



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Generalization of some overdetermined systems of complex partial differential equations

N. Taghizadeh ^a, A. Neirameh ^{b,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran

^b Islamic Azad University of Gonbad Kavous Branch, Iran

Received 10 June 2010; accepted 3 July 2010

Available online 8 July 2010

KEYWORDS

Overdetermined systems;
Analytic functions;
Complex partial differential
equations

Abstract In this paper, we explore new generalization for solution of overdetermined systems of complex partial differential equations. And relation between analytic functions and solutions of quasi-linear systems is discussed in the paper.

© 2010 King Saud University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Extension of some properties of analytic functions on several complex variables during the past century is the main goal for many a researcher, such as Vekua (1962) who studied the system

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{z}} = A(z)W + B(z)\bar{W} \quad (1)$$

MSC: 35N15

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: neyrame@gmail.com (A. Neirameh).

1018-3647 © 2010 King Saud University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

doi:10.1016/j.jksus.2010.07.005



Production and hosting by Elsevier

and extended many properties of functions analytic in $z \in C$ to generalized solutions of (1), under suitable hypotheses of coefficients $A(z)$ and $B(z)$. Therefore the generalized solutions of (1) are called generalized analytic functions (see Michailov, 1976; Gilbert and Buchanan, 1983; Hoermander, 1967; Koohara, 1971; Son, 1979). In this paper we consider the system

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{z}_{js}} = A_{js}(z_{1s}, z_{2s}, \dots, z_{ns})W_s, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (2)$$

And some properties of the system (2) are considered, as the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a non-vanished solution, the Cauchy integral formula, the Principle of maximum modulus and Liouville's Theorem. It is proved that there exists a global “1-1” correspondence between the space of regular solutions of (2) and the space of analytic functions, while the same result in Tutschke (1977) is only locally.

2. Methodology

In this section at first we suppose that coefficients

$$A_{js}(z_{1s}, z_{2s}, \dots, z_{ns}) = A_{js}(z_s) \in c^\infty(G); \quad s, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where G is a domain of holomorphy in C^n .

Theorem 2.1. *The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a non-vanished solution of the system (2) is:*

$$\frac{\partial A_{js}}{\partial \bar{z}_{ks}} = \frac{\partial A_{ks}}{\partial \bar{z}_{js}}, \quad s, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $W(z_{1s}, z_{2s}, \dots, z_{ns}) = W(z_s) \in C^2(G)$ be a non-vanished solution of (2) then

$$\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \bar{z}_{js} \partial \bar{z}_{ks}} = \frac{\partial A_{js}}{\partial \bar{z}_{ks}} W + A_{js} A_{ks} W \tag{4}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \bar{z}_{ks} \partial \bar{z}_{js}} = \frac{\partial A_{ks}}{\partial \bar{z}_{js}} W + A_{ks} A_{js} W \tag{5}$$

Since $W \neq 0$, by comparing (4) and (5) we have (3).

Now suppose that (3) holds. Consider the system:

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{z}_{js}} = A_{js}(z_{1s}, z_{2s}, \dots, z_{ns}) = A_{js}(z_s) \quad s, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{6}$$

in G . Since G is a domain of holomorphy, this system is solvable in $C^\infty(G)$ (see Tutschke, 1977). Take a fixed solution $w_0(z_s) \in C^\infty(G)$, $z_s = (z_{1s}, z_{2s}, \dots, z_{ns})$ of (6) and consider the function:

$$W(z_s) = ce^{-w_0(z_s)}; \quad c \neq 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{7}$$

Then we get a solution $W(z_s) \neq 0$ for all $z_s \in G$. And we suppose that (6) always holds in sequel. Let Ω be an open subset of G and $W \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ be a solution of (2). Set:

$$\Phi(z_s) = W(z_s)e^{-w_0(z_s)}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{8}$$

where $w_0(z_s)$ is a solution of (6) as above. It may easily be verified that Φ is analytic in Ω . From (8) it follows:

$$W(z_s) = \Phi(z_s)e^{w_0(z_s)}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{9}$$

Conversely, let Φ be a given analytic function in Ω , then it follows immediately that the function $W(z_s)$ defined by formula (9) is a C^∞ -solution of (2) in Ω . Thus we obtain. \square

Theorem 2.2. *There is a “1-1” correspondence between the set $v(\Omega)$ of all C^∞ -solutions (of system (2)) and the set $h(\Omega)$ of analytic functions in Ω . Now let*

$$D = D_1 \times D_2 \times \dots \times D_n \subset G$$

be a polycylinder, where D_j , are domains in $C(z_{js})$ with piece-smooth boundaries and

$$W(z_s) \in v(D) \cap c(\bar{D}), \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

By applying the Cauchy integral Formula we have

$$\Phi(z_s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\partial D_1} \int_{\partial D_2} \dots \int_{\partial D_n} \frac{\Phi(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)}{(\xi_1 - z_{1s}) \dots (\xi_n - z_{ns})} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_n, \tag{10}$$

$$s = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$z_s = (z_{1s}, z_{2s}, \dots, z_{ns}), \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

From (9) and (10) it follows

$$W(z_s) = \int_{\Gamma(D)} F(\xi, z_s) W(\xi) d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_n, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{11}$$

For $z_s \in D$ where

$$F(\xi, z_s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \frac{e^{w_0(z_s) - w_0(\xi)}}{(\xi_1 - z_{1s}) \dots (\xi_n - z_{ns})}$$

and

$$\Gamma(D) = \partial D_1 \times \partial D_2 \times \dots \times \partial D_n$$

Thus we obtain.

Theorem 2.3. *If D is a polycylinder in G and*

$$W(z_s) \in v(D) \cap c(\bar{D}), \quad s = 1, \dots, n$$

Then $W(z_s)$ can be represented by formula (11) for $z_s \in D$. In the following, by applying Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 we can prove some properties of generalized analytic functions on several variables.

Theorem 2.4 (Principle of Maximum Modulus Theorem). *If*

$$W(z_s) \in v(D) \cap c(\bar{D}), \quad s = 1, \dots, n$$

Then

$$|W(z_s)| \leq M \max_{\xi \in \partial D} |W(\xi)|, \quad s = 1, \dots, n$$

For $z_s \in D$ where M is a positive constant depending only on the coefficient $A_{js}(z_s)$ of the system and on the domain D .

Proof. Let $W_0(z_s) \in C^\infty(G)$ be a solution of (4) then $W_0(z_s) \in c(\bar{D})$. Set

$$m_0 = \min_{\xi \in \partial D} \operatorname{Re} |w_0(\xi)|$$

and

$$M_0 = \max_{\xi \in \partial D} \operatorname{Re} |w_0(\xi)|$$

From (8) and the Principle of maximum modulus for analytic functions it follows:

$$|\Phi(z_s)| = |W(z_s)| e^{-\operatorname{Re} w_0(z_s)}, \quad s = 1, \dots, n \tag{12}$$

and

$$|\Phi(z_s)| \leq \max_{\xi \in \partial D} |\Phi(\xi)| = \max_{\xi \in \partial D} |W(\xi)| e^{-\operatorname{Re} w_0(\xi)} \leq e^{-m_0} \max_{\xi \in \partial D} |W(\xi)| \tag{13}$$

By comparing (12) and (13) we have

$$|W(z_s)| \leq e^{-m_0} e^{\operatorname{Re} w_0(z_s)} \max_{\xi \in \partial D} |W(\xi)| \leq e^{M_0 - m_0} \max_{\xi \in \partial D} |W(\xi)| = M \cdot \max_{\xi \in \partial D} |W(\xi)|$$

where $M = e^{M_0 - m_0}$. By definition, M depends only on $A_{js}(z_s)$ and on D . This completes the proof. \square

Note that $M_0 - m_0 \geq 0$, hence $M \geq 1$. Now suppose that $G = C^n$ and there exists a solution $w_0(z_s)$ of (4), which is bounded in the whole of C^n . Then we have:

Theorem 2.5 (Liouville’s Theorem). *If a generalized analytic function $W(z_s)$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is continuous, bounded in C^n and vanishes at a point $z_0 \in C^n$ (in particular it may occur that $z_0 = \infty$), then $W(z_s) = 0$ everywhere.*

Proof. From (6) it follows that $\Phi(z_s)$ is analytic, bounded in C_n and vanishes at z_0 . By virtue of Liouville’s Theorem in

Complex Analysis we have $\Phi(z_s) = 0$. Take the equality (9) into account we have $W(z_s) \equiv 0$ everywhere. If $W \in v(C_n)$ and bounded in e^n , then because of (8) we have $\Phi(z_s) = c$ const. Hence it follows: \square

Theorem 2.6. *Every continuous and bounded generalized analytic function $W(z_s)$ in the whole of C^n has the form:*

$$W(z_s) = c \cdot e^{w_0(z_s)}; \quad c = \text{const.}$$

where $w_0(z_s)$ is a solution of (6).

3. Conclusions

In this paper, we have seen that generalization of systems of partial differential equations on several complex variables to solutions of overdetermined systems of complex partial differential equations. The generalization of these systems have many potential applications in partial differential equations.

References

- Gilbert, R.P., Buchanan, J.L., 1983. First Order Elliptic Systems: A Function Theoretic Approach. In: Math in Science and Engineering, vol. 163. Academic Press, New York.
- Hoermander, L., 1967. An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables. North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, Princeton (NJ).
- Koohara, A., 1971. Similarity principle of the generalized Cauchy-Riemann equation for several complex variables. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 23 (2).
- Michailov, L.G., 1976. An overdetermined system of partial differential equations. Dokl. Acad. Nauk USSR 228, 1286–1289.
- Son, Le Hung, 1979. Fortsetzungssatz von Hartogsschen Typ fuer verallgemeinerte analytische Funktionen mehrerer komplexer Variabler. Math. Nachr. 93, 117–186.
- Tutschke, W., 1977. Partielle komplexe Differentialgleichungen. VEB Deutsche Verlag d. Wtss., Berlin.
- Vekua, I.N., 1962. Generalized Analytic Functions. Pergamon, Oxford.