Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



# Journal of King Saud University – Science

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com

# Original article

# Exosomal miRNA-93 and miRNA-205 expression in endometrial cancer

# Wei Zheng <sup>a,b</sup>, Jianying Yang <sup>a,b</sup>, Yuhong Wang <sup>c</sup>, Xinru Liu <sup>a,b,\*</sup>

Chec

<sup>a</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, West China Second University Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, China <sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children (Sichuan University), Ministry of Education, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, China <sup>c</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, Henan 453000, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 September 2019 Revised 25 September 2019 Accepted 17 October 2019 Available online 6 November 2019

Keywords: miRNA-93 miRNA-205 Expression Cancer patients ROC

# ABSTRACT

Endometrial Carcinoma (EC) is one of the most common cancers of females' reproductive system. It has been given first rank in the tumors of obstetrics and gynaecology and day by day the incidence of EC gradually increased in recent years, which has critically harmed the woman health. 100 cases of Endometrial cancer and equal number of female healthy subjects were included and serum were separated from blood sample collected in plain vials from all study participant. Total RNA was extracted from exosomes extracted from serum and cDNA were synthesised from 100 ng of total RNA. cDNA were subjected to quantitative real time PCR to study the microRNA-95 and micro-RNA205 expression among cased and controls using Taqman assay. 3.78 mean fold increased exosomalmicroRNA-95 expression was observed while 0.07 mean fold decreased exosomal microRNA was found in endometrial cancer patients. Increased expression of exosomal miRNA-93 expression were linked with smoking (p = 0.002), grade of tumor (p = 0.01), FIGO stage (p < 0.0001) and distance organ metastases (p < 0.0001). Decreased miRNA-205 (0.07 mean fold) expression of exosomal miRNA-205 was observed in EC patients compared to healthy controls and were found to associated with smoking (p = 0.01), lymph node involvement (p = 0.001), FIGO stage (p = 0.004). Poor overall median survival was observed to be associated with increase exosomalmicroRNA-95 and decreased microRNA-205 of endometrial cancer patients. ROC curves showed exosomal miRNA-93 at cut-off value of 3.25 fold increased in serum based exosomal microRNA-93 expression, sensitivity and specificity were 93% and 97%, respectively (AUC was 0.99, p < 0.0001). A negative correlation was observed between exosomal microRNA-93 and exosomal microRNA-205 expression (r = -0.20, p = 0.04).

Study concluded that exosomalmicroRNA-95 and exosomal microRNA-205 was associated with worseness of disease and could be a prognostic indicator for endometrial cancer patients.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

Endometrial Carcinoma (EC) is one of the most frequent malignant epithelial tumor reproductive system cancers of females. It has been given first rank in the tumors of obstetrics and gynaecology among Europe and America countries (Buhtoiarova et al., 2016). The incidence of EC in China, gradually increased in recent years, which has critically harmed the woman health (Hu et al., 2015). The diagnosis of EC is generally based on clinical symptoms, the history of disease and pathological outcome. However, the early symptoms of EC are not clear, and not easily diagnosed (Dijkhuizen et al., 2000), so the EC patient's survival rate is not so good (Tangjitgamol et al., 2013). The early diagnosis is helpful to the standardized treatment to improve of the EC patient's survival rate. At present, EC is still one of lethal gynaecological malignant tumors which cause the early death of EC patients. Early diagnosis of EC is very important to understand the pathogenesis of EC, early invasion and metastasis in term of better clinical management and care of patients (Prat, 2004). Micro-ribonucleic acid (miRNA) is a group of non coding RNA with a length of about 18–25 bp. miRNA can control gene regulation at the transcriptional level by degradation of mRNA, translational repression and other target RNAs and cause cell explosion, differentiation and apoptosis (Medina and Slack, 2008). miRNA-93 is positioned chromosome

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2019.10.006

1018-3647/© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, West China Second University Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, China.

E-mail address: LacyJacobsonuph@yahoo.com (X. Liu).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

ELSEVIER Production and hosting by Elsevier

number 7q.22.1 and produced by the transcription of miRNA-106b-25. miRNA-93 can contribute in many immune reactions and inflammatory through the interactions with target proteins MMP-2, integrin-β8 and E2F1 (Lyu et al., 2014). It has been revealed that MiR-93 expression has been associated in different cancer types as oncogenic role (Fu et al., 2012). miRNAs are normally deregulated in multiple human cancer and can perform as strong tumor suppressors and oncogenes (Esquela-Kerscher et al., 2006). miRNA-205 is the most commonly analysed miRNAs, exhibiting irregular expression in various human malignancies compared with healthy controls. The majority of studies have established that miRNA-205 behave as tumour suppressor micro-RNA (Hulf et al., 2013). However, few studies have given contradictory outcomes suggesting that miRNA-205 may function as an oncogene (Kalogirou et al., 2013). Hanna et al revealed that miRNA-205 upregulation suppresses growth of cell in vivo. cell senescence induction and cell proliferation reduction by targeting to down-regulate adenovirus E2 promoter-binding transcription factor 1 (E2F1) in melanoma patients (Hanna et al., 2012). MicroRNA-205 specifically targets and activates the tumour suppressor genes IL24 and IL32 by intracting with their promoter regions (Majid et al., 2010) and participates in transition of epithelial-mesenchymal by regulating zinc finger E-box-binding proteins (ZEB1/ZEB2) (Tran et al., 2013). Thus the present aimed to study analysed the serum based exosomal miRNA-93 and miRNA-205 among Endometrial cancer patients.

# 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Blood sample collection and exosomes isolation from serum

This study recruited newly diagnosed histopathologically confirmed 100 cases of Endometrial cancer. Patients previously with any history of metastasized cancer or any other body organ cancer were not included in study. 4 ml of patient's peripheral blood were collected in plain vials after confirmed diagnosis as well as 4 ml of peripheral blood from healthy individuals. Blood samples collected in plain vials were centrifuged at 1500 rpm to separate the serum were collected and stored at -70 °C. Before exosomes isolation sample were thawed and centrifuged at  $3000 \times g$  to for 10-20 min to pellet cells, debris and platelets to remove. 1.5 ml of serum were mixed in 600 µl of precipitation buffer A (miRCURY, Qiagen) and mixed for 30 s. Mixture was incubated for 60 min at 2-8 °C and centrifuges at 1500×g for 25 min at 20 °C. After centrifugation pellet were saved and resuspended in 200  $\mu$ l of resuspension buffer to the tube containing pellet and further used for total exosomal RNA extraction.

#### 2.2. Total RNA extraction, Polyadenylation and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from exosomes suspended in resuspension buffer using Trizol and stored at -70 °C in RNase-free eppendorf tubes. The quality and purity of RNA were determined by the A260/280 ratio. 100 ng of total RNA was used for Polyadeny-lation and cDNA synthesis using advanced microRNA cDNA Synthesis Kit (TaqMan, Thermo Scientific, USA) by manufacturer protocol. Reverse Transcriptase enzyme and other essential reagents were added subsequently for cDNA synthesis to switch in poly (A) - tailed miRNAs into cDNA using an universal RT primer supplied with the manufacturer kit.

# 2.3. qPCR for miRNA-93 and miRNA-205 expression

Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed to compute the serum based exosomal miRNA-93 and miRNA-205 expression level. Quantitative real time PCR was performed using advanced taqman master mix (4444556), Taqman probes (478768\_mir) for miRNA-214 for quantification and hsa-miR-16-5p (477860\_mir) were used as internal control as normaliser to calculate the expression.

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

All the data analysis was performed by using SPSS 20.0 and Graph Pad Prism 5 version of software.  $\Delta\Delta$ ct method was applied to compute the fold change in expression of miRNA-93 and miRNA-205 in EC patients. Parametric (Student *t* test and ANOVA) and nonparametric (Mann Whitney *U* test and Kruskal Wallis) test were performed to compare the various variables. The Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to compute the overall survival of EC patients. ROC curve was plotted to check the prognostic importance of miRNA-93 and miRNA-205 in EC patients and p value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

## 3. Results

# 3.1. Demographic and clinical characteristic of endometrial cancer patients

All the demographic and clinical characteristics were depicted in Table 1. Endometrial cancer patients in age group of <50 years were 48% while 52% were in age group of  $\geq$ 50 years while in healthy controls in age group of <50 years were 50% and  $\geq$ 50 years were 50%. Patients who were alcoholic were 45% while non alcoholic were 55% while in healthy controls 40% were alcoholic and 60% were non alcoholic, more details presented in Tables 1–3.

## 3.2. Exosomal microRNA-93 expression among endometrial cancer

3.78 mean fold increased exosomal miRNA-93 was observed in endometrial cancer patients compared to healthy control subjects. It has been observed that EC patients who were smokers showed

#### Table 1

Demographic characteristic of Endometrial Cancer patients and healthy controls.

Variables	EC Cases (%)	Healthy controls (%)
Age (in years) <50 years ≥50 years	48 (48) 52 (52)	50 (50) 50 (50)
Alcoholism Yes No	45 (45) 55 (55)	40 (40) 60 (60)
Smoking Yes No	34 (34) 66 (66)	30 (30) 70 (70)
Tobacco Yes No	24 (24) 76 (76)	20 (20) 80 (80)
Grade G1 G2 G3	15 (15) 42 (42) 43 (43)	- -
Lymph node involvement Yes No	20 (20) 80 (80)	-
FIGO stage I & II III & IV	54 (54) 46 (46)	2
Distant organ metastases Yes No	17 (17) 83 (83)	

Table 2				
Association of miRNA-93	expression	among	Endometrial	Cancer

Variables	miRNA-93 expression (Mean ± SD)	P value
Overall expression	3.78 ± 1.39	-
Age (in years) ≤50 years >50 years	3.87 ± 1.52 3.70 ± 1.28	0.64
Alcoholism Yes No	3.88 ± 1.41 3.74 ± 1.41	0.58
Smoking Yes No	4.42 ± 1.46 3.45 ± 1.24	0.002
Tobacco Yes No	3.96 ± 1.52 3.72 ± 1.36	0.46
Grade G1 G2 G3	3.97 ± 1.41 3.29 ± 1.17 4.20 ± 1.46	0.01
Lymph node involvement Yes No	4.27 ± 1.42 3.66 ± 1.37	0.07
FIGO stage I &II III & IV	3.22 ± 1.29 4.44 ± 1.26	<0.0001
Distant organ metastases Yes No	5.10 ± 1.28 3.51 ± 1.26	<0.0001

#### Table 3

Association of miRNA-205 expression among Endometrial Cancer.

Overall expression Age (in years) $0.07 \pm 0.12$ $ \leq 50$ years $0.06 \pm 0.11$ $0.81$ $\geq 50$ years $0.08 \pm 0.14$ $0.81$ $\geq 50$ years $0.08 \pm 0.14$ $0.51$ Alcoholism $0.07 \pm 0.14$ $0.55$ No $0.06 \pm 0.11$ $0.51$ Smoking $0.06 \pm 0.11$ $0.01$ Yes $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $0.01$ Tobacco $0.02 \pm 0.02$ $0.05$ No $0.02 \pm 0.02$ $0.05$ No $0.08 \pm 0.14$ $0.66$ G1 $0.10 \pm 0.15$ $0.66$ G2 $0.05 \pm 0.09$ $0.66$ G3 $0.07 \pm 0.14$ $0.001$ Lymph node involvement $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$ No $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ III & IV $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.004$ Distant organ metastases $Yes$ $0.009 \pm 0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $<0.0001$	Variables	miRNA-205 expression (Mean ± SD)	P value
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Overall expression Age (in years)	0.07 ± 0.12	-
$>50$ years $0.08 \pm 0.14$ Alcoholism $0.07 \pm 0.14$ $0.55$ No $0.06 \pm 0.11$ $0.55$ No $0.06 \pm 0.11$ $0.01$ Smoking $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.01$ Yes $0.05 \pm 0.13$ $0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $0.05$ Tobacco $0.02 \pm 0.02$ $0.05$ No $0.08 \pm 0.14$ $0.66$ Grade $0.05 \pm 0.09$ $0.66$ G2 $0.05 \pm 0.09$ $0.01$ G3 $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$ No $0.08 \pm 0.12$ $0.001$ FIGO stage $18 II$ $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ III & IV $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.004$ Distant organ metastases $Yes$ $0.009 \pm 0.01$ $<0.0001$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $<0.0001$	≤50 years	$0.06 \pm 0.11$	0.81
Alcoholism0.07 $\pm$ 0.140.55No0.06 $\pm$ 0.110.05Smoking0.05 $\pm$ 0.110.01Yes0.05 $\pm$ 0.130.01No0.08 $\pm$ 0.130.05Tobacco0.02 $\pm$ 0.020.05No0.08 $\pm$ 0.140.05Grade0.05 $\pm$ 0.090.66G20.05 $\pm$ 0.090.01G30.07 $\pm$ 0.140.001Lymph node involvement0.04 $\pm$ 0.130.001No0.08 $\pm$ 0.120.001FIGO stage0.09 $\pm$ 0.130.004I II & IV0.05 $\pm$ 0.010.001No0.08 $\pm$ 0.130.001	>50 years	$0.08 \pm 0.14$	
Yes $0.07 \pm 0.14$ $0.55$ No $0.06 \pm 0.11$ $0.06 \pm 0.11$ Smoking $Yes$ $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $0.01$ Tobacco $Yes$ $0.02 \pm 0.02$ $0.05$ No $0.08 \pm 0.14$ $0.05$ Grade $0.05 \pm 0.09$ $0.66$ G2 $0.05 \pm 0.09$ $0.05 \pm 0.09$ G3 $0.07 \pm 0.14$ $0.001$ Lymph node involvement $Ves$ $0.04 \pm 0.13$ Yes $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$ No $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ III & IV $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.004$ Distant organ metastases $Yes$ $0.009 \pm 0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$	Alcoholism		
No $0.06 \pm 0.11$ Smoking $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.01$ Yes $0.05 \pm 0.13$ $0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $0.05$ Tobacco $0.02 \pm 0.02$ $0.05$ Yes $0.02 \pm 0.02$ $0.05$ No $0.08 \pm 0.14$ $0.05$ Grade $0.10 \pm 0.15$ $0.66$ G2 $0.05 \pm 0.09$ $0.07 \pm 0.14$ Lymph node involvement $Ves$ $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$ No $0.08 \pm 0.12$ $0.001$ FIGO stage $1 \& II$ $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ I $\& II$ $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ III $\& IV$ $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.001$ Distant organ metastases $Yes$ $0.009 \pm 0.01$ $<0.0001$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $<0.001$	Yes	$0.07 \pm 0.14$	0.55
$\begin{array}{cccc} Sinoking \\ Yes & 0.05 \pm 0.11 & 0.01 \\ No & 0.08 \pm 0.13 & 0.01 \\ \hline No & 0.08 \pm 0.14 & 0.05 \\ \hline No & 0.08 \pm 0.14 & 0.05 \\ \hline No & 0.08 \pm 0.14 & 0.05 \\ \hline Grade & & & & & & & \\ Grade & & & & & & & \\ Grade & & & & & & & & \\ Grade & & & & & & & & \\ Grade & & & & & & & & \\ Grade & & & & & & & & & \\ Grade & & & & & & & & & \\ Grade & & & & & & & & & \\ Grade & & & & & & & & & \\ Grade & & & & & & & & & \\ Grade & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & \\ No & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ No & & & & & & & & & & & \\ No & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Srade & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	No	$0.06 \pm 0.11$	
Yes $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $0.01$ Tobacco $0.02 \pm 0.02$ $0.05$ Yes $0.02 \pm 0.02$ $0.05$ No $0.08 \pm 0.14$ $0.05$ Grade $0.10 \pm 0.15$ $0.66$ G2 $0.05 \pm 0.09$ $0.07 \pm 0.14$ Lymph node involvement $Ves$ $0.04 \pm 0.13$ Yes $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$ No $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ III & IV $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.004$ Distant organ metastasesYes $0.009 \pm 0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$	Smoking		
No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ TobaccoYes $0.02 \pm 0.02$ $0.05$ No $0.08 \pm 0.14$ $0.05$ Grade $0.05 \pm 0.09$ $0.66$ G2 $0.05 \pm 0.09$ $0.66$ G3 $0.07 \pm 0.14$ $0.001$ Lymph node involvement $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$ Yes $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ I &II $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ III & IV $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.004$ Distant organ metastasesYes $0.009 \pm 0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$	Yes	$0.05 \pm 0.11$	0.01
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	No	$0.08 \pm 0.13$	
Yes $0.02 \pm 0.02$ $0.05$ No $0.08 \pm 0.14$ $0.05$ Grade $0.01 \pm 0.15$ $0.66$ G2 $0.05 \pm 0.09$ $0.07 \pm 0.14$ Cymph node involvement $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$ Yes $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$ No $0.08 \pm 0.12$ $0.09 \pm 0.13$ HIGO stage $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ Ill & IV $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.004$ Distant organ metastasesYes $0.009 \pm 0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$	Tobacco		
No $0.08 \pm 0.14$ Grade0.66G1 $0.10 \pm 0.15$ $0.66$ G2 $0.05 \pm 0.09$ $0.05 \pm 0.09$ G3 $0.07 \pm 0.14$ $0.01$ Lymph node involvement0.04 \pm 0.13 $0.001$ Yes $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$ No $0.08 \pm 0.12$ $0.004$ FIGO stage $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ III & IV $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.004$ Distant organ metastasesYes $0.009 \pm 0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$	Yes	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	0.05
$\begin{array}{ccc} Grade \\ G1 & 0.10 \pm 0.15 & 0.66 \\ G2 & 0.05 \pm 0.09 \\ G3 & 0.07 \pm 0.14 \\ \\ \hline \\ Lymph node involvement \\ Yes & 0.04 \pm 0.13 & 0.001 \\ No & 0.08 \pm 0.12 \\ \\ \hline \\ FIGO stage \\ I \& II & 0.09 \pm 0.13 & 0.004 \\ III \& IV & 0.05 \pm 0.11 \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Distant organ metastases \\ Yes & 0.009 \pm 0.01 & <0.0001 \\ No & 0.08 \pm 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	No	$0.08 \pm 0.14$	
	Grade		
G2 $0.05 \pm 0.09$ G3 $0.07 \pm 0.14$ Lymph node involvement $0.04 \pm 0.13$ Yes $0.04 \pm 0.13$ No $0.08 \pm 0.12$ FIGO stage $0.09 \pm 0.13$ I & II $0.09 \pm 0.13$ Distant organ metastases $0.009 \pm 0.01$ Yes $0.009 \pm 0.01$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$	G1	$0.10 \pm 0.15$	0.66
G3 $0.07 \pm 0.14$ Lymph node involvement       9         Yes $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$ No $0.08 \pm 0.12$ 0.001         FIGO stage       1 $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ III & IV $0.05 \pm 0.11$ 0.004       0.001         Distant organ metastases       Yes $0.009 \pm 0.01$ <0.0001	G2	$0.05 \pm 0.09$	
Lymph node involvement         0.04 $\pm$ 0.13         0.001           Yes         0.08 $\pm$ 0.12         0.001           FIGO stage         0.09 $\pm$ 0.13         0.004           I & II         0.09 $\pm$ 0.13         0.004           III & IV         0.05 $\pm$ 0.11         0.004           Distant organ metastases         Yes         0.009 $\pm$ 0.01         <0.0001	G3	$0.07 \pm 0.14$	
Yes $0.04 \pm 0.13$ $0.001$ No $0.08 \pm 0.12$ $0.01$ FIGO stage $1 \& II$ $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ III & IV $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.004$ $0.004$ Distant organ metastases         Yes $0.009 \pm 0.01$ $<0.0001$ No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ $<0.0011$ $<0.0001$	Lymph node involvement		
No $0.08 \pm 0.12$ FIGO stage         0.09 \pm 0.13         0.004           I & II $0.05 \pm 0.11$ 0.004           Distant organ metastases         Yes $0.009 \pm 0.01$ <0.0001	Yes	$0.04 \pm 0.13$	0.001
FIGO stage       0.09 $\pm$ 0.13       0.004         I & II       0.05 $\pm$ 0.11       0.051         Distant organ metastases       Yes       0.009 $\pm$ 0.01       <0.0001	No	$0.08 \pm 0.12$	
I &II $0.09 \pm 0.13$ $0.004$ III & IV $0.05 \pm 0.11$ $0.001$ Distant organ metastases         Yes $0.009 \pm 0.01$ < 0.0001           No $0.08 \pm 0.13$ < 0.001	FIGO stage		
III & IV         0.05 ± 0.11           Distant organ metastases            Yes         0.009 ± 0.01         <0.0001           No         0.08 ± 0.13         <0.0001	I &II	$0.09 \pm 0.13$	0.004
Distant organ metastases         Yes         0.009 ± 0.01         <0.0001           No         0.08 ± 0.13         <0.0001	III & IV	$0.05 \pm 0.11$	
Yes         0.009 ± 0.01         <0.0001           No         0.08 ± 0.13	Distant organ metastases		
No 0.08 ± 0.13	Yes	$0.009 \pm 0.01$	< 0.0001
	No	0.08 ± 0.13	

4.42 mean fold exosomal miRNA-93 expression while non smokers showed 3.45 mean fold exosomal miRNA-93 expression and differences among them was found to be significant (p = 0.002). EC patients with different grade such as grade 1, grade 2, grade 3, showed 3.97, 3.29, 4.20 mean fold exosomal miRNA-93 expression and differences among them was found to be significant (p = 0.01).

EC patients who were in stage I&II had 3.22 mean fold exosomal miRNA-93 expression and patients in stage III&IV showed 4.44 mean fold exosomal miRNA-93 expression and differences among them was found to be significant (p < 0.0001). Patients with distant organ metastases showed 5.10 mean fold exosomal miRNA-93 expression while non metastatic patients showed 3.51 mean fold exosomal miRNA-93 expression and differences among them was found to be significant (p < 0.0001).

#### 3.3. Exosomal miRNA-205 expression among endometrial cancer

Decreased miRNA-205 (0.07 mean fold) expression of exosomal miRNA-205 was observed in EC patients compared to healthy controls. EC patients who were smokers showed 0.05 mean fold exosomal microRNA-93 expression while non-smoker showed 0.08 mean fold expression and differences among them was found to be significant (p = 0.01). EC patients with lymph node involvement had 0.04 mean fold exosomal microRNA-93 expression while EC patients who did not showed any lymph node involvement had 0.08 mean fold exosomal microRNA-205 expression and differences among them was found to statistically significant (p = 0.001). EC patients in early stage (I & II) of disease had 0.09 mean fold of exosomal miRNA-205 expression while advanced stage (III & IV) of disease showed 0.05 mean fold of exosomal miRNA-205 expression and differences among them was found to be significant (p = 0.004).

#### 3.4. Exosomal microRNA-93 expression and patients overall survival

Based on mean fold expression of exosomal microRNA-93 expression data, two group were formed such as  $\leq$ 3 and >3 fold exosomal microRNA-93 expression and survival analysis were performed. It was observed that the EC patients who had  $\leq$ 3 fold exosomal microRNA-93 expression showed 31.25 months of overall median survival while EC patients had >3 fold exosomal microRNA-93 expression showed 25.50 months of overall median survival and differences among them was found to be significant (p = 0.006, Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Kaplar Meier survival curve with respect to miRNA-93.



Fig. 2. Kaplar Meier survival curve with respect to miRNA-205.

## 3.5. Exosomal miRNA-205 expression and patients overall survival

Based on mean fold expression of exosomal microRNA-205 expression data, two group were formed such as  $\leq$ 0.07 and >0.07 fold exosomal microRNA-205 expression and survival analysis were performed. It was observed that the EC patients who had  $\leq$ 0.07 fold exosomal microRNA-205 expression showed 24.80 months of overall median survival while EC patients had >0.07 fold exosomal microRNA-205 expression showed 33.25 months of overall median survival and differences among them was found to be significant (p = 0.01, Fig. 2).

#### 3.6. Prognostic importance of exosomal microRNA-93

Prognostic importance of exosomal microRNA-93 were calculated on the basis of mean fold and two group were formed ( $\leq$ 3 fold and >3 fold) (Fig. 3, Table 4). ROC curves plotted between



Fig. 3. ROC curve with respect to miRNA-93.



Fig. 4. Spearman correlation of exosomal microRNA-93 and exosomal microRNA-205.

Table 4	
ROC curve with respect to miRNA-93.	

AUC (95% Cl)	Sensitivity	Specificity	Cut off	P value
0.99	93%	97%	3.25 fold	<0.0001

 $\leq$ 3 fold and >3 fold of exosomal miRNA-93at cut-off value of 3.25 fold increased in serum based exosomal microRNA-93 expression, sensitivity and specificity were 93% and 97%, respectively (AUC was 0.99, p < 0.0001).

3.7. Correlation of exosomal microRNA-93 and exosomal microRNA-205

A correlation analysis was performed between exosomal microRNA-93 and exosomal microRNA-205 expression (Fig. 4). A negative correlation (r = -0.20) was observed among them and was found to be significantly associated (p = 0.04).

#### 4. Discussion

Endometrial cancer has been one of the very common carcinoma in females' reproductive system (Yeramian et al., 2013). The incidence rate of endometrial carcinoma has significantly increased over the past decades. Recurrence and metastasis and invasion have been major causes of death in patients with endometrial cancer (Meng et al., 2014). Micro RNAs may involve in several key cellular processes including apoptosis, proliferation and cell differentiation (Gammell, 2007; Carleton et al., 2007). Molecular characteristics of miRNA allow for not completely binding to the complementary target mRNAs. One miRNA could probably exert an effect on expression of many genes target (Rajewsky and Socci, 2004). It has been suggested that miRNAs may play significant role in carcinogenesis, cell expansion and cancer progression. Abnormal expression of miRNAs has been reported in a variety of human malignancies. including EC samples (Chung et al., 2009). MiRNAs' indicated potential role in EC as a prognostic biomarker while data relating to prognostic role of miRNAs in EC is deficient.

Present study suggested that more 3.78 mean fold increased exosomal miRNA-92 was observed in EC patients. Increased exosomal miRNA-93 was observed in smokers compared to non smokers. It has been observed that patients in grade 3 had higher exosomal miRNA-93 expression compared to others grade such as grade1 and 2. It has found that patients in advanced FIGO stage and distant organ metastases showed higher exosomal miRNA-93 expression compared to early stage and without distant organ metastatic EC patients. Decreased exosomal miRNA-205 (0.07 mean fold) was observed in EC patients. It has been observed that smoking has been found to be linked with decreased exosomal miRNA-205 expression compared to non-smokers. Decreased expression was observed to be linked with the lymph node involvement, advanced FIGO stage and distant organ metastases among EC patients compared to without lymph node involvement, early FIGO stage and no distant organ metastatic patients. Survival of EC patients were found to be associated with increased exosomal miRNA over expression and linked with worse and decreased overall median survival of EC patients. It has been found that decreased or down regulation of exosomal miRNA-205 associated with poor EC patients survival. It has been suggested that the exosomalmiRNA-93 showed potential prognostic characteristic in term or AUC was 0.99 and showed high sensitivity and specificity at cutoff value of 3.25 fold. A negative correlation was found between exosomal miRNA93-and miRNA-205 among EC patients. It suggested that increase of exosomal miRNA-93 will lead to decrease the exosomalmiRNA-205, vice versa. Study by Meltzer PS in 2015 said that miR-93 was highly expressed in endometrial carcinoma samples and miR-93 overexpression promoted endometrial carcinoma cell migration and invasion (Meltzer, 2005). A study by Shuo Chen in 2015 said that miR-93 promotes endometrial carcinoma cell EMT, migration, and invasion via targeting down regulation of FOXA1 (Chen et al., 2016). MiR-93 expression has been implicated in various cancer types, implying an oncogenic role (Fu et al., 2012; Pineau et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2011). In breast cancer, its overexpression has been correlated with proliferation and tumor progression (Kim et al., 2012). Micro RNA array outcome demonstrated that the over expression of miR-93 was found in Osteosarcoma cell lines. Micro RNA-93 over expression played an vital role lung cancer cell growth promotion, angiogenesis, and metastasis, while its inhibition suppresses cell proliferation. migration, and colonisation (Du et al., 2014). Furthermore, additional in vitro and in vivo study showed that micro RNA-93 alteration involved in regulation of cancer cell growth and disease progression (liang et al, 2015). Markou et al presented that reduced miRNA-205 expression in breast cancer cell lines and decreased expression of miRNA-205 was associated with reduced diseasefree survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) during the early stages of breast cancer (Markou et al., 2014). Significantly reduced miRNA-205 expression was observed in glioma tissues compared with non-neoplastic brain tissues (Hou et al., 2013).

#### 5. Conclusion

Increased exosomal microRNA-95, decreased microRNA-205 was found to be associated with endometrial cancer and associated with worse overall Endometrial cancer patients' survival. Increased exosomal microRNA-95 could be a prognostic indicator for endometrial cancer patients.

# **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Acknowledgement

Funding support: this study was supported by Sichuan provincial health and family planning commission scientific research popularization project (No: 17PJ298).

## References

- Buhtoiarova, T.N., Brenner, C.A., Singh, M., 2016. Endometrial carcinoma: role of current and emerging biomarkers in resolving persistent clinical dilemmas. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 145, 8–21.
- Carleton, M., Cleary, M.A., Linsley, P.S., 2007. MicroRNAs and cell cycle regulation. Cell Cycle 6, 2127–2132.
- Chen, S., Chen, X., Sun, K.-X., Xiu, Y.-L., Liu, B.-L., Feng, M.-X., et al., 2016. MicroRNA-93 promotes epithelial – mesenchymal transition of endometrial carcinoma cells. PLoS One 11, (11) e0165776.
- Dijkhuizen, F.P., Mol, B.W., Brölmann, H.A., Heintz, A.P., 2000. The accuracy of endometrial sampling in the diagnosis of patients with endometrial carcinoma and hyperplasia: a meta-analysis. Cancer 89, 1765–1772.
- Du, L., Zhao, Z., Ma, X., Hsiao, T.H., Chen, Y., Young, E., et al., 2014. miR-93-directed downregulation of DAB2 defines a novel oncogenic pathway in lung cancer. Oncogene 33 (34), 4307–4315.
- Fu, X., Tian, J., Zhang, L., Chen, Y., Hao, Q., 2012. Involvement of microRNA-93, a new regulator of PTEN/Akt signaling pathway, in regulation of chemotherapeutic drug cisplatin chemosensitivity in ovarian cancer cells. FEBS Lett. 586 (9), 1279–1286.
- Gammell, P., 2007. MicroRNAs: recently discovered key regulators of proliferation and apoptosis in animal cells: Identification of miRNAs regulating growth and survival. Cytotechnology 53, 55–63.
- Hanna, J.A., Hahn, L., Agarwal, S., et al., 2012. In situ measurement of miR-205 in malignant melanoma tissue supports its role as a tumor suppressor microRNA. Lab. Invest. 92, 1390–1397.
- Hou, S.X., Ding, B.J., Li, H.Z., et al., 2013. Identification of microRNA-205 as a potential prognostic indicator for human glioma. J. Clin. Neurosci. 20, 933–937.
- Hu, Z.Y., Tang, L.D., Zhou, Q., Xiao, L., Cao, Y., 2015. Aberrant promoter hypermethylation of p16 gene in endometrial carcinoma. Tumour Biol. 36, 1487–1491.
- Hulf, T., Sibbritt, T., Wiklund, E.D., et al., 2013. Epigenetic-induced repression of microRNA-205 is associated with MED1 activation and a poorer prognosis in localized prostate cancer. Oncogene 32, 2891–2899.
- Jiang, L., Wang, C., Lei, F., Zhang, L., Zhang, X., Liu, A., et al., 2015. miR-93 promotes cell proliferation in gliomas through activation of PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. Oncotarget 6 (10), 8286–8299.
- Kalogirou, C., Spahn, M., Krebs, M., et al., 2013. MiR-205 is progressively downregulated in lymph node metastasis but fails as a prognostic biomarker in highrisk prostate cancer. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 14, 21414–21434.
- Kim, K., Chadalapaka, G., Lee, S.O., Yamada, D., Sastre-Garau, X., Defossez, P.A., et al., 2012. Identification of oncogenic microRNA-17-92/ZBTB4/specificity protein axis in breast cancer. Oncogene 31 (8), 1034–1044.
- Lyu, X., Fang, W., Cai, L., Zheng, H., Ye, Y., Zhang, L., Li, J., Peng, H., Cho, W.C., Wang, E., et al., 2014. TGFβR2 is a major target of miR-93 in nasopharyngeal carcinoma aggressiveness. Mol. Cancer 13, 51.
- Majid, S., Dar, A.A., Saini, S., et al., 2010. MicroRNA-205-directed transcriptional activation of tumor suppressor genes in prostate cancer. Cancer 116, 5637–5649.
- Markou, A., Yousef, G.M., Stathopoulos, E., et al., 2014. Prognostic significance of metastasis-related microRNAs in early breast cancer patients with a long follow-up. Clin. Chem. 60, 197–205.
- Medina, P.P., Slack, F.J., 2008. microRNAs and cancer: an overview. Cell Cycle 7, 2485–2492.
- Meltzer, P.S., 2005. Cancer genomics: small RNAs with big impacts. Nature 435, 745-746.
- Meng, X., Kong, D.H., Li, N., Zong, Z.H., Liu, B.Q., Du, Z.X., et al., 2014. Knockdown of BAG3 induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition in thyroid cancer cells through ZEB1 activation. Cell Death Dis. 5, e1092.
- Pineau, P., Volinia, S., McJunkin, K., Marchio, A., Battiston, C., Terris, B., et al., 2010. miR- 221 overexpression contributes to liver tumorigenesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107 (1), 264–269.
- Prat, J., 2004. Prognostic parameters of endometrial carcinoma. Hum. Pathol. 35, 649–662.
- Rajewsky, N., Socci, N.D., 2004. Computational identification of microRNA targets. Dev. Biol. 267, 529–535.
- Tangjitgamol, S., Srijaipracharoen, S., Manusirivithaya, S., Khunnarong, J., Pataradool, K., Thavaramara, T., 2013. Endometrial carcinoma: clinical characteristic and survival rates by the new compared to the prior FIGO staging systems. J. Med. Assoc. Thai. 96, 505–512.
- Tran, M.N., Choi, W., Wszolek, M.F., et al., 2013. The p63 protein isoform∆Np63α inhibits epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human bladder cancer cells: role of MIR-205. J. Biol. Chem. 288, 3275–3288.
- Yeramian, A., Moreno-Bueno, G., Dolcet, X., Catasus, L., Abal, M., Colas, E., et al., 2013. Endometrial carcinoma: molecular alterations involved in tumor development and progression. Oncogene 32, 403–413.
- Yu, X.F., Zou, J., Bao, Z.J., Dong, J., 2011. miR-93 suppresses proliferation and colony formation of human colon cancer stem cells. World J. Gastroenterol. 17 (42), 4711–4717.