



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of King Saud University – Science

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com



Original article

An algorithm for verifying some norm identities in inner-product spaces

Muneerah Al Nuwairan

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, King Faisal University, P.O. Box 400, Al Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 October 2020

Revised 4 August 2021

Accepted 30 August 2021

Available online 9 September 2021

Keywords:

Inner product

Norm

Parallelogram identity

Parallelepiped law

Binomial coefficient

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we provide an algorithm for verifying the validity of identities of the form $\sum_{A \subseteq \bar{n}} c_A \|x_A\|^2 = 0$, where $x_A = \sum_{i \in A} x_i$ and $\bar{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ in inner-product spaces. Such algorithm is used to verify the validity, in inner-product spaces, for a number of identities. These include a generalization of the parallelepiped law. We also show that such identities hold only in inner-product spaces. Thus, the algorithm can be used to deduce characterizations of inner-product spaces.

© 2021 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let I be an index set, and $\{x_i : i \in I\}$ be a subset of elements of a vector space \mathcal{H} . For $A \subseteq I$ denote by x_A the sum of vectors $x_i, i \in A$. i.e. $x_A = \sum_{i \in A} x_i$. The notation \bar{n} is used to denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For a finite set A , we use $|A|$ to denote the cardinality of A , and the standard notation for binomial coefficients, $\binom{n}{k} = n! / (k!(n-k)!)$ is used.

The algorithm given in Theorem 2.3 is meant to test the validity of identities of the form $\sum_{A \subseteq \bar{n}} c_A \|x_A\|^2 = 0$ in inner-product spaces by converting the verification of such an identity to verifying numerical equalities. The algorithm is illustrated in Section 3 by using it to derive several identities. Notable among these results is a generalization of the parallelepiped law, which is deduced, in Corollary 3.5, from a more general result. In Section 4, we prove that all the identities that can be verified by this algorithm only hold in inner-product spaces. Thus, the algorithm can be used to derive characterizations of norms defined by an inner product. This is the application chosen for discussion in the paper.

Investigating norm identities that are satisfied only by norms induced by inner products dates back to the late 19th century (see Amir, 1986, Introduction). Fréchet, 1935 showed that a normed space is an inner product space if and only if

$$\|x+y+z\|^2 - \|x+y\|^2 - \|x+z\|^2 - \|y+z\|^2 + \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + \|z\|^2 = 0 \quad (1)$$

Jordan and von Neumann, 1935 showed that the norm is induced by an inner product if and only if the parallelogram law

$$\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 \quad (2)$$

holds for all x, y . For a proof of Jordan and von Neumann's result see Istrătescu (1987), Theorem 4.3.6. The study of characterizations of inner-product spaces continue to be an active field (see e.g. Adamek 2020; Chelidze 2004; Dadipour and Moslehian 2010; Mendoza and Pakhrou, 2003). The author hopes that the algorithm presented here will lead to new characterization like the ones given in Corollary 4.5.

This paper is self-contained, the only results needed are the Jordan and von Neumann characterization mentioned above and a Lemma due to Fréchet on finite difference (see e.g. Amir 1986 Lemmas 1.1, 1.2 or Reznick, 1978, Lemma 1). We give a proof of this lemma below

Lemma 1.1.: For $n \geq 1$,

let $F_n = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is locally integrable and } \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} f(r+ks) = 0 \forall (r,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}$. The following statements hold:

Abbreviations: WLOG, Without loss of generality.

E-mail address: msalnuwairan@kfu.edu.sa

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2021.101598>

1018-3647/© 2021 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

- 1) For $n \geq 1$ every function in F_n is infinitely differentiable.
- 2) If $n > 1$ and $f \in F_n$ then $f' \in F_{n-1}$.
- 3) For $n \geq 1$ every function in F_n is a polynomial of degree less than n .

Proof. 1) Assume $n \geq 1$ and that $f \in F_n$. Thus, $0 = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} f(r+ks)$ for all $(r, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Moving the $k=0$ term to the left side of the equality and multiplying by $(-1)^{n+1}$, we obtain

$$f(r) = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{1+k} f(r+ks)$$

Take ϕ a C^∞ -function on \mathbb{R} with support in $[-1, 1]$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi = 1$. By multiplying the above equality by $\phi(s)$ and integrating with respect to s , we obtain $f(r) = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{1+k} \psi_k(r)$ where $\psi_k(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r+ks) \phi(s) ds$. Substituting $x = r+ks$, $s = \frac{x-r}{k}$ yields, for $a > r+k$

$$\psi_k(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \phi\left(\frac{x-r}{k}\right) \frac{dx}{k} = \int_{[-a, a]} f(x) \phi\left(\frac{x-r}{k}\right) \frac{dx}{k}$$

Repeated differentiation under the integral sign, which is justifiable by the dominated convergence theorem, and the mean value theorem, we have that ψ_k is infinitely differentiable with

$$\frac{d^m}{dr^m} \psi_k(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \frac{d^m}{dr^m} \phi\left(\frac{x-r}{k}\right) \frac{dx}{k} \text{ for } m \geq 0.$$

Thus, f is infinitely differentiable.

2) By assumption, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} f(r+ks) = 0 \text{ for all } (r, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Differentiating both sides with respect to s yields

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} k f'(r+ks) = n \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1} (-1)^{n-k} f'(r+ks)$$

Summing over $l = k-1$ and dividing by n , the last expression becomes

$$\sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n-1}{l} (-1)^{n-1-l} f'(r+(l+1)s) = 0$$

Given $r_1, s \in \mathbb{R}$, substituting $r = r_1 - s$ in the last identity, we obtain

$$\sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n-1}{l} (-1)^{n-1-l} f'(r_1+ls)$$

Since r_1, s are arbitrary, then $f' \in F_{n-1}$.

3) By induction on $n \geq 1$. For $n = 1$, the identity defining F_1 is $-f(r) + f(r+s) = 0$ for all r, s which clearly implies that f is a constant, i.e., a polynomial of degree less than 1. Suppose $n > 1$, the result is true for $n-1$, and that $f \in F_n$. Using 2) we have f' is a polynomial of degree less than $n-1$, so by integration, f is a polynomial of degree less than n . ■

2. A test for a class of norm identities in inner product Spaces.

In this section, a test that can be used to verify the validity of certain identities in inner product spaces is provided. Recall the familiar identity for inner product norms

$$\|x+y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle \tag{3}$$

Or equivalently

$$2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle = \|x+y\|^2 - \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2 \tag{4}$$

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{H} be an inner product space, and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be elements in \mathcal{H} . The equality

$$\|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n\|^2 = \sum_{|A|=2, A \subseteq \bar{n}} \|x_A\|^2 - (n-2) \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \tag{5}$$

holds for all positive integer $n \geq 2$.

Proof. By induction on n . For $n=2$ the equality is simply $\|x_1 + x_2\|^2 = \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$. Suppose the equality holds for n then, from (3)

$$\|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n+1}\|^2 = \|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n\|^2 + \|x_{n+1}\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x_1 + \dots + x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle \tag{6}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x_1 + \dots + x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x_i, x_{n+1} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\|x_i + x_{n+1}\|^2 - \|x_i\|^2 - \|x_{n+1}\|^2 \right) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$= \sum_{|A|=2, A \subseteq \bar{n+1}, n+1 \in A} \|x_A\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 - n\|x_{n+1}\|^2$$

By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n\|^2 = \sum_{|A|=2, A \subseteq \bar{n}} \|x_A\|^2 - (n-2) \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \tag{8}$$

Substituting (7) and (8) in (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n+1}\|^2 &= \left(\sum_{|A|=2, A \subseteq \bar{n}} \|x_A\|^2 - (n-2) \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \|x_{n+1}\|^2 \\ &+ \left(\sum_{|A|=2, A \subseteq \bar{n+1}, n+1 \in A} \|x_A\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 - n\|x_{n+1}\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{|A|=2, A \subseteq \bar{n+1}} \|x_A\|^2 - (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \|x_i\|^2$$

Thus, the relation (5) is true for $n+1$. By induction, (5) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$

By using Theorem 2.1 to substitute for $\|x_A\|^2$ where $|A| > 2$, the equal expression

$$\sum_{|B|=2, B \subseteq A} \|x_B\|^2 - (|A|-2) \sum_{i \in A} \|x_i\|^2$$

any identity of the form $\sum_{A \subseteq \bar{n}} c_A \|x_A\|^2 = 0$ can be converted to an

identity of the form

$$\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$$

Thus, testing the validity of the identity $\sum_{A \subseteq \bar{n}} c_A \|x_A\|^2 = 0$ is transformed into testing the validity of the equivalent identity

$\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$. The verification of the latter identity can

be reduced to verifying that all its coefficients are zero as shown by the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.2.: Let \mathcal{H} be an inner product space of dimension at least two. The identity $\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$ holds for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $a_B = 0$ for all $B \subseteq \bar{n}$ with $1 \leq |B| \leq 2$.

Proof. (⇐) Clearly if $a_B = 0$ for all $B \subseteq \bar{n}$ with $1 \leq |B| \leq 2$, then

$$\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0 \text{ for all } x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$$

(⇒) Suppose that $\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$.

Pick $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ orthogonal unit vectors. For $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ let $u_\theta = \cos\theta u + \sin\theta v$. For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, let $x_i = u, x_j = u_\theta$ and $x_k = 0$ for $k \in \bar{n} \setminus \{i, j\}$.

Since $\|u + u_\theta\|^2 = 2 + 2\cos\theta$ we have

$$0 = \sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2$$

$$= \sum_{k \notin \{i, j\}} a_{\{i, k\}} + \sum_{k \notin \{i, j\}} a_{\{j, k\}} + a_{\{i, j\}}(2 + 2\cos\theta) + a_{\{i\}} + a_{\{j\}}$$

Choose $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ with $\cos\theta_1 \neq \cos\theta_2$, subtract the above equality at $\theta = \theta_2$ from the same equality at $\theta = \theta_1$ to obtain $a_{\{i, j\}} = 0$. Since $i \neq j$ were arbitrary elements of \bar{n} , we obtain that $a_B = 0$ for all $B \subseteq \bar{n}$ with $|B| = 2$. Using this and our assumption of the validity of the identity, we obtain $0 = \sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{\{j\}} \|x_j\|^2$. Finally, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, take x_i to be a unit vector and $x_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$ in the last identity to get

$$0 = \sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{\{i\}} \|x_i\|^2 = a_{\{i\}}$$

Since $1 \leq i \leq n$ was arbitrary, then $a_B = 0$ for all $B \subseteq \bar{n}$ with $|B| = 1$. ■

The following Theorem uses Theorem 2.1 and a modified version of Lemma 2.2 to test validity of identities of the form $\sum_{A \subseteq \bar{n}} c_A \|x_A\|^2 = 0$. The modification allows us to avoid the need to compute a_B for $|B| = 1$ which simplifies the application of the validity test.

Theorem 2.3.: Let \mathcal{H} be an inner product space of dimension at least two. Given an expression $\sum_{A \subseteq \bar{n}} c_A \|x_A\|^2$, let $\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2$ be the result of replacing $\|x_A\|^2$ where $|A| > 2$ by

$$\sum_{|B|=2, B \subseteq A} \|x_B\|^2 - (|A| - 2) \sum_{i \in A} \|x_i\|^2$$

The identity $\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}} c_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$ holds if and only if

- 1) $a_B = 0$ for all $B \subseteq \bar{n}$ with $|B| = 2$, and
- 2) For each unit vector $u \in \mathcal{H}$, and for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $x_i = u$ and $x_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$ then for this choice of x_k 's we have $\sum_{A \subseteq \bar{n}} c_A \|x_A\|^2 = 0$.

Proof. (⇒) If the identity $\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}} c_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$ holds then $\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$ is also an identity, so by Lemma 2.2, we have $a_B = 0$ for all $B \subseteq \bar{n}$ with $1 \leq |B| \leq 2$. i.e. 1) holds. Since $\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}} c_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$ holds for any choice of values of the x_k 's, 2) also holds.

(⇐) Assume 1) and 2) hold, and u be any unit vector in \mathcal{H} , for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $x_i = u$ and $x_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$ then from 2)

$$0 = \sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}} c_B \|x_B\|^2 = \sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = \sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1=|B|} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = a_{\{i\}}$$

where we have used 1) in the second equality. Since $1 \leq i \leq n$ was arbitrary, we have $a_B = 0$ for all $B \subseteq \bar{n}$ with $1 = |B|$. This together with 1) gives us that $a_B = 0$ for all $B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2$. Thus $\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}} c_B \|x_B\|^2 = \sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$ is an identity. ■

3. Some deduced identities

In this section, we provide some example applications of Theorem 2.3. For future reference we have listed the identities as Lemmas rather than examples.

Lemma 3.1.: Let \mathcal{H} be an inner product space. The identity

$$\binom{n-2}{k-2} \|x_1 + \dots + x_n\|^2 = \sum_{|A|=k, A \subseteq \bar{n}} \|x_A\|^2 - \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \tag{9}$$

holds for all $n > k \geq 2$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof.: We start by converting the identity in (9) to one with zero on one of the sides to get

$$\binom{n-2}{k-2} \|x_1 + \dots + x_n\|^2 - \sum_{|A|=k, A \subseteq \bar{n}} \|x_A\|^2 + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 = 0 \tag{10}$$

Using Theorem 2.1 to replace $\|x_A\|^2$ for each $A \subseteq \bar{n}$ with $|A| = k$ by the equivalent expression $\sum_{|B|=2, B \subseteq A} \|x_B\|^2 - (k-2) \sum_{i \in A} \|x_i\|^2$ and replace $\|x_1 + \dots + x_n\|^2$ by $\sum_{|B|=2, B \subseteq \bar{n}} \|x_B\|^2 - (n-2) \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2$ converts the left hand side of (10) to

$$\binom{n-2}{k-2} \left(\sum_{|B|=2, B \subseteq \bar{n}} \|x_B\|^2 - (n-2) \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \right) - \sum_{|A|=k, A \subseteq \bar{n}} \left(\sum_{|B|=2, B \subseteq A} \|x_B\|^2 - (k-2) \sum_{i \in A} \|x_i\|^2 \right) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \tag{11}$$

By writing (11) in the form $\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2$, we get for a set B of size 2,

$$a_B = \binom{n-2}{k-2} - \sum_{|A|=k, B \subseteq A \subseteq \bar{n}} 1$$

The number of k -subset $A \subseteq \bar{n}$ containing B is equal to the number of $(k-2)$ -subsets C of \bar{n}/B so is $\binom{n-2}{k-2}$. Thus for $B \subseteq \bar{n}$ of cardinality 2,

$$a_B = \binom{n-2}{k-2} - \binom{n-2}{k-2} = 0$$

giving us that condition (1) of Theorem 2.3. To verify condition (2), let u to be a unit vector, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $x_i = u$ and $x_j = 0$ for $i \neq j \in \bar{n}$, then substituting this choice of x_i 's in the left hand side of (10) (our original identity), the equation in (10) becomes

$$\binom{n-2}{k-2} - \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-2}{k-1} = 0$$

The middle term in the above equation was computed by noting that $\|x_A\|^2 = 1$ if $i \in A$ and 0 otherwise, and that the number of k -subsets $A \subseteq \bar{n}$ containing $\{i\}$ is equal to the number of $(k-1)$ -

subsets of $\bar{n} \setminus \{i\}$ which is $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$. By a well-known recurrence relation for the binomial coefficients (note $k \geq 2$) condition (2) also holds. ■

Lemma 3.2.: Let \mathcal{H} be an inner product space of dimension at least 2. For $n \geq 3$ and x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathcal{H} , we have

$$\sum_{I \subseteq \bar{n}} (-1)^{|I|} \|x_I\|^2 = 0 \tag{12}$$

Proof.: Splitting the sum in (12) into a sum over $|I| \geq 2$ and a second sum over $|I| = 1$, then using Theorem 2.1, to substitute for $\|x_I\|^2$ in the first sum, the LHS of (12) becomes

$$\sum_{I \subseteq \bar{n}, |I| \geq 2} (-1)^{|I|} \left(\sum_{|B|=2, B \subseteq I} \|x_B\|^2 - (|I| - 2) \sum_{i \in I} \|x_i\|^2 \right) + \sum_{I \subseteq \bar{n}, |I|=1} (-1)^{|I|} \|x_I\|^2 \tag{13}$$

Let us write (13) in the form

$$\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}, 1 \leq |B| \leq 2} a_B \|x_B\|^2$$

For a set B with $|B| = 2$, we have $a_B = \sum_{I \supseteq B} (-1)^{|I|}$. As the number of sets $I \subseteq \bar{n}$ of cardinality k containing B equals the number of ways of choosing the $k - 2$ elements of $I \setminus B$ from the $n - 2$ elements of $\bar{n} \setminus B$, this sum is

$$a_B = \sum_{k=2}^n (-1)^k \binom{n-2}{k-2} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{l+2} \binom{n-2}{l} = (1-1)^{n-2} = 0$$

So, condition 1) of Theorem 2.3 is satisfied. To verify condition (2), let u be a unit vector, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $x_i = u$ and $x_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$. By substituting this choice in (12), as the number of sets $I \subseteq \bar{n}$ containing i and of cardinality k is $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$, we have

$$\sum_{I \subseteq \bar{n}} (-1)^{|I|} \|x_I\|^2 = \sum_{I \subseteq \bar{n}, i \in I} (-1)^{|I|} 1 = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k-1} = -(1-1)^{n-1} = 0$$

Thus condition (2) holds. ■

Remark 3.3.: For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\epsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}$ and $J = \{k : \epsilon_k = 1\}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\epsilon_1 x_1 + \epsilon_2 x_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n x_n\|^2 &= \|x_J - x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 \\ &= 2\|x_J\|^2 + 2\|x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 - \|x_J + x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 \\ &= 2\|x_J\|^2 + 2\|x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 - \|x_I\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us a test for identities of the form

$$\sum_{I = \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k\} \subseteq \bar{n}} a_I \sum_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k \in \{1, -1\}} \|\epsilon_1 x_1 + \epsilon_2 x_2 + \dots + \epsilon_k x_k\|^2 = 0$$

(where the inner sum is over all possible choices of signs $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k$) Indeed, the above identity can be transformed to the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{I \subseteq \bar{n}} a_I \sum_{J \subseteq I} \|x_J - x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq \bar{n}} a_I \sum_{J \subseteq I} (2\|x_J\|^2 + 2\|x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 - \|x_I\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

which has the form that can be verified using Theorem 2.3.

The test for verifying such identities is given in Theorem 3.4. Corollary 3.5 uses this test to prove the parallelepiped law. Namely

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (-1)^k 2^{n-k} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k \in \{1, -1\}} \|\epsilon_1 x_{i_1} + \epsilon_2 x_{i_2} + \dots + \epsilon_k x_{i_k}\|^2 = 0 \tag{14}$$

Theorem 3.4.: Let \mathcal{H} be an inner-product space of dimension at least 2. For each $n \geq 2$, a_1, \dots, a_n fixed real numbers, and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\bar{n})$. If $a_I = \prod_{i \in I} a_i$ for $I \subseteq \bar{n}$ then

$$0 = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}} a_I \sum_{J \subseteq I} \|x_J - x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 = 0 \tag{15}$$

holds for all x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathcal{H} if and only if for each $i \in \bar{n}$,

$$0 = \sum_{\{I \in \mathcal{J} \text{ and } i \in I\}} 2^{|I|} a_I$$

Proof.: As in Remark 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}} a_I \sum_{J \subseteq I} \|x_J - x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}} a_I \sum_{J \subseteq I} (2\|x_J\|^2 + 2\|x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 - \|x_I\|^2) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

For I fixed and $J_1 \subseteq I$, the square norm $\|x_{J_1}\|^2$ occurs (with a factor of 2) twice in the inner sum on the RHS of (16) (once when $J = J_1$ and the other when $J = I \setminus J_1$) while the $-\|x_{J_1}\|^2$ occurs $2^{|I|}$ times in the inner sum (once for each $J \subseteq I$) Thus, the RHS of (16) is

$$\sum_J 4\|x_J\|^2 \sum_{\{I \in \mathcal{J} : J \subseteq I\}} a_I - \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}} a_I 2^{|I|} \|x_I\|^2$$

So, the equality in (16) becomes

$$0 = \sum_{J \subseteq \bar{n}} 4 \left(\sum_{\{I \in \mathcal{J} : J \subseteq I\}} a_I \right) \|x_J\|^2 - \sum_{I \subseteq \bar{n}} a_I 2^{|I|} \|x_I\|^2 \tag{17}$$

Using Theorem 2.1 to substitute for $\|x_J\|^2$ and $\|x_I\|^2$ in (17), we get that for each B a subset of \bar{n} of cardinality 2,

$$a_B = \sum_{B \subseteq J \subseteq \bar{n}} 4 \sum_{\{I \in \mathcal{J} : J \subseteq I\}} a_I - \sum_{\{I \in \mathcal{J} : B \subseteq I\}} a_I 2^{|I|}$$

The first sum is

$$\sum_{B \subseteq J \subseteq I \in \mathcal{J}} 4a_I = \sum_{B \subseteq I \in \mathcal{J}} 4a_I \sum_{B \subseteq J \subseteq I} 1$$

As the sets J satisfying $B \subseteq J \subseteq I$ are in bijective correspondence with the subsets of I the number of the former is $2^{|I|} = 2^{|I|-2}$, thus

$$a_B = \sum_{B \subseteq I \in \mathcal{J}} 2^{|I|-2} 4a_I - \sum_{B \subseteq I \in \mathcal{J}} a_I 2^{|I|} = 0$$

So, condition (1) of Theorem 2.3 is satisfied. Therefore (16) holds if and only if condition (1) of Theorem 2.3 holds. Hence, it suffices to show that in this case condition (2) of Theorem 2.3 is equivalent to the condition in Theorem 3.4. Let u be a unit vector. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $x_i = u$ and $x_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$. With this choice of values for the x_k s

For $J \subseteq I$ that $\|x_J - x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 = 0$ if $i \notin I$, and if $i \in I$, we have

$$\|x_J - x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 = \begin{cases} \|x_i\|^2 & \text{if } i \in J \\ \| -x_i \|^2 & \text{if } i \notin J \end{cases}$$

in either case $\|x_J - x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 = \|x_i\|^2 = 1$. Thus

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}} a_I \sum_{J \subseteq I} \|x_J - x_{\bar{J}}\|^2 = \sum_{\{I \in \mathcal{J} : i \in I\}} \sum_{J \subseteq I} 1 = \sum_{\{I \in \mathcal{J} : i \in I\}} 2^{|I|} a_I$$

proving the desired equivalence. □

Corollary 3.5.: Let \mathcal{H} be an inner-product space of dimension at least 2. For each $n \geq 2$, x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathcal{H} and real numbers a_1, \dots, a_n , if there are $i \neq j$ such that $a_i = a_j = -1/2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_k} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k \in \{1, -1\}} \|\epsilon_1 x_{i_1} + \epsilon_2 x_{i_2} + \dots + \epsilon_k x_{i_k}\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Proof. As in Remark 3.3, the equation in (18) can be rewritten as $0 = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}(\bar{n})} a_I \sum_{J \subseteq I} \|x_J - x_I\|^2$ where, as in Theorem 3.4, $a_I = \prod_{i \in I} a_i$. This is just (15) with $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{P}(\bar{n})$. Thus, by Theorem 3.4, the identity holds if and only if for each $i \in \bar{n}$, $\sum_{i \in I \subseteq \bar{n}} 2^{|I|} a_I = 0$. For each $k \in \bar{n}$, let $c_k = 2a_k$, then the validity test for our identity can be rewritten as follows:

for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\sum_{i \in I \subseteq \bar{n}} c_I = c_i \sum_{I \subseteq \bar{n} - \text{left}(i)} c_I = 0$$

For a nonempty index set J , by an easy induction on the cardinality of J , we get that

$$\sum_{I \subseteq J} c_I = \prod_{i \in J} (1 + c_i)$$

Thus, the condition of Theorem 3.4 becomes, for each $i \in \bar{n}$

$$2a_i \prod_{i \neq j \in \bar{n}} (1 + 2a_j) = 0$$

Which always hold if there are two distinct indices $i \neq j$ so that $a_i = a_j = -\frac{1}{2}$ ■

Note that factoring out 2^n from (14), it becomes a special case of Corollary 3.4 where $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = -1/2$.

4. Sufficient conditions for an inner product

In the previous sections we examined identities that follow from the norm being derived from an inner product. In this section, we show that any such identity (any identity of the form $\sum_{A \subseteq \bar{n}} c_A \|x_A\|^2 = 0$) implies that the norm is derived from an inner product. The proof is divided into two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1.: Let \mathcal{H} be a normed spaced.

- 1) If some identity of the form $\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}} c_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$ holds in \mathcal{H} with the $c_B \neq 0$ for some $B \neq \emptyset$ then an identity of the form $\sum_{B \subseteq A} a_B x_B^2 = 0$ with $a_A \neq 0$ and $A \neq \emptyset$ holds in \mathcal{H} .
- 2) If an identity of the form $\sum_{B \subseteq A} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$ with $a_A \neq 0$ and $A \neq \emptyset$ holds in \mathcal{H} . Then an identity of the form $\sum_{B \subseteq A} (-1)^{|A|-|B|} x_B^2 = 0$ also holds in \mathcal{H} for some $A \neq \emptyset$.

Proof.:

- 1) Pick A a maximal element in the collection $\{B \subseteq \bar{n} : c_B \neq 0 \text{ and } B \neq \emptyset\}$ with respect to inclusion. Let $x_i = 0$ for $i \notin A$ and let x_i be arbitrary for $i \in A$. Using this choice of values our identity becomes

$$0 = \sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}} c_B \|x_{A \cap B}\|^2 = \sum_{B \subseteq A} \|x_B\|^2 \left(\sum_{D \cap A = B} c_D \right)$$

Since A is maximal $D \cap A = A$, i.e. $D \supseteq A$, and $c_D \neq 0$ implies that $D = A$ so the coefficient of x_A^2 is $c_A \neq 0$ and by our choice of A , $A \neq \emptyset$. Thus, the identity we obtained above has desired type.

- 2) Let N be the minimum element of the nonempty set

$$\left\{ |A| : A \neq \emptyset \wedge \text{there is an identity } \sum_{B \subseteq A} a_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0 \text{ with } a_A \neq 0 \text{ holding in } \mathcal{H} \right\}$$

Pick a set A with cardinality N , and an identity $\sum_{B \subseteq A} a_B x_B^2 = 0$ with $a_A \neq 0$ holding in.

By our choice of A , if $|C| < |A|$ is nonempty and an identity of the form $\sum_{B \subseteq C} a_B x_B^2 = 0$ holds in \mathcal{H} then $a_C = 0$.

We prove that, with the above choice of A , $a_B = (-1)^{|A|-|B|} a_A$ for every $\emptyset \neq B \subseteq A$. The proof is by downward induction on $|B|$. For $|B| = |A|$ this is clear since $B = A$. Suppose the claim is true for $k < |B| \leq |A|$ and $k \geq 1$. Let B_0 be a subset of A of cardinality k . Choose $x_i = 0$ for $i \notin B_0$ and let x_i be arbitrary for $i \in B_0$. Substituting in our identity, we obtain,

$$0 = \sum_{B \subseteq A} a_B \|x_{B \cap B_0}\|^2 = \sum_{C \subseteq B_0} \|x_C\|^2 \left(\sum_{B \cap B_0 = C, B \subseteq A} a_B \right)$$

Since $1 \leq |B_0| < |A|$ we have by (*) that the coefficient of $\|x_{B_0}\|^2$ in the above expression is zero, so $\sum_{B \cap B_0 = B_0, B \subseteq A} a_B = 0$. Therefore, by

the induction hypothesis,

$$a_{B_0} = - \sum_{A \supseteq B \supseteq B_0} a_B = - \sum_{B \supseteq B_0} (-1)^{|A|-|B|} a_A$$

For each $m > k$ the number of m -subsets $B \subseteq A$ that contain B_0 is $\binom{|A|-k}{m-k}$ so

$$\begin{aligned} a_{B_0} &= -a_A \sum_{m=k+1}^{|A|} \binom{|A|-k}{m-k} (-1)^{|A|-m} \\ &= -a_A \left(\sum_{m=k}^{|A|} \binom{|A|-k}{m-k} (-1)^{|A|-m} - (-1)^{|A|-k} \right) \\ &= -a_A \left((1-1)^{|A|-k} - (-1)^{|A|-k} \right) = (-1)^{|A|-k} a_A \end{aligned}$$

Establishing the result for subsets of cardinality k . Thus for our choice of A the above identity is $a_A \sum_{\emptyset \neq B \subseteq A} (-1)^{|A|-|B|} x_B^2 = \sum_{B \subseteq A} (-1)^{|A|-|B|} x_B^2 = 0$, and we obtain the desired conclusion by dividing by $a_A \neq 0$. ■

Lemma 4.2.: Let \mathcal{H} be a normed space. If for some $A \neq \emptyset$ the identity

$$\sum_{B \subseteq A} (-1)^{|A|-|B|} \|x_B\|^2 = 0$$

holds in \mathcal{H} , then \mathcal{H} is an inner product space.

Proof. WLOG assume that $A = \bar{n}$ for some $3 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n = 3$ our hypothesis (after renaming variables) coincides with the identity (1) from which we obtain identity (2) by replacing z by $-y$. The existence of inner product then follows from the Jordan and von Neumann result.

If $n > 3$, Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. The substitution $x_1 \rightarrow x$ and $x_k \rightarrow ty$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$ in the identity in the statement of the lemma, yields

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} (-1)^{n-j} \|x + jty\|^2 = 0 \tag{18}$$

Indeed, for $1 \in B$ and $|B| = j + 1$, the above substitution transforms $(-1)^{|A|-|B|} \|x_B\|^2$ into $(-1)^{n-j-1} \|x + jty\|^2$.

Thus,

$$\sum_{1 \in B \subseteq A} (-1)^{|A|-|B|} \|x_B\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j-1} \binom{n-1}{j} \|x + jty\|^2 \tag{19}$$

For $1 \notin B$, we have $x_B = |B|ty$. Thus, since there are $\binom{n-1}{j}$ j -subsets of A that don't contain 1

$$\sum_{1 \neq B \subseteq A} (-1)^{|A|-|B|} \|x_B\|^2 = t^2 \|y\|^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j} j^2 \binom{n-1}{j}$$

Using the identities $j \binom{n-1}{j} = (n-1) \binom{n-2}{j-1}$ and $j(j-1) \binom{n-1}{j} = (n-1)(n-2) \binom{n-3}{j-2}$, we get that the last sum is

$$t^2 \|y\|^2 \left((n-1) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n-2}{j-1} + (n-1)(n-2) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n-3}{j-2} \right) = -(n-1)t^2 \|y\|^2 (1-1)^{n-2} + (n-1)(n-2)t^2 \|y\|^2 (1-1)^{n-3} = 0$$

Thus,

$$0 = -\sum_{B \subseteq A} (-1)^{|A|-|B|} \|x_B\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n-1}{j} \|x + jy\|^2$$

Fix x and y . The function $g(t) = \|x + ty\|^2$ is continuous since if K is a number such that $|s|, |t| \leq K$, then $|g(t) - g(s)|$ is bounded above by $\| \|x + ty\|^2 - \|x + sy\|^2 \| \leq 2|s - t| \|y\| (\|x\| + K\|y\|)$

The sum

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n-1}{j} g(r + js)$$

is just

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n-1}{j} \|x + (r + js)y\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n-1}{j} \|x_1 + jsy\|^2$$

where $x_1 = x + ry$ which is zero by (18) so, by Lemma 1.1, g is a polynomial. Since for $k > 2$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(t)}{t^k} \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^k} (\|x\| + t\|y\|)^2 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^{k-2}} \left(\frac{1}{t^2} \|x\| + \|y\| \right)^2 = 0$$

the degree of g is at most 2. Thus,

$$g(t) = A + Bt + Ct^2$$

we have, $A = g(0) = \|x\|^2$ and $C = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(t)}{t^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{x}{t} + y \right\|^2 = \|y\|^2$.

Thus,

$$\|x + ty\|^2 = g(t) = \|x\|^2 + Bt + \|y\|^2 t^2$$

and

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - z\|^2 = g(1) + g(-1) = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$$

Since $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ were arbitrary, by Jordan and von Neumann result, the norm is induced by an inner product. ■

The following result follows directly from Lemmas 4.1, and Lemma 4.2.

Theorem 4.3.: *Let H be a normed space. If an identity of the form $\sum_{B \subseteq \bar{n}} c_B \|x_B\|^2 = 0$ holds in H with the $c_B \neq 0$ for some $B \neq \emptyset$, then the norm is given by an inner product.*

Corollary 4.5.: *Let H be a normed space. The norm on H is given by an inner product if and only if any of any of the identities in Lemmas 3.1 or 3.2 or Corollary 3.5 hold.*

Proof.: *If H is an inner-product space, then the identities hold by the Lemmas in which they occur. If, on the other hand, any of these identities hold then by Theorem 4.3, H is an inner-product space. □*

5. Discussion and Conclusion

In this paper an algorithm is given for testing the validity of a class of norm identities in inner-product spaces. The algorithm can be utilized in discovering some new identities in inner-product spaces, as illustrated the generalization of the parallelepiped law. It can also be used in verifying the validity, in inner-product spaces, of given identities, as illustrated by several examples. It was also shown that identities verified by the algorithm will hold in a normed space only if the norm is given by an inner-product. Thus, the algorithm can be used to generate tests for the existence of an inner-product underlying a given norm as was illustrated by several tests given in the paper.

Disclosure of funding

None.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the reviewer for suggesting the more general and elegant alternative to Frechet’s lemma.

References

Adamek, M., 2020. Characterization of Inner Product Spaces by Strongly Schur-Convex Functions. RM 75, 72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-020-01197-1>.
 Amir, D., 1986. Characterizations of inner product spaces. Birkhäuser Verlag.
 Chelidze, G., 2004. 2004. Inner product spaces and minimal values of functionals. J. Mathemat. Anal. Appl. 298 (1), 106–113.
 Dadipour, F., Moslehian, M.S., 2010. A characterization of inner product spaces related to the p-angular distance. J. Mathemat. Anal. Appl. 371 (2), 677–681.
 Fréchet, M., 1935. Sur la definition axiomatique d’une classe d’espaces vectoriels distanciés applicables vectoriellement sur l’espaces de Hilbert. Ann. Mathemat. Second Ser. 36 (3), 70–78.
 Istrătescu, V.I. (Ed.), 1987. Inner Product Structures. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.
 Jordan, P., von Neumann, J., 1935. On inner products in linear, metric spaces. Ann. Mathemat. Second Ser. 36 (3), 719–730.
 Mendoza, J., Pakhrou, T., 2003. 2003. On some characterizations of inner product spaces. J. Mathemat. Anal. Appl. 282 (1), 369–382.
 Reznick, B., 1978. Banach spaces which satisfy linear identities. Pacific Jour. Math. 74 (1978), 221–233.