

# Turnitin Originality Report

Processed on: 10-Nov-2020 17:43 +08

ID: 1441794027

Word Count: 3301

Submitted: 1

mini2 By Nazmi Jksus

1% match (Internet from  
02-Feb-2020)

<https://prod-->

Similarity Index

3%

## Similarity by Source

Internet Sources:	2%
Publications:	2%
Student Papers:	0%

[journal.elifesciences.org/articles/51701v1](https://journal.elifesciences.org/articles/51701v1)

1% match (publications)

[P. Mussi, P. Ciana, M. Raviscioni, R. Villa, S. Regondi, E. Agradi, A. Maggi, D. Di Lorenzo. "Activation of brain estrogen receptors in mice lactating from mothers exposed to DDT", Brain Research Bulletin, 2005](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Runmao Lin, Xi Zhang, Bei Xin, Manling Zou, Yaoyao Gao, Feifei Qin, Qiongbo Hu, Bingyan Xie, Xinyue Cheng. "Genome sequence of Isaria javanica and comparative genome analysis insights into family S53 peptidase evolution in fungal entomopathogens", Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 2019](#)

< 1% match (Internet from 23-Jul-2019)

<http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/8718/>

< 1% match (publications)

[Fernando E. Vega, Nicolai V. Meyling, Janet Jennifer Luangsa-ard, Meredith Blackwell. "Fungal Entomopathogens", Elsevier BV, 2012](#)

< 1% match (Internet from 10-Jun-2018)

<https://www.jove.com/visualize?author=Li-Li+Xing>

< 1% match (publications)

[Valero-Jiménez, Claudio A., Harm Wieggers, Bas J. Zwaan, Constantianus J.M. Koenraadt, and Jan A.L. van Kan. "Genes involved in virulence of the entomopathogenic fungus Beauveria bassiana", Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 2016.](#)

Title: Omics-based approach in characterising mechanisms of entomopathogenic fungi pathogenicity: A case example of Beauveria bassiana Abstract Biopesticides are gaining interests as an alternative to chemical-based pesticides for arthropod pest management. Among the widely-used biopesticides is the entomopathogenic fungi Beauveria bassiana due to its efficacy and broad range of arthropod hosts. Although the general mechanisms of infection by B. bassiana are known, the underlying complexity of molecular mechanisms at each infection stage is largely not well-understood. Characterising the mechanisms of pathogenicity allows for a more effective pest control by synergising between multiple pesticides or biopesticides without overlapping modes of action and by characterising novel toxic molecules that can expand the biopesticide arsenal. Systems biology refers to a large scale, high-throughput analysis of biological molecules at the systemic level. It incorporates the 'omics' methods, allowing identification of genes (genomics), along with their RNA (transcriptomics), proteins (proteomics) and metabolites (metabolomics) expression levels. The high-throughput research approach accelerates the process of characterising pathogenicity. The use of omics is a powerful tool to drive the discovery of the complex process of B. bassiana infections. This review categorises infection processes into distinct steps,

and presents the overview of the genes, proteins and metabolite expressions relevant for the *B. bassiana* pathogenicity. Keywords: Biological control, Fungi, Pathogenesis, Proteomics, Transcriptomics, Metabolomics

Introduction

Arthropod pests have been a significant threat to the agricultural productivity and human health worldwide. Different arthropod pests attack different types of crops, causing serious damages to crop yield globally. Rice stem borers, being the most significant pest to rice crops, inflicted between 5 to 10% yield loss to all rice-producing regions worldwide (Savary et al., 2019). In Southeast Asia, 54, 388 hectares of paddy lands were decimated by arthropod pest colonisation (AFSIS, 2018). Other insects like mosquitoes act as disease vectors, transmitting diseases including lethal ones such as malaria and dengue. Malaria had the most severe mortality rate, with 435,000 deaths reported worldwide in 2017 (WHO, 2018). Meanwhile, dengue rapidly evolved and became widespread over a few decades, with four serotypes increasing to ten serotypes in 1990 and 2015, respectively (Guo et al., 2017), and with approximately [390 million dengue infections annually \(Bhatt et al., 2013\)](#). The conventional pest management strategy using broad-range chemical pesticides looms with concerns. Most notably, the use of excessive broad-range chemical pesticides to control brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* in rice led to the decimation of its natural enemies, consequently causing an even greater surge of outbreaks following the use of the pesticides (Way and Heong, 1994). Furthermore, certain chemical pesticides also pose dangerous risks to the ecosystem by disrupting food chains or being hazardous to human health. An example is dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT). It [persists for a long time in the environment](#), accumulating [in the food chain and tissues of living organisms](#), and [it has been](#) found later to be carcinogenic (Turusov et al., 2002). Thus, with the rising concerns over the use of chemical-based pesticides, the pest management research is diverting towards a more sustainable and safer approach, such as biopesticides. Biopesticides are biologically-based pesticides that can be either toxic molecules harvested from entomopathogenic microbe cultures or live entomopathogenic microbes (EPMs) included in the pesticide formulations as mycoinsecticides (Senthinathan, 2015). Various biopesticides have been developed from various EPMs with varying degree of pathogenicity for targeted arthropod pests (Li et al., 2010). *Beauveria bassiana* is a well-established biological control agent with a broad range of efficacy (Faria and Wraight, 2007).

Furthermore, *B. bassiana* can colonise the soil or plants as a saprophyte or an endophyte, respectively (Boomsma et al., 2014). Consequently, *B. bassiana* is capable of long-term protection with minimal applications, effectively reducing insecticide application costs, and benefitting both farmers and consumers. However, to assess whether *B. bassiana* can be an effective and sustainable option for managing arthropod pests, it is crucial to understand its mechanisms of pathogenicity at the molecular level. The advancement of molecular biology has led to a new approach termed "systems biology" has been developed, with a promising capacity to holistically understand an EPM's mechanisms of pathogenicity compared to the conventional reductionist approach. Systems biology employs omics technologies at the level of genes (genomics), RNA transcripts (transcriptomics), proteins (proteomics), and metabolites (metabolomics), as well as bioinformatics to produce a snapshot of the total expression of the molecules from the samples and infer how these molecules interact with one another to produce a phenotype (Tini et al., 2017). Through omics, new models can be produced from the wealth of data and thus, new hypotheses can be tested. This review aims to discuss how the systems biology approach using omics techniques can accelerate the characterisation of the mechanisms of pathogenicity of EPMs. With *B. bassiana* as an example, this review highlights how the key genes, proteins and metabolites relevant to pathogenesis could be identified through high-throughput analysis. Infection process of *B. bassiana* shares common mechanisms of pathogenicity with other entomopathogenic fungi (EPF). The infection process of *B. bassiana* can be broadly divided into three stages: (1) host arthropod adhesion; (2) penetration of arthropod cuticle; and (3) arthropod haemocoel colonisation (Figure 1) (Hajek and Leger, 1994; Wojda et al., 2009). At each stage of infection, *B. bassiana* adapts by changing its structure to efficiently overcome the host's defences (Hajek and Leger, 1994). The overview of relevant genes, proteins and metabolites for each stage is summarised in Table 1. Several literature reviews had discussed *B. bassiana* pathogenicity; however, much of the knowledge of the genes were acquired from gene-knockout studies and enzymatic assays performed with low-throughput analyses (Butt et al., 2016; Valero-Jiménez et al., 2016). The more recent studies that applied omics methods found similar genes reported in the gene-knockout studies. Moreover, these studies

have reported an involvement of additional genes, proteins, and metabolites prevalent at a particular stage of infection which were not previously reported (Figure 2). Host adhesion The aerial conidia of *B. bassiana* facilitate adhesion to insect cuticles through hydrophobic interactions (Boucias et al., 1988). To facilitate adhesion, the aerial conidia are coated with hydrophobins which form a hydrophobic coating (Holder and Keyhani, 2005). *B. bassiana* expresses several [genes that play a role in](#) lipid homeostasis which influences the hydrophobicity of its conidia. Transcriptomics analyses of *B. bassiana* showed an up-regulation of gene expressions for Metarhizium adhesin-like protein 1, 2 (MAD1, MAD2), and hydrophobins (Chen [et al., 2018](#); Lai [et al., 2017](#); Zhou [et al., 2018](#)). Adhesins and hydrophobins are vital for *B. bassiana* to attach itself to the insect's cuticle via [hydrophobic interactions \(Holder and Keyhani, 2005; Wang and St. Leger, 2007a\)](#). Furthermore, the studies have also reported an over-expression of mammalian-like perilipin 1 (MPL1) and CFEM-domain containing genes (Chen et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2017). MPL1 facilitates lipid transport and storage in the conidia while maintaining the lipid homeostasis of fungus. The deletion of the MPL1 gene leads to reduced appressoria turgor pressure which impairs the adhesiveness of *B. bassiana* on hydrophobic surfaces (Wang and St. Leger, 2007b). CFEM-domain genes are unique to fungi, sharing a commonly conserved eight-cysteine residue (Kulkarni et al., 2003). CFEM domain- containing proteins have roles in the surface sensing and signalling for fungal biological processes associated with pathogenesis, including conidial germination and appressorium formation (Sabnam and Roy Barman, 2017). However, further characterisation of each of the CFEM domain-containing genes is necessary to elucidate the specific roles of each genes with regards to host adhesion. Proteomic studies have reported secretion of proteins related to insect host adhesion. *B. bassiana* secretes sphingomyelin phosphodiesterase and fasciclin domain-containing proteins upon contact with insect's cuticles (Dionisio et al., 2016; Santi et al., 2018). Sphingomyelin phosphodiesterase is a lipase that has a role in sphingolipid metabolism on the cell membranes (Feng et al., 2011). In fungi, sphingomyelin phosphodiesterase shares a homology with acid sphingomyelinases which can affect the composition of sphingolipids on biological membranes (de Bekker et al., 2015). The extracellular secretion of sphingomyelin phosphodiesterase by *B. bassiana* suggests its role in disrupting the biological membrane of the insect

host. Fasciclin domain-containing proteins are present across different species, mediating cellular adhesion (Miyazaki et al., 2007). In phytopathogenic fungi, *Magnaporthe oryzae*, fasciclin plays a role in the conidial adhesion to a plant's cell wall (Liu et al., 2009). 5 The over-expression of this protein in *B. bassiana* suggests a similar role of adhesion onto insect hosts. Germination and cell body differentiation Upon adhesion, the conidia of *B. bassiana* begin to germinate and develop appressoria for cuticular penetration (Hajek and Leger, 1994). The appressorium structure enables mechanical pressure and enzymatic digestion to function synergistically on a much smaller surface area, thus increasing penetration efficiency (Chandler, 2017; Singh et al., 2017). As the penetration progresses, *B. bassiana* germinates its hyphae through the cracks inside the insect's exoskeleton and produces secondary hyphal bodies inside the cuticular layer of the insect host. In the haemocoel, *B. bassiana* is exposed to a hyperosmotic environment. Therefore, it switches from hyphae to blastospores which are more hydrophilic, motile and better adapted for host immune evasion (Holder et al., 2007; Ortiz-Urquiza and Keyhani, 2016). Several genes related to cell wall remodelling and signalling were over-expressed throughout *B. bassiana* infection. Notably, several cell wall protein-conferring genes, chitin synthase and  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase were up-regulated in previous transcriptomic studies (Chen et al., 2018; Chu et al., 2016; Lai et al., 2017) . Cell wall protein-conferring genes are responsible for the building blocks of *B. bassiana* cell wall. Chitin synthases are involved in producing chitin which is a vital component of the cell wall (Tartar et al., 2005) whereas  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanases are involved in cell wall softening, hence allowing germination (Mouyna et al., 2013). The *B. bassiana* signalling-related genes, mitogen-activated-protein kinases (MAPKs) and osmosensor Mos1 are vital for the cell body differentiation in fungi (Chen [et al., 2018](#); Lai [et al., 2017](#); Zhou [et al., 2018](#)). Two MAPK genes vital for *B. bassiana* were identified from previous omics studies: protein kinase A (PKA) and *Metarhizium anisopliae* HOG1 6 (MaHOG1) genes. The expression of PKA, MaHOG1 and Mos1 have been found to be vital for appressorium and blastospore formation, and the disruption of these genes has been linked with the delayed cell body differentiation and reduced pathogenicity (Jin et al., 2012; Luo et al., 2012). Cuticle penetration Insect cuticles consist of non-polar hydrocarbons and numerous components of cuticular proteins that act as a physical barrier against microbial infection. The outermost

layer, the epicuticle, is rich in lipids and the procuticle layer is rich in chitin and sclerotised proteins (Ortiz-Urquiza and Keyhani, 2013). An appressorium serves as the site at which *B. bassiana* unleashes its arsenal of hydrolytic enzymes to degrade and penetrate the insect's cuticle (Samuels et al., 2016). Once the epicuticle layer is breached, *B. bassiana* germinates hyphae which penetrate through the cracks and into the procuticle layer (Hajek and Leger, 1994). The penetrating hyphae not only continue to secrete hydrolytic enzymes, but also begin to release defensive molecules against the insect host's immune responses (Butt et al., 2016). The digested proteins and hydrocarbons from the cuticle serve as a nutrient source for further hyphal growth (Pedrini et al., 2013). Moreover, these hydrolytic enzymes are also necessary for detoxifying antimicrobial compounds from quinones, alkanes, lipids, and free fatty acids commonly present in the epicuticle layer (Wang and Wang, 2017). These antimicrobial compounds could inhibit spore germination and fungal growth, thus preventing successful infection. Several proteases, chitinases, carboxypeptidases, and lipases have been reported from both transcriptomics and proteomics analyses pertinent to cuticle penetration. Among the more notable ones are the expression of subtilisin-like protease (Pr) isoform 1A (Pr1A) and 1B (Pr1B), GH18 family chitinases, and cytochrome P450s (CYPs) which have been consistently found in proteomic and transcriptomic studies. Pr1 family proteins are extracellular cuticle degrading enzymes that are vital to penetrate the insect's exoskeleton (Wang and Wang, 2017). Expectedly, the over-expression of Pr1A and Pr1B genes were correlated with the increased insect killing ability of *B. bassiana* (Fang et al., 2009; St Leger et al., 1996). GH18 chitinases serve to degrade and derive nutrient from chitin while also vital for facilitating the growth of an entomopathogenic fungi (Hartl et al., 2012; Mondal et al., 2016). The analysis of *B. bassiana* genome has shown high abundance of GH18 chitinase genes (Xiao et al., 2012) which correlate to the abundance of different types of GH18 chitinases in the transcriptomic and proteomic studies (Chen [et al., 2018](#); Dionisio [et al., 2016](#); Lai [et al., 2017](#); Santi [et al., 2018](#)). CYPs are a superfamily of monooxygenases that hydroxylate xenobiotic compounds, including alkanes and fatty acids (Lin et al., 2011). Their expression has been found to be induced by the presence of insect lipids, such as the cuticles (Lin et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012) and demonstrated to have a role in detoxifying the insect host's toxic molecules (Xing et al., 2017). Stress

response and immune evasion Successful cuticle penetration allows *B. bassiana* an access to the host's haemocoel. To prevent colonisation inside the haemolymph, the insect host responds to the breach in the haemocoel by activating melanisation, and releasing protease inhibitors, antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), and reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Butt [et al., 2016](#); [Ortiz-Urquiza and Keyhani, 2016](#); [Valero-Jiménez et al., 2016](#)). *B. bassiana* overcomes the host insect defences by expressing genes related to stress management. These are produced as early as the cuticle penetration stage. Furthermore, genes related to immune evasion are also upregulated upon breaching into the host insect's haemocoel. During the cuticle penetration and haemocoel colonisation stage, several anti-oxidative enzyme-conferring genes, including glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), superoxide dismutase (SODs), thioredoxins, catalases, oxidoreductases, and peroxidases are over-expressed (Chen [et al., 2018](#); Chu [et al., 2016](#); Lai [et al., 2017](#); Santi [et al., 2018](#)). Additionally, the expression of several types of heat shock proteins (HSPs) are also significantly increased. The anti-oxidative enzymes protect the fungi against the oxidative stress from the increased ROS as a result of the insect host's defence response (Ortiz-Urquiza and Keyhani, 2016). HSPs serve as protein chaperones that protect the integrity of the internal cellular structure against various forms of stress. Moreover, the over-expression of signalling genes from the aforementioned MaHOG1, PKA, and MOS1 is vital for the *B. bassiana* survivability inside the haemocoel. Besides regulating the formation of blastospores, the increased expression of these signalling genes is also linked with the increased tolerance to osmotic shock to survive under high osmotic pressure (Jin et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2008). Furthermore, there is an up-regulation of polyketide synthase (PKS), small secreted cysteine rich proteins (SSCPs) with LysM domain, and ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters at the cuticular and haemocoel stages (Lai et al., 2017). PKS synthesises oosporein that inhibits polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity, which in turn, suppresses anti-fungal peptide expression (Feng et al., 2015). In addition to the defence against host immune response, PKS is involved in the synthesis of toxic metabolites secreted by *B. bassiana* (Chandler, 2017). SSCP with LysM domain have yet reported any established role in the *B. bassiana* pathogenicity. However, the expression of these SSCP has been found to be correlated with the suppression of chitin-triggered immunity by the host plants



against phytopathogenic fungi (Mentlak et al., 2012). The ABC transporters are multidrug efflux pumps which protect the fungi against a range of toxic compounds (Morschhäuser, 2010). Thus, the increased expression of ABC transporter genes may serve to protect the fungi against harmful host's molecules. The cell wall remodelling genes discussed previously also play important roles in host immune evasion. The increased activities of  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase but lowered expression of chitin synthase genes inside the haemocoel (Lai et al., 2017) may be associated with removing all presence of antigenic compounds, such as galactomannan and chitin, thus allowing the evasion of host insect defences. Toxin production *B. bassiana* also produces toxic secondary metabolites, including beauverolides, bassianolide, beauvericin, oosporeins, tennelins, and isarolides which are responsible for insect cell cytotoxicity (Chandler, 2017). Each of these metabolites has different degrees of toxicity that depends on the insect host. For example, bassianolide contributes significantly to the pathogenicity of the greater wax moth (*Galleria mellonella*), beet armyworm (*Spodoptera exigua*), and corn earworm (*Helicoverpa zea*), but tennelin and beauvericin do not (Butt et al., 2016). The over-expression of PKS gene in the haemocoel as mentioned previously is involved in oosporein biosynthesis (Lai et al., 2017). However, it was noted that the expression of genes related to beauvericin, bassianolide, and tenellin biosynthesis was not significantly increased in the transcriptomic studies although the genes were already characterised and annotated in the *B. bassiana* genome (Xiao et al., 2012). In contrast, the metabolomic studies on *B. bassiana* have confirmed the expression of toxic secondary metabolites. Interestingly, *B. bassiana* seems to be able to distinguish between living and dead hosts. It secretes a significantly higher amount of beauverolides in the presence of live insect tissues compared to dead tissues (de Bekker et al., 2013). Besides that, the presence of pupae extract yielded no significant difference for the beauvericin and beauverolide secretion compared to the minimal media control (Luo et al., 2015). The lack of toxins produced by *B. bassiana* in the presence of the cuticular extract is expected as these toxins are released by the fungus inside an insect's haemocoel (Lacey et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2017). Conclusion The application of omics in *B. bassiana* illustrates the big picture of the plethora of biological processes at work during pathogenesis and the modulated expressions at each stage of the attack (Table 1). Overall, a

single omics approach is insufficient to capture the complexity of *B. bassiana*'s mechanism of pathogenesis. An integrated omics approach is necessary to elucidate an in-depth and broad view of the complete expressions of genes, proteins, and secondary metabolites throughout each stage of infection. It must be noted that some gene expressions do not correlate well with their corresponding protein and metabolite expressions. For example, the majority of the toxic secondary metabolite biosynthesis related genes were not significantly overexpressed (Chen [et al., 2018](#); Lai [et al., 2017](#); Zhou [et al., 2018](#)) but the corresponding metabolites were found in abundance in metabolomic studies (de Bekker et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2015). This contradiction can be attributed to the difference in samplings, and the host differences that could impact the expression patterns for genes, proteins, and metabolites reported in the omics studies. Therefore, to acquire a more precise, systemic overview of the modulation of the genes, proteins, and metabolites of *B. bassiana* during an infection process, it is imperative that the experimental designs to closely match each other studies in terms of the insect host species, the developmental stage during experiments, and the timing of sampling. Ideally, the transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and any additional omics of interests should be conducted on the same experimental sample. However, carrying out different omics studies on the same *B. bassiana* infection experiment is a monumental challenge for a research group. Therefore, different research groups that use different omics approaches need to collaborate in designing the omics experiments to investigate *B. bassiana* pathogenicity, subsequently running different omics studies from the same biological sample. Perhaps, we can expect more research consortiums being formed with each contributing omics method that cumulatively provides comprehensive systemic insights into the complexity of EPF pathogenesis. Future research could be conducted based on the knowledge of genes, proteins and metabolites relevant to *B. bassiana* pathogenicity. They include a genetic manipulation to produce transgenic *B. bassiana* that yields greater insect-killing potency or to produce transgenic crops expressing *B. bassiana* pathogenicity-related genes. For the former, the research efforts have been ongoing. For example, transgenic strains of *B. bassiana* have been developed to produce heterologous toxins from scorpions (Lu et al., 2008) or insect host's hormones that result in endocrinal imbalance, increasing the host's susceptibility (Fan et al.,

2012). Ultimately, these researches will collectively improve the potential of *B. bassiana* as an alternative to the conventional insecticides, augmenting the arsenal of the insect pest control.

12 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17  
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42  
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67  
68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92  
93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112  
113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130  
131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148  
149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166  
167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184  
185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202  
203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220  
221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238  
239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256  
257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274  
275 276 277 278 1 3 4