



## Original article

Molecular identification and phylogenetic analysis of cytochrome *b* gene from *Garra tibanica* an endogenous species from Saudi Arabia

Abdulwahed Fahad Alrefaei<sup>a,\*</sup>, Majidh Fahad Al-Mrshoud<sup>a</sup>, Abdulrahman Mohammed Alotaibi<sup>a</sup>, Zubair Ahmad<sup>a</sup>, Muhammad Farooq<sup>a</sup>, Hmoud Fares Albalawi<sup>a</sup>, Mohammed Fahad Albeshr<sup>a</sup>, Eman Alshehri<sup>a</sup>, Mikhliid H. Almutairi<sup>a</sup>, Gaston A. Pizzio<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Zoology, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

<sup>b</sup> Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas-Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Valencia ES-46022, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 6 June 2022

Revised 29 August 2022

Accepted 17 October 2022

Available online 27 October 2022

## Keywords:

*Garra tibanica*

Cytochrome *b*

Molecular markers

PCR

Phylogenetic analysis

Sequencing

Saudi Arabia

## ABSTRACT

*Garra tibanica* is ray-finned freshwater fish belonging to the cyprinid. Previous studies have reported that this specie is a native fish species found in Saudi Arabia. However, this specie was never been assessed using molecular markers to find out its phylogenetic relationship with other species in the area. This study investigates the genetic diversity between of the *Garra tibanica* collected from Medina province, Saudi Arabia based on phylogenetic analysis using the cytochrome *b* gene. The phylogenetic tree constructed from the cytochrome *b* sequence indicates that *Garra tibanica* is more closely related to *Garra sahilia* and *Garra sharq* than *Garra rufa*, *Garra gymnothorax*, which may reflect the allopatric speciation of *Garra* according to their existence in nearby geographic regions. To our knowledge, this report is the first to describe the species of *Garra* in Saudi Arabia based on phylogenetic analysis using the cytochrome *b* gene.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Freshwater fish have a range of morphological adaptations coming from genetic divergence and/or phenotypic flexibility, which result in adaptive radiation (Kerschbaumer and Sturmbauer, 2011; Jacquemin and Pyron, 2016) and further evolution (Dwivedi, 2020). The family cyprinidae is considered the biggest and most diverse freshwater fish. Their shapes, sizes, and biology, are variable (Dwivedi, 2020; Kirchner et al., 2021).

Nearly 83 % of freshwater fish show a high degree of endemism in the Arabian Peninsula, with about 17 % of taxa assessed to be threatened with extinction while 3 % are near threatened and 20 % are listed as incomplete data (García et al., 2015). The greatest threats are habitat loss and degradation due to the alteration of

environmental systems habitat and an increase in cultivation, together with pollution and the current trend of climate change and precipitation decline (Moran, 2009). The continuous rise in temperature due to climate change has a strong impact on physiology, behavior and reproduction of fish populations and trophic interactions (Rijnsdorp et al., 2009; Moran, 2009).

On the Arabian Peninsula, 31 species of freshwater fish have been described (Hamidan and Shobrak, 2019; Freyhof et al., 2021); Among them 15 species are endemic to Arabia, while other six have a broad distribution (Freyhof et al., 2015; Freyhof et al., 2021). Recent study has shown that most of the fresh water fish found in Saudi Arabia belong to the family Cyprinidae (Alotaibi et al., 2020). There are four genera of Cyprinid fishes in Arabian Peninsula which include *Garra*, *Acanthobrama*, *Cyprinion* and *Carasobarbus* (Playfair, 1870; Krupp, 1983; Alkalem, 1983).

The *Garra* is descended from labeonine cyprinids which consist of more than 160 species and have a wide distribution from South-east Asia to West Africa (Fricke et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2012). *Garra* fish have moderate body size (usually less than 20 cm in length) with adapted mental discs for sucking and scraping algae scrapers (Kottelat, 2020). Behrens-Chapuis et al. (2015) have studied the labeonine cyprinid genera, where members of *Crossocheilus*, *Hemigrammocapoeta*, *Tylognathus* and *Typhlogarra* were

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [alrefaei@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:alrefaei@ksu.edu.sa) (A.F. Alrefaei).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



found to be nested within *Garra* based on mtCOI data. A new species of *Garra*, *Garra jordanica* was described by Hamidan et al. (2014) from dead sea and has discussed the relation with *G. ghorensis*, *G. tibanica* and *G. rufa*.

Aljohani (2019) reported that the Arabian Peninsula faces urgent conservation issues with decreasing numbers of flowing springs and increasing levels of water conductivity in surviving springs since 1990 due to overpumping of groundwater. This had a huge impact on fish tolerance and distribution, and now only five native species are surviving in Saudi Arabian and Omani Springs. The ability to tolerate mild to extremely high conductivity. *Garra tibanica* is the only specie habitated in Saudi Arabian springs.

They are found at three sites in Saudi Arabia (Wadi Damad, Jizan; Wadi al-Bagarah and Ein al-Hamah, Khaibar) and in Yemen (Hamidan and Shobrak, 2019). It was listed as Least Concern by the IUCN in 2015 (The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015). However, due to the reduction of the quality and extent of existing habitats due to extraction of water this specie face big threats.

In recent years taxonomist characterized organisms into groups based on meristic, morphometric and anatomical characteristics. This method need more molecular attributes used to provide the effectiveness from which phylogeny between taxa analysed and inferred and understand (AlMutair, 2016). Moreover, the results obtained from phylogenetic morphology are not always in agreement with molecular analyses (Zheng et al., 2010).

DNA barcoding is a quick and accurate method in biodiversity research for taxonomic identification, characterization and defining new species. It can determine the genetic and evolutionary relationship from molecular, morphological, and distributional data. DNA barcodes are accurate tools to identify a variety of freshwater fish (Behrens-Chapuis et al., 2015, Bhattacharya et al., 2016). The mtDNA has a constant rate in the substitution of nucleotides over evolutionary time, and this property is useful in determining the divergence period (DeSalle et al., 1987). Markers used in fish biodiversity research include: the cytochrome C oxidase subunit 1 (COI), 16S ribosomal RNA (16S), the 12S ribosomal RNA (12S) and cytochrome *b* (cyt *b*) genes (Claver et al., 2021, Yousefi, 2013). Linacre (2012) has mentioned that the loci of choice for taxonomy, phylogenetics, and forensic science are on the mitochondrial genome; being predominantly either the cytochrome *b* (cyt *b*) or the cytochrome oxidase I genes (COI). Tobe et al. (2009) recommend use of the cytochrome *b* gene in the study of inter-species variation as this provides more information from a small fragment.

The aim of this study was to investigate the genetic diversity among the specimens of *Garra tibanica* collected from Wadi Khadrah, Medina province, Saudi Arabia to assess the genetic differentiation and explore the potential phylogenetic relationships between these species and other *Garra* species via sequencing of the cytochrome *b* gene.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Sample collection

Twelve specimens of *Garra tibanica* were collected from Wadi Khadrah (N17 39 410, E42 40 665) between December 2019 and January 2020 in Medina province, Saudi Arabia (Table 1). The morphometric and meristic characters of the fish were examined to identify their characteristics. The collected fish were transported on dry ice to the laboratory at Zoology department, King Saud University, where they were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  for further analysis. "All procedures for the samples collection were carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations by the Research Ethics Sub-Committee (REC) of the College of

**Table 1**

Samples used for mtDNA analysis in the present study; species names, and GenBank accession No.

| ID | Sample No. | Species               | GenBank Accession No. |
|----|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1  | 1GT        | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540814              |
| 2  | 2GT        | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540815              |
| 3  | 3GT        | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540816              |
| 4  | 4GT        | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540817              |
| 5  | 5GT        | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540818              |
| 6  | 6GT        | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540819              |
| 7  | 7GT        | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540820              |
| 8  | 8GT        | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540821              |
| 9  | 9GT        | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540822              |
| 10 | 10GT       | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540823              |
| 11 | 11GT       | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540824              |
| 12 | 12GT       | <i>Garra tibanica</i> | OM540825              |

Sciences at the King Saud University (KSU) in Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) (Ethics ReferenceNo: KSU-SE-22-14)".

### 2.2. DNA extraction for polymerase chain reaction

Biopsies were taken from the dorsal fin (avoiding taking the skin with the biopsies), and DNA extracted by DNAzol (Invitrogen, UK). The method is as follows: take 25–50 mg of fish tissue and place on glass slide, homogenize by repeated press with an edge sterile glass slide until yielding a very soft homogenate, then transferred to a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube containing 500  $\mu\text{l}$  of DNAzol. The mixture was vortexed and centrifuged for 2 min at 10,000 rpm at room temperature. The supernatant was saved and pellet was discarded. 500  $\mu\text{l}$  of Absolut ethanol was added to supernatant and tubes were inverted gently many times until DNA clouds appeared, or small fragments precipitate, and stored at room temperature for 3 min, then centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 rpm. The supernatant was discarded pellet was left to air dry for 30–40 min. The DNA pellet was dissolved in 50  $\mu\text{l}$  nuclease free double distilled water. The concentration of the DNA was obtained by using NanoDrop 8000 (Thermo Scientific), using software version ND-8000 V2.2.1.

### 2.3. PCR amplification of cytochrome *b*

The cytochrome *b* gene was amplified using PCR (ProFlex PCR System, Applied biosystem) through utilizing primers L14724 (5'- GACTTGAAAAACACCGTTG-3') and H15915 (5'- CTCCGATCTCCGATTACAAGAC-3'). The reaction mixture consists of 8.5  $\mu\text{l}$  2  $\times$  Taq Plus PCR MasterMix (Solarbio life sciences), 2  $\mu\text{l}$  of forward and reverse primer mix solution, 7.5  $\mu\text{l}$  D.D-H<sub>2</sub>O and 2  $\mu\text{l}$  of 100 ng/ $\mu\text{l}$  DNA. PCR amplification conditions are as follows: 94  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for three minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 94  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for one minute and 50  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for one minute, then 72  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for one minute, and a final extension at 72  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for eight minutes. The PCR product was documented under UV light on 1 % agarose gel containing ethidium bromide stain. The products of a PCR were sent to Macrogen, Inc. (Seoul, Republic of Korea) for sequencing.

### 2.4. Sequence analysis and phylogenetic tree construction

To build a phylogenetic tree in order to analyze the evolutionary relationships among *Garra tibanica* and related species, using software MEGA X (Kumar et al., 2018). The cytochrome *b* sequences of *Garra tibanica* were not known before this study, and these sequences were deposited to public gene data bank with accession numbers OM540814 - OM540825 (Table 1). Fifteen datasets from diverse species used for creating the phylogenetic trees were obtained from GenBank. The phylogenetic trees were built using

maximum likelihood (ML) with genetic distance and the Tamura-Nei model (Kumar et al., 2016, Jeanmougin et al., 1998, Tamura and Nei, 1993). We used Felsenstein's bootstrap method to calculate the associated taxa clustered in the bootstrap test, using 1000 replicates, and the data are written above the nodes. Estimates of Evolutionary Divergence between sequences were calculated as genetic distance matrix with MEGA X (version 10.2.6; (Kumar et al., 2018)). Genetic distance heatmap were plotted using CLUSTVIS web tool (Metsalu and Vilo, 2015).

### 3. Results

Sequence of the cytochrome *b* gene was obtained from twelve specimens of *Garra tibonica*. The amplified cytochrome *b* gene fragments had a read length 992 base pairs (bp). The ambiguous and gap-containing sites were removed, and the sequence obtained, showed that all samples collected in this study were identical.

The divergence levels were compared with the sequences from this study and cytochrome *b* sequences with related *Garra fish* species from GenBank. The maximum likelihood estimation of the phylogenetic relationships placed all the samples into five groups (Fig. 1). The clusters, inside groups, were supported by high bootstrap values and revealed that *G. tibonica* and *G. sahilia* are related lineage in the same clade with 98.69 % identity and also it is in consistent with traditional morphologically-based inferences. Moreover, genetic distance matrix showed a value of 0.0134 of base substitutions per site between *G. tibonica* and *G. sahilia* (Table 2 and Fig. 2).

### 4. Discussion

The maximum-likelihood method was used to identify phylogeny relationship between species of *Garra* based on cytochrome *b* gene. The phylogenetic tree constructed in this study which was





Fig. 1.

Table 2

Genetic distance matrix. Estimates of evolutionary divergence between sequences. The number of base substitutions per site from between sequences are shown.

|                                            | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5      | 6      | 7      | 8      | 9      | 10     | 11     | 12     | 13     | 14     | 15     | 16     |
|--------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1. OM540814-OM540825 <i>Garra tibonica</i> |        | 0.0038 | 0.0092 | 0.0092 | 0.0101 | 0.0082 | 0.0083 | 0.0122 | 0.0107 | 0.0114 | 0.0103 | 0.0108 | 0.0102 | 0.0096 | 0.0101 | 0.0104 |
| 2. MT977711.1 <i>Garra sahilia</i>         | 0.0134 |        | 0.0096 | 0.0098 | 0.0105 | 0.0086 | 0.0087 | 0.0121 | 0.0110 | 0.0117 | 0.0110 | 0.0113 | 0.0102 | 0.0100 | 0.0105 | 0.0104 |
| 3. MT977688.1 <i>Garra sharq</i>           | 0.0748 | 0.0806 |        | 0.0095 | 0.0101 | 0.0090 | 0.0088 | 0.0110 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 0.0111 | 0.0108 | 0.0081 | 0.0081 | 0.0100 | 0.0098 |
| 4. MN340062.1 <i>Garra gymnothorax</i>     | 0.0745 | 0.0804 | 0.0817 |        | 0.0100 | 0.0082 | 0.0083 | 0.0113 | 0.0121 | 0.0116 | 0.0109 | 0.0108 | 0.0095 | 0.0091 | 0.0097 | 0.0100 |
| 5. MG182296.1 <i>Garra ceylonensis</i>     | 0.0654 | 0.0734 | 0.0689 | 0.0624 |        | 0.0040 | 0.0030 | 0.0097 | 0.0126 | 0.0125 | 0.0096 | 0.0117 | 0.0099 | 0.0106 | 0.0110 | 0.0110 |
| 6. MN340142.1 <i>Garra rufa</i>            | 0.0621 | 0.0689 | 0.0712 | 0.0595 | 0.0112 |        | 0.0017 | 0.0098 | 0.0110 | 0.0108 | 0.0090 | 0.0099 | 0.0085 | 0.0083 | 0.0095 | 0.0091 |
| 7. MN340137.1 <i>Garra rufa</i>            | 0.0633 | 0.0701 | 0.0701 | 0.0607 | 0.0069 | 0.0031 |        | 0.0096 | 0.0109 | 0.0106 | 0.0092 | 0.0102 | 0.0085 | 0.0083 | 0.0093 | 0.0091 |
| 8. MN340089.1 <i>Garra persica</i>         | 0.0830 | 0.0866 | 0.0748 | 0.0765 | 0.0587 | 0.0587 | 0.0570 |        | 0.0132 | 0.0138 | 0.0114 | 0.0126 | 0.0105 | 0.0108 | 0.0109 | 0.0121 |
| 9. MG182309.1 <i>Garra salweenica</i>      | 0.0968 | 0.1014 | 0.1103 | 0.1143 | 0.1006 | 0.1052 | 0.1042 | 0.1017 |        | 0.0118 | 0.0117 | 0.0122 | 0.0115 | 0.0115 | 0.0110 | 0.0110 |
| 10. MH448073.1 <i>Garra culiciphaga</i>    | 0.0985 | 0.1059 | 0.1024 | 0.1034 | 0.0959 | 0.0958 | 0.0934 | 0.1018 | 0.1164 |        | 0.0120 | 0.0121 | 0.0109 | 0.0110 | 0.0118 | 0.0121 |
| 11. MN340043.1 <i>Garra mondica</i>        | 0.0855 | 0.0930 | 0.1028 | 0.0917 | 0.0569 | 0.0651 | 0.0688 | 0.0722 | 0.1238 | 0.1147 |        | 0.0065 | 0.0102 | 0.0101 | 0.0099 | 0.0104 |
| 12. MN340093.1 <i>Garra amirhosseini</i>   | 0.0965 | 0.1018 | 0.1050 | 0.0937 | 0.0821 | 0.0837 | 0.0876 | 0.0859 | 0.1328 | 0.1204 | 0.0434 |        | 0.0104 | 0.0100 | 0.0115 | 0.0111 |
| 13. MT977677.1 <i>Garra longipinnis</i>    | 0.0854 | 0.0914 | 0.0590 | 0.0758 | 0.0657 | 0.0643 | 0.0655 | 0.0714 | 0.1206 | 0.1028 | 0.0951 | 0.1047 |        | 0.0044 | 0.0101 | 0.0093 |
| 14. MT977682.1 <i>Garra gallagheri</i>     | 0.0819 | 0.0901 | 0.0601 | 0.0723 | 0.0736 | 0.0631 | 0.0643 | 0.0731 | 0.1214 | 0.1075 | 0.0939 | 0.1013 | 0.0193 |        | 0.0102 | 0.0092 |
| 15. MT977696.1 <i>Garra shamal</i>         | 0.0840 | 0.0876 | 0.0840 | 0.0769 | 0.0733 | 0.0746 | 0.0747 | 0.0745 | 0.1039 | 0.1108 | 0.0906 | 0.1141 | 0.0928 | 0.0938 |        | 0.0095 |
| 16. MT977697.1 <i>Garra barreimiae</i>     | 0.0831 | 0.0868 | 0.0784 | 0.0794 | 0.0722 | 0.0658 | 0.0671 | 0.0886 | 0.1161 | 0.1194 | 0.0913 | 0.1075 | 0.0812 | 0.0779 | 0.0809 |        |



**Fig. 2.** Genetic distance heatmap. The heatmap plot was constructed with genetic distance data (Table 2) using CLUSTVIS web tool (Metsalu and Vilo, 2015). Red square: subgroup with low distance, including *G. tibanica* and *G. sahilia*.

based on the cytochrome *b* gene sequences retrieved from GenBank, grouped *Garra tibanica* with *Garra sahilia*, *Garra salweenica* and *Garra culiciphaga* in one clade, this mean that *G. tibanica* is very closely related to *G. sahilia*, while *G. rufa*, *G. gymnothorax* and *G. ceylonensis* were grouped in another clade. This relationship may reflect the allopatric speciation of *Garra* according to its existence in nearby geographic areas. In order to verify this, further studies should be done using large sample sizes and more molecular tools (DNA barcodes).

The state of all freshwater fish in the Arabian Peninsula, especially Saudi Arabia, could have been changed over the years due to habitat loss, degradation due to altered environmental systems and increase in cultivation (Aljohani, 2019, Freyhof et al., 2015). Moreover the pollution and the current of climatic change and decline in precipitation could also have affected the population status of the fish in this region, hence phylogenetic studies using latest molecular biology techniques is an utmost important job to reassess the status of fresh water fish in Saudi Arabia (Moran, 2009; Yang et al., 2012; Macusi et al., 2015; Alotaibi et al., 2020).

Hamidan and Shobrak (2019) recently evaluated the status of fresh water fish species of the area, and collected fish samples between April and May 2013 from 22 sampling sites and reported eight native species and one introduced Cichlid species. Aljohani (2019) after studying 15 springs in Saudi Arabia concluded that *Garra tibanica* is a native fish species and suggested to reassess the Red List status of the species in the region.

Based on the analysis of cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1 (CO1) gene sequence, (Hamidan et al., 2014) reported that *G. tibanica* is not related to *G. ghorensis* genetically, however, it contradicts Krupp (1982) hypothesis based on similar morphology.

## 5. Conclusions

In this study we investigated, for the first time, the relationships between species of *Garra* endemic, that were collected from Al Madinah province, in Saudi Arabia using cytochrome *b* gene. The results of phylogenetic tree constructed that *Garra tibanica* is more closely related to *Garra sahilia* and *Garra sharq*. More studies are needed using molecular biology tools to classify the distribution of all species of freshwater fish of genetically in order to determine

the degree of similarity and relationship between the existing species. Based on these results further plans should be developed to protect endemic biodiversity, especially endangered and extinct threatened species.

## Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgements

"We extend our appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding this work through the research group no. RG-1441-307"

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2022.102390>.

## References

- Aljohani, K.M., 2019. Springs of the Arabian Peninsula: Historical Trends, Current Status and Human Impact in Saudi Arabia. Oman and Jordan, University of South Florida.
- Alkahem, H., 1983. The freshwater fishes of Saudi Arabia; systematics and conservation. Colorado State Univ, USA. Ph. D. thesis.
- Almutair, L., 2016. Phylogenetic tree reconstruction inspired by bees algorithm (phylabee). Riyadh, King Saud university.
- Alotaibi, A.M., Ahmad, Z., Farooq, M., Albalawi, H.F., Alrefaei, A.F., 2020. Phylogenetic analysis of three endogenous species of fish from Saudi Arabia verified that Cyprinion acinaes hijazi is a sub-species of Cyprinion acinaes acinaes. J. King Saud Univ.-Sci. 32, 3014–3017.
- Behrens-Chapuis, S., Herder, F., Esmaili, H., Freyhof, J., Hamidan, N., Özüluğ, M., Šanda, R., Geiger, M., 2015. Adding nuclear rhodopsin data where mitochondrial COI indicates discrepancies—can this marker help to explain conflicts in cyprinids. DNA Barcodes 3, 187–199.
- Bhattacharya, M., Sharma, A.R., Patra, B.C., Sharma, G., Seo, E.-M., Nam, J.-S., Chakraborty, C., Lee, S.-S., 2016. DNA barcoding to fishes: current status and future directions. Mitochondrial DNA Part A 27, 2744–2752.
- Claver, C., Canals, O., Rodriguez-Ezpeleta, N., 2021. Assessing accuracy and completeness of GenBank for eDNA metabarcoding: towards a reliable marine



- fish reference database. ARPHA Conference Abstracts. Pensoft Publishers, e64671.
- Desalle, R., Freedman, T., Prager, E.M., Wilson, A.C., 1987. Tempo and mode of sequence evolution in mitochondrial DNA of Hawaiian *Drosophila*. *J. Mol. Evol.* 26, 157–164.
- Dwivedi, A.K., 2020. Differentiating three Indian shads by applying shape analysis from digital images. *J. Fish Biol.* 96, 1298–1308.
- Freyhof, J., Hamidan, N., Feulner, G., Harrison, I., 2015. The status and distribution of freshwater fishes of the Arabian Peninsula. The status and distribution of freshwater biodiversity in the Arabian peninsula 16.
- Freyhof, J., Els, J., Feulner, G.R., Hamidan, N.A., Krupp, F., 2021. Freshwater fishes of the Arabian Peninsula. *Taxa* 20309.
- Fricke, R., Eschmeyer, W., van der Laan, R., 2018. 2021. Genera, Species, References, Catalog of Fishes.
- García, N., Harrison, I., Cox, N., Tognelli, M., 2015. The status and distribution of freshwater biodiversity in the Arabian peninsula. IUCN Gland, Switzerland, Cambridge, UK and Arlington, USA.
- Hamidan, N.A., Geiger, M.F., Freyhof, J., 2014. *Garra jordanica*, a new species from the Dead Sea basin with remarks on the relationship of *G. ghorensis*, *G. tibanica* and *G. rufa* (Teleostei: Cyprinidae). *Ichthyological Exploration Freshwaters* 25, 223–236.
- Hamidan, N.A.-F., Shobrak, M., 2019. An Update on Freshwater Fishes of Saudi Arabia. *Jordan. Journal of Biological Sciences* 12.
- Jacquemin, S.J., Pyron, M., 2016. A century of morphological variation in Cyprinidae fishes. *BMC Ecol.* 16, 1–18.
- Jeanmougin, F., Thompson, J., Gouy, M., Higgins, D., Gibson, T., 1998. Multiple sequence alignment with Clustal X. *Trends Biochem. Sci.*
- Kerschbaumer, M., Sturmbauer, C., 2011. The utility of geometric morphometrics to elucidate pathways of cichlid fish evolution. *International Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 2011.
- Kirchner, S., Sattmann, H., Haring, E., Victor, R., Kruckenhauser, L., 2021. Hidden diversity—Delimitation of cryptic species and phylogeography of the cyprinid *Garra* species complex in Northern Oman. *J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res.* 59, 411–427.
- Kottelat, M., 2020. *Ceratogarra*, a genus name for *Garra cambodgiensis* and *G. fasciata* and comments on the oral and gular soft anatomy in labeonine fishes (Teleostei: Cyprinidae). *Raffles Bull. Zool.* 5, 156–178.
- Krupp, F., 1982. *Garra tibanica ghorensis* subsp. nov. (Pisces: Cyprinidae), an African element in the cyprinid fauna of the Levant. *Hydrobiologia* 88, 319–324.
- Krupp, F., 1983. Fishes of Saudi Arabia: Freshwater Fishes of Saudi Arabia and Adjacent Regions of the Arabian Peninsula, Verlag nicht ermittelbar.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Tamura, K., 2016. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 1870–1874.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., Tamura, K., 2018. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 1547.
- Linacre, A. 2012. Capillary electrophoresis of mtDNA cytochrome b gene sequences for animal species identification. *DNA Electrophoresis Protocols for Forensic Genetics*. Springer.
- Macusi, E.D., Abreo, N.A.S., Cuenca, G.C., Ranara, C., Cardona, L., Andam, M., Guanzon, G., Katikiri, R., Deepananda, K., 2015. The potential impacts of climate change on freshwater fish, fish culture and fishing communities. *Journal of Nature Studies* 14, 14–31.
- Metsalu, T., Vilo, J., 2015. ClustVis: a web tool for visualizing clustering of multivariate data using Principal Component Analysis and heatmap. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, W566–W570.
- Moran, R. J. 2009. The impacts of climate change on freshwater fish, macroinvertebrates, and their interactions. University of Liverpool.
- PLAYFAIR, R. Note on a freshwater fish from the neighbourhood of Aden. *Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond.* 1870. 85–86.
- Rijnsdorp, A.D., Peck, M.A., Engelhard, G.H., Möllmann, C., Pinnegar, J.K., 2009. Resolving the effect of climate change on fish populations. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 66, 1570–1583.
- Tamura, K., Nei, M., 1993. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 10, 512–526.
- Tobe, S.S., Kitchener, A., Linacre, A., 2009. Cytochrome b or cytochrome c oxidase subunit I for mammalian species identification—an answer to the debate. *Forensic Sci. Int.: Genet. Suppl. Series* 2, 306–307.
- Yang, L., Arunachalam, M., Sado, T., Levin, B.A., Golubtsov, A.S., Freyhof, J., Friel, J.P., Chen, W.-J., Hirt, M.V., Manickam, R., 2012. Molecular phylogeny of the cyprinid tribe Labeonini (Teleostei: Cypriniformes). *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 65, 362–379.
- Yousefi, P. 2013. A Study of Cytochrome C Oxidase Subunit 1 Polymorphism in Malay Population. *Universiti Teknologi Malaysia*.
- Zheng, L.P., Yang, J.X., Chen, X.Y., Wang, W.Y., 2010. Phylogenetic relationships of the Chinese Labeoninae (Teleostei, Cypriniformes) derived from two nuclear and three mitochondrial genes. *Zoolog. Scr.* 39, 559–571.