehsan Shakeri, Seyed Ali Mohammad Modarres-Sanavy, Majid Amini Dehaghi, Seyed Ali Tabatabaei, Mehrdad Moradi-Ghahderijani. " Improvement of yield, yield components and oil quality in sesame ( L.) by N-fixing bacteria fertilizers and urea ", Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 2015

Publication

---

<1 %

32

Fernando Shintate Galindo, Edson Cabral da Silva, Paulo Humberto Pagliari, Guilherme Carlos Fernandes et al. "Nitrogen recovery

<1 %

from fertilizer and use efficiency response to Bradyrhizobium sp. and Azospirillum brasilense combined with N rates in cowpea-wheat crop sequence", Applied Soil Ecology, 2021

Publication

---

33

Francisco Gonçalo Filho, Nildo da Silva Dias, Stella Ribeiro Prazeres Suddarth, Jorge F. S. Ferreira et al. "Reclaiming Tropical Saline-Sodic Soils with Gypsum and Cow Manure", Water, 2019

Publication

---

34

Li Yang, Xunguang Bian, Ruiping Yang, Chunlin Zhou, Boping Tang. "Assessment of organic amendments for improving coastal saline soil", Land Degradation & Development, 2018

Publication

---

35

Submitted to Napier University

Student Paper

---

36

Nutrient Use Efficiency from Basics to Advances, 2015.

Publication

---

37

Saly F. Gheda, Dalia A. Ahmed. "Improved soil characteristics and wheat germination as influenced by inoculation of Nostoc kihlmani and Anabaena cylindrica", Rendiconti Lincei, 2014

Publication

---

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

38	core.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
39	dr.lib.sjp.ac.lk Internet Source	<1 %
40	edepot.wur.nl Internet Source	<1 %
41	scielo.conicyt.cl Internet Source	<1 %
42	"Salt Stress, Microbes, and Plant Interactions: Causes and Solution", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019 Publication	<1 %
43	Atif A. Bamagoos, Hesham F. Alharby, Eman E. Belal, Ahmed E. A. Khalaf et al. "Phosphate-Solubilizing Bacteria as a Panacea to Alleviate Stress Effects of High Soil CaCO <sub>3</sub> Content in Phaseolus vulgaris with Special Reference to P-Releasing Enzymes", Sustainability, 2021 Publication	<1 %
44	Elhafid Nabti. "Restoration of Growth of Durum Wheat (Triticum durum var. waha) Under Saline Conditions Due to Inoculation with the Rhizosphere Bacterium Azospirillum brasilense NH and Extracts of the Marine Alga Ulva lactuca", Journal of Plant Growth Regulation, 07/30/2009 Publication	<1 %

45	Sabah Morsy, Ibrahim S. Elbasyoni, Stephen Baenziger, Ahmed M. Abdallah. "Gypsum amendment influences performance and mineral absorption in wheat cultivars grown in normal and saline - sodic soils", Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 2022 Publication	<1 %
46	Soil Biology, 2015. Publication	<1 %
47	cloudfront.escholarship.org Internet Source	<1 %
48	coek.info Internet Source	<1 %
49	eprints.ums.edu.my Internet Source	<1 %
50	oaj.fupress.net Internet Source	<1 %
51	www.scribd.com Internet Source	<1 %
52	"Biofertilizers", Wiley, 2021 Publication	<1 %
53	"Plant Microbiome: Stress Response", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018 Publication	<1 %

54 "Plant-Microbe Interactions in Agro-Ecological Perspectives", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 <1 %  
Publication

---

55 "Biochar Applications in Agriculture and Environment Management", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020 <1 %  
Publication

---

56 "Plant Microbes Symbiosis: Applied Facets", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2015 <1 %  
Publication

---

57 Wei He, Hui Wang, Wenhua Ye, Yanling Tian, Guoqing Hu, Yanhong Lou, Hong Pan, Quangang Yang, Yuping Zhuge. "Distinct stabilization characteristics of organic carbon in coastal salt - affected soils with different salinity under straw return management", Land Degradation & Development, 2022 <1 %  
Publication

---

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On